A regular meeting of the Carson City Parks and Recreation Commission was scheduled for 5:30 p.m. on Tuesday, September 1, 2009 in the Carson City Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada.

PRESENT: Chairperson Donna Curtis

Vice Chairperson James Smolenski Commissioner Charles Adams Commissioner Lynette Conrad Commissioner Tom Keeton Commissioner Steve Lasco Commissioner Sean Lehmann Commissioner Pete Livermore

Ex Officio Commissioner Molly Walt Commissioner Todd Westergard

STAFF: Roger Moellendorf, Parks and Recreation Departme

Roger Moellendorf, Parks and Recreation Department Director Scott Fahrenbruch, Parks and Recreation Director of Operations

Vern Krahn, Park Planner

Thoran Towler, Deputy District Attorney Jano Barnhurst, Recording Secretary

NOTE: A recording of these proceedings, the Commission's agenda materials, and any written comments or documentation provided to the recording secretary during the meeting are public record. These materials are on file in the Clerk-Recorder's Office, and available for review during regular business hours.

CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL AND DETERMINATION OF QUORUM (5:30:00) - Chairperson Curtis called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. Roll was called; a quorum was present. Commissioners Lasco and Westergard arrived after roll call. Commissioner Livermore arrived at 6:43 p.m.

CITIZEN COMMENTS ON NON-AGENDIZED ITEMS (5:30:20) - None.

- 1. ACTION ON APPROVAL OF MINUTES (5:31:30) Vice Chairperson Smolenski moved to approve the minutes of the June 16, 2009 meeting as presented. Commissioner Keeton seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0-3.
- **2. MODIFICATIONS TO THE AGENDA** (5:31:55) Chairperson Curtis advised that Item 5-A will be heard first.
- 3. STAFF UPDATES DISCUSSION ONLY NO DELIBERATION
- 3-A. MORGAN MILL ROAD RIVER ACCESS AREA AND THE URBAN FISHING POND PROJECT LOCATED ADJACENT TO THE CARSON CITY FAIRGROUNDS AT FUJI PARK (6:12:05) Mr. Krahn gave a staff report and advised that the Morgan Mill project was awarded to Horizon Construction Inc. There will be a new parking lot, concrete boat ramp, a temporary river diversion and erosion control measure, and restroom enclosure. They obtained bid alternates including removal of a soil berm and construction of a multi-use path from the parking lot to the Empire Ranch golf course trail. There

will also be new perimeter fencing and re-vegetation efforts. The engineer's estimate was \$295,430 with seven bids ranging from \$169,000 to \$361,000. There is enough money for Phase 1 and as the project moves toward completion there will be a better idea of funding for a second phase.

Mr. Krahn reported that F&P Construction was the low bidder and the Board of Supervisors (BOS) will soon be awarding the Urban Fishing Pond project. He noted that the project has been in the planning phase for many years and will be a one acre pond located on the southeast corner of the Fairgrounds. Ten bids ranged from \$603,159 to \$1,095,000 and the engineer's estimate was \$783,620. Multiple funding partners at the public agency level and private individuals included over \$17,000 in private donations raised by C.K. Baily. In response to a question, he replied that the surface area of the pond is one acre and is expecting a late fall construction. Due to Clear Creek being at one of its lowest levels, contractors have kept their dewatering costs to a minimum. In response to another question, he replied that the pier will extend far enough to allow fishing around all four sides but for safety reasons, no swimming will be allowed. In response to another question, he replied that determining when the pond opens depends on when the wetland vegetation is established. He explained that the water quality has to be a certain level but the Forest Service doesn't like to stock the fish past May. In response to another question, he replied that the pond will be open to the public and a standard fishing license is required with a 2-3 per day limit.

Mr. Krahn reported that the Douglas County intertie project is completed and a final walk through will be done next week although work remains on the Douglas County side. RTC has awarded the project and the area in front of the fairgrounds and Fuji Park will have parking, sidewalks, landscaping, and a bus stop which should be completed this fall. Chairperson Curtis reported that the Ross Gold Park work will proceed on the 13th.

