

Item # 190

**City of Carson City  
Agenda Report**

**Date Submitted:** June 8, 2010

**Agenda Date Requested:** June 17, 2010

**Time Requested:** 20 minutes

**To:** Mayor and Supervisors

**From:** Nick Providenti, Director of Finance

**Subject Title:** Action to determine providers for certain insurance coverage for Carson City for FY 10-11, including, property insurance, auto physical damage and excess liability coverage insurance and government crime insurance. (Nick Providenti)

**Staff Summary:** Staff is recommending that Carson City leave the Nevada Public Agency Insurance Pool (NPAIP) starting on July 1, 2010. Staff has recommends the package of insurance coverage, with a grand total premium of \$627,844, provided by the following for FY 10-11:

Affiliated FM for property insurance at a total premium of \$267,702.

Travelers Insurance Company for auto physical damage and excess liability coverage at a total premium of \$350,397.

Great American for government crime insurance at a total premium of \$9,745.

Alternatively, the NPAIP quoted Carson City \$626,202.98 in premiums for FY 2011, but we believe when you compare deductible amounts and service received from the NPAIP and their affiliates, that the City would better served leaving the NPAIP and contracting with the recommended entities for the above outlined insurance coverages. The total premiums for FY 09-10 were \$584,385 so the total increase in premium cost would be 7.4%.

**Type of Action Requested:** (check one)  
 Resolution  Ordinance  
 Formal Action/Motion  Other (Specify)

**Does This Action Require A Business Impact