4. NON-ACTION ITEMS - DISCUSSION AND PRESENTATION ONLY

4-A. DISCUSSION ONLY REGARDING OFF-PREMISES SIGN REGULATIONS (6:27:18)

- Mr. Moellendorf reviewed the staff report which is incorporated into the record and summarized that the City is not likely to authorize a variance or consider a change in the ordinance allowing the sale of off-premises advertising. In response to a question, Mr. Towler replied that those who have been denied in the past or may apply in the future would have a good argument to make against the City if the City made an exception for itself. Mr. Moellendorf added that there could be a potential for civil liability if the City turned down advertisers. He explained that the City could work with businesses with existing signs to provide civic event advertising within certain time parameters and referenced the arrangement with Bodine's. In response to another question, he replied that the LED signs at Casino Fandango and Bodine's are not off-premises advertising.

In response to another question, Mr. Moellendorf replied that the artists displaying their work at the Community Center are expressing their First Amendment rights through artistic expression and are allowed to display and sell their art. The City can't impose restrictions other than program or maintenance concerns. Mr. Towler added that the individuals showing art have researched the legal issues and as long as it's their art they are selling, they have a right to public places. Commissioner Lasco commented that the key element is that they are selling their own art and not vending others.

In response to a comment, Mr. Moellendorf replied that the City could install an LED sign advertising City programs and services but could not do off-premises advertising. The signs cost \$100,000-\$200,000 and

the original intent was to sell advertising or have a sign company install it and sell advertising to offset the costs. He added that it doesn't prevent the City from selling advertising indoors. Chairperson Curtis opined that the PRC has met its goal in the sense that this matter has been explored. Vice Chairperson Smolenski acknowledged that he has a meeting scheduled for the 10th and will talk to the Fandango about use of their sign.

4-B. <u>DISCUSSION ONLY</u> REGARDING THE 2009-2010 OPERATIONS OF THE ARLINGTON SQUARE ICE SKATING RINK BY THE PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT (6:39:14) - Mr. Moellendorf advised that as changes to levels of service occur, they are brought to the PRC for information and discussion. He reviewed the staff report which is incorporated into the record and mentioned that his focus on the project is recreation. He explained that the budget was developed in coordination with the Office of Business Development (OBD) and the Parks and Recreation Department (PRD). He opined that there may be opportunities for improvement in revenue, and projected more skaters than last year depending on weather and other elements. He said that there were over 10,000 skaters last year with a projected subsidy of \$135,000 and it is hoped that revenues will improve with more skaters and concession sales. He explained that 'OTC EXP' is a one time capital expenditure.

In response to a question, Mr. Moellendorf replied that the Nugget's donation was about \$70,000 last year but this year's donation will be use of their parking lot. In response to another question, he replied that the ice skating rink was handed to the PRD and there's always a question about selecting the right site. He reiterated his concern for a recreational activity but thinks it could be a good site to help promote downtown businesses. Capital expenditures are already built into the site but if the PRD was going to build a rink, it may be at another location depending on the program's goal. He recommended refrigeration equipment recalling that in his prior experience, fire hoses were used to flood ponds which was very labor intensive and didn't produce a good product. He suggested the City consider purchasing refrigeration equipment rather than contracting it out even though it will be a large initial expenditure. He would like to look at the rink's overall aesthetic appeal as last year it looked like a construction project and wasn't aesthetically accessible to the public. Eliminating the fence and addressing security needs could also make it a more successful skating rink.

Commissioner Livermore apologized for arriving late and stated he spent a lot of time trying to determine if the community should have an ice skating rink and how to do it. He opined that the PRD is capable of operating the rink with appropriate resources and funding. He referred to his letter which is incorporated into the record and said he is struggling to find revenue elements and what was generated in various areas. Events were tied to promotions with private downtown businesses and he didn't think the PRD would offer them. He's unsure that \$135,000 is an accurate figure. The rink was operated for eleven weeks last year vs. seven weeks this year, but operating figures are the same. He is struggling to find the means of appropriating \$200,000 with critically short funding and is unsure that it's the best use of the public's money. He cannot comprehend the budget figures and stated that he cannot support where it's going.

(6:59:56) Commissioner Lehmann expressed agreement that this is a recreational activity and concern about the discrepancy between the number of paid and total admissions. In response to a question, Mr. Moellendorf replied that there were a lot of promotions involved but didn't know if they were free events. He explained that it was difficult to find a similar model for comparison. He opined that money could be saved on labor costs and similar figures could be compacted into a shorter season as the last few weeks were marginal as to whether it should have been open because of the weather. In response to a question,

he replied that the skating season would be the end of November to the end of January. Carson City isn't a prime ice skating area and it isn't practical to have a long season. Commissioner Lehmann expressed his agreement on the aesthetics and that it looked like a construction site.

In response to a question, Mr. Moellendorf replied that the decision regarding budgeting matters will be a collaborative effort. Some marketing will inform operations and some operations will inform marketing but a critical look needs to be taken at some of the budget items. Maximizing the concession stand needs to be reviewed and was not done last year as to not take business away from downtown merchants. Commissioner Westergard disclosed that his wife is the Deputy Director in the OBD. In response to a question, Mr. Moellendorf replied that they will look at past operations to find the slow areas and program them with existing programs. In response to a question, Commissioner Conrad replied that a partnership possibility with the School District should be reviewed regarding liability and safety. Commissioner Walt added that last year Bordewich Bray PTA did an activity on a Saturday during their dead hours. In response to a question, Mr. Moellendorf replied that there would be an opportunity for volunteers. In response to another question, he replied that very few recreational programs run in the black. The goal is to achieve 100 percent direct costs recovery but it's generally 50 percent excluding overhead. In response to a question, Commissioner Livermore replied that a chiller costs over \$100,000. Vice Chairperson Smolenski commented that he is not opposed to the rink but is concerned that the City may consistently lose \$135,000 a year and many things need to be more deeply addressed prior to taking it to the Board of Supervisors (BOS). In response to a series of questions, Mr. Moellendorf replied that the biggest problem with maintenance is the intensity of the sun chewing up the ice, causing it to melt and having to be continually groomed. Providing shade can present problems due to the wind. Three thousand dollars was added to advertising but the budget was reduced in areas where it may not be needed next year. The location of the rink may be reconfigured. The budget is a work in progress and the project will be more refined if it continues. The BOS has to determine whether this is an area they want to continue and promote as ice skating rinks are a quality of life issue. This activity is at a time when it's slower for PRD staff and they have time available but is another maintenance item and is not included in the budget. PRD will be maintaining the ice.

Commissioner Livermore reiterated that there are a lot of things not included in the proposal and it's important to see last year's financials. He expressed concern about security, public safety, garbage collection, equipment repair and the necessity of the Brewery Arts Center to provide lights and music. He reiterated that Mr. Moellendorf is experienced with operating every side of recreation and trusts him to refigure it in another format. In response to a question, Mr. Moellendorf replied that the OBD believed the ESP equipment and services added an attraction element to the rink. He suggested reviewing it in more detail and thought pre-recorded music could be done in a less expensive manner. It cost the City \$36.41 per skater based on total expenditures of \$259,000. Taking out received revenues and basing it on the \$148,685 subsidy, drops the number to \$15-\$17. Commissioner Livermore disagreed with Mr. Moellendorf and opined that the figures were closer to \$200,000. Mr. Moellendorf replied that the number is given at \$148,000 and divided by \$10,000, is \$15 per skater. They were charging \$9 and \$7. In response to a comment, he replied that the chiller was \$134,000 and buying one for that amount could be paid for in a few years.

(7:24:45) Commissioner Keeton wondered why this issue was on PRC's agenda as it's already set on the BOS agenda for Thursday. "It's not an action item so it doesn't matter what the PRC does, the BOS will do what they want...this issue should have come to this Board and been voted on as are we willing to spend

\$135,000 and then go to the BOS." He expressed anger at bringing these types of issues to the PRC when the result doesn't matter. He stated that the ice skating rink was started by OBD, as they wanted to do something to bring people downtown. "If they want to go on paying for the ice rink, that's fine. If we said we're going to start an ice rink...it would not go in the parking lot across from the Nugget. It would probably be in Mills Park, and it wouldn't be a deal to help draw people downtown...If the OBD wants to have it, that's fine. Whatever they decide to do is up to them." He added that he doesn't consider this a legitimate recreation project and reiterated that its purpose is to bring people downtown. The City needs to either turn it over to OBD or really look at an ice rink. "I don't have a problem with that. Let's talk about it, let's budget for it...We could probably pay for it in about 10 years with this \$135,000...and that's o.k. by me. This project doesn't belong on our agenda at all."

Mr. Moellendorf reiterated that adding recreation programs are not action items on the agenda. The PRD looks at the needs, whether the mandates governing direct costs can be met and whether it provides a viable service to the community. It's not their intention to ask the PRC for permission to start a program. "The PRD informs the PRC because it gets back to the idea of the level of service. We're not asking the PRC to spend money on this program but it's being taken to the BOS to decide whether it's going to be funded. The intention has never been to come from our budget." Commissioner Keeton responded that because Mr. Moellendorf is making the presentation, it will come out of PRD. "Why isn't Joe McCarthy making the presentation...we'll spend the money out of the redevelopment fund." Chairperson Curtis commented that the budget doesn't seem to be complete, a lot of costs are not included and some are misrepresented. More work and a funding proposal should have been done between PRD and OBD before going to the BOS. She expressed hope that Mr. Moellendorf take the PRC's concerns to the BOS when making his presentation.

(7:32:04) Commissioner Lasco expressed his alignment with Commissioners Keeton and Livermore as he is also struggling with the figures and level of shortfall. The figures last year were less than half of the projections but it may do better this year as it's more established. Lost parking concerns may be alleviated because people will know an ice rink is there. He doesn't see the relevance as the PRC does not have a say in it but the PRD will have to manage the facility. He considered it "user unfriendly" last year as far as access, fencing and not a warm aesthetic. He expressed concern about liability if someone were to get hurt or if the wind blew a cover into 395. He opined that it's a great idea and a "Courier and Ives...nice feeling." He stated that he grew up skating but because of the economy, can't champion it too strongly.

Commissioner Livermore expressed hope that the PRD can rescue this venture because it probably won't reoccur if handled as it was last year. He complimented Mr. Moellendorf, Mr. Fahrenbruch and their staff for following direction when given. In response to a comment, he replied that it will come out of the City budget, either a fund balance or reserve fund. Commissioner Lehmann commented that PRD pays for recreation as it should and agreed that very few programs make a profit for PRD. He used the rink and believes it's a worthwhile recreation venture for the City but thinks it can do better with revenues and expenses. He opined that it's a quality of life issue and everyone who's spoken against it has not used it.

Commissioner Conrad agreed with both sides. She stated that Commissioner Livermore brought up very good points but homework still needs to be done and they serve on the Board to provide good recreation for the community. She suggested going back to the drawing board as the BOS could vote it down if presented in this format. Tabling it would allow time to review the numbers and make improvements. To make the presentation to the BOS now will create the same discussions but with people with voting power. She has a personal interest in the skating rink but also agrees with funding concerns. Commissioner

Westergard commented that he didn't like the term 'subsidy' because it's a cost of the program. He said that Commissioner Livermore suggested saving \$135,000 by not doing this but money could also be saved by closing the pool.

(7:38:56) Chairperson Curtis called for public comment. John Wagner commented that \$135,000 is a lot of money to be in the hole in this day and age. He knows people who are losing their homes are wondering why the City is spending \$135,000 of taxpayers' money. He expressed curiosity as to whether the number of people who visited the rink last year were Carson City residents and opined that a bus service could be run to Reno and back for less than \$250,000. (1-0005) He agreed that the PRC is going through the motions because the BOS is going to make their decision on Thursday. Chairperson Curtis reiterated that the PRC will enlighten them if Mr. Moellendorf carries forth their concerns. In response to a question, Mr. Wagner replied that the \$250,000 was the total cost of the operation. Commissioner Walt countered that it was \$148,000 and that the \$250,000 was proposed for 2008-2009. Mr. Wagner responded that people paid money to add up to the \$250,000.

Commissioner Lehmann reiterated that it's a worthwhile recreational opportunity for the City. Seventy thousand dollars has already been invested that doesn't have to be spent again, and he'd like to see the City break even but doesn't think it will ever make a profit. He said the ultimate question is whether the community wants this type of recreation.

Chairperson Curtis called for further public comment. Keith Barnett introduced himself as a Carson City resident and lifelong ice skater. He enjoyed skating a few times last year but saw a few problems. Better maintenance would bring in more revenue as he would have skated more if the surface had been better maintained and opined that PRD taking over the operation is a good idea. Costs can be reduced and revenues increased so it could work in time. He said that ice skating is very much recreation for him and agreed with the aesthetics issue and thought it did not look inviting last year. He also suggested providing bleachers as a place to sit and relax for those not wishing to skate. Chairperson Curtis agreed that if there are going to be concessions, there should be places for people to sit and enjoy their refreshments. (1-0097)

Commissioner Walt left the meeting at 7:50 p.m.

4-C. <u>DISCUSSION ONLY</u> FROM GROUP 1 REGARDING THE PARKS AND RECREATION MASTER PLAN'S IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES 1-20 (1-0098) - Vice Chairperson Smolenski reviewed the agenda materials which are incorporated into the record and discussed the process used in determining whether an item was policy or strategy. He read each summary followed by the group's recommendation into the record. Discussion ensued at various points throughout the presentation. He finished by reviewing the group's findings and additions. Chairperson Curtis noted that they found five more strategies belonging to their group which Vice Chairperson Smolenski read into the record.

5. ACTION ITEMS

5-A. ACTION TO RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS THE PREFERRED PATH ALIGNMENT FOR THE NEVADA STATELINE-TO-STATELINE BIKEWAY, SOUTH DEMONSTRATION PROJECT AT LAKE TAHOE (5:32:25) - Mr. Moellendorf introduced Karen Mullen and Ann Bollinger. Ms. Bollinger reviewed the staff report which is incorporated

into the record and advised that the item was planned by the TRPA and U.S. Forest Service for the South Demonstration Project in Douglas County. She gave an overview of the documentation contained in the agenda packet and explained that the working group is looking at the entire alignment from stateline to stateline and public meetings have been held in South Lake Tahoe and Incline Village.

Ms. Mullen advised that a component of the project is a feasibility study that includes the Carson portion of the pathway. Two public meetings were held with feedback from the community and she has been outreaching to private property owners along the pathway trying to obtain feedback in constraint areas. The next phase is to meet with the working group to determine priority alignments. They are working on the north and south demonstration projects. The north project reaches from Incline to Sand Harbor and the steep embankment and closeness of the highway to the Lake cause constraints in that area. They plan to return to the Park Commissions in the Spring with a report on that portion of the study. The notice of preparation explains the purpose and anyone interested can go to www.nvtahoebikeway.com to comment on the documents and maps. A public meeting will be held on September 10 at the South Lake Tahoe TRPA and written comments are open until September 21.

Ms. Mullen explained the purpose of the project as providing non-auto transportation linking recreation areas, community centers and transportation facilities, and will connect the facilities, expand recreational access and provide transportation choices. The goal is to design and build a demonstration bicycle facility to showcase the potential for creating the Nevada portion of a premier separated bikeway circling Lake Tahoe. They are reviewing Lake Parkway as the primary link of getting onto the bikeway. She referred to the map included in the agenda materials and advised of three alternatives: Alternate A (red), Alternate B (blue), and Alternate C as a no action and existing conditions will continue. She outlined the map route explaining that the red and blue alternates share the same alignment in the southern portion along Lake Parkway. The Forest Service prefers and the proposed action is for Alternate A but the working group prefers Alternate B.

Ms. Mullen advised that going along the beach was not feasible because of private property and conflicts with the golf course. Building a paved path on top of an existing trail system will change the nature of the trails and the Forest Service is concerned that the blue alignment utilizes 1,200 feet of the Lam Watah Trail. The red alignment will cross private property. Twelve feet of right-of-way are adjacent to Highway 50 and it needs 25-30 feet of easement from a private property owner however the blue alignment will not require an additional easement. Differences between the trails were ranked according to safety, numbers of road crossings, ease of implementation, constructability, aesthetics, topographical constraints, cultural resources, environmental constraints, potential connections to key destinations and public input.

The Washoe County Parks Department (WCPD) was concerned about the blue alignment trails if the red alignment was chosen and was advised that existing trails would remain. They discussed whether to preclude bikes on the existing trail alignment and move everyone to the red alignment but thought it would be difficult from an operational standpoint. WCPD preferred the blue alignment as it's unpleasant to ride along Highway 50. They discussed the conflict of bike riders and walkers/joggers and the possibility of wider shoulders. They also discussed separating a 1,000 foot interpretative section of the Lam Watah Trail from the bikeway project. They were concerned about the conflict in peak times and the separation between the highway and the bike path.

(5:54:20) Commissioner Lasco stated that he lived at the Lake for four years and rode his bike in this area. He strongly prefers Alignment B based on its distance from the roadway. He suggested a parallel section in the 1,200 foot area of the Lam Watah Trail for pedestrians, placing signs on the perimeter. If Alignment A is constructed, bikes may continue to use the existing Lam Watah Trail and the working group discussed a more direct route to the beach as Alignment B. There wouldn't be any right-of-way issues or the need for an easement which is a big benefit. In response to a question, Ms. Mullen replied that the Forest Service wants to maintain the Lam Watah Trail as an interpretive trail system and not just a bikeway. They recognize the existing use that occurs on the blue alignment and want to keep the impact through the meadow as minimal as possible.

In response to a question, Ms. Mullen replied that the working group's preference is alignment B because it is more premier. They are aware of the Forest Service concerns and hope there may be a solution such as parallel or separate paths. In response to another question, she replied that if the alignment was adjacent to Highway 50, it would have less impact to the meadow area. They originally thought Alternate B would work because it's already getting the bike use, however a lot of environmental analysis and study still needs to occur. Even though they are looking at the two alignments, it still may change or there may be other mitigation measures required for Alternate B.

Vice Chairperson Smolenski agreed with Commissioner Lasco about going along with existing paths. In response to a question, Ms. Mullen replied that whether it's the blue or red alignment - until it gets to the Round Hill Pines Lodge area, they may be able to use some existing paths and trails from Elk Point Road to the entrance of the Lodge. Vice Chairperson Smolenski opined that if TRPA agrees with the existing trail, Alternate B would be better. Ms. Mullen explained that some trail systems such as the Lam Watah Trail are six feet plus in size whereas other trails are three to four feet, and they are trying to look at what is already disturbed and how it can be layered. Commissioner Lasco amplified the point regarding the Lam Watah Trail because it is narrower and would be easier to relocate, have the bikeway on the existing section and widen it. He suggested separating at a more extreme angle to the northeast and going around an outcropping for the interpretive trail. He underscored his preference for Alternate B.

In response to a question, Ms. Mullen replied that the roadway is elevated slightly with a drop off. From the edge of pavement to the edge of the existing right-of-way is twelve feet. Highway standards require a minimum of a five foot separation and to meet a more premier bikeway standard, it needs to be further than five feet from the highway. It needs 25-30 feet of additional easement from the private property to have enough room to do any slopes or edge. Commissioner Keeton commented that if someone is taking a bike trip for a specific purpose, being close to the road would be alright but if they want a day's enjoyment and to see the scenery, Alternate B would be the right choice and expressed his preference for Alternate B. Chairperson Curtis entertained a motion. Commissioner Lasco moved to recommend to the Board of Supervisors Alignment B as the preferred path alignment for the Nevada Stateline-to-Stateline South Demonstration Project at Lake Tahoe. Commissioner Keeton seconded the motion. In response to a question, Mr. Moellendorf replied that the motion was preferred pathway B. Chairperson Curtis asked Commissioner Lasco if he would like to include the alternative path or loop he had mentioned. He replied that his comments will be carried forward. Motion carried 8-0. Ms. Bollinger advised she will follow up on Douglas County's comments and votes and will pass them on to Mr. Moellendorf and the PRC.

Commissioner Westergard left the meeting at 8:15 p.m.

- **6. MEMBERS' ANNOUNCEMENTS AND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION:** (1-0603) Chairperson Curtis advised that the opening of the Boys and Girls Club is September 2nd at 5:30 p.m. She also announced that there will be a dog swim on September 19th. Commissioner Lehmann announced that a Fun Run on the newest portion of the freeway will also be held on September 19th.
 - **6-A. REPORT FROM SCHOOL BOARD LIAISON** (1-0630) None.
- 7. **FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS** (1-0631) Chairperson Curtis mentioned that department goals are no longer on the list. Mr. Moellendorf distributed Q-18 budget summaries as an FYI. In response to a question, he replied that Mr. Providenti will return in a few months for an update on the budget.

Chairperson Curtis pointed out the letter of appreciation from Wayne Sanger regarding Tim Glancy in the agenda materials.

8. ACTION ON ADJOURNMENT (1-0692) - Vice Chairperson Smolenski moved to adjourn the meeting. Commissioner Lasco seconded the motion which carried unanimously.

The Minutes of the September 1, 2009 Carson City Parks and Recreation Commission meeting are so approved this 3rd day of November, 2009.

DONNA J. CURTIS, Chair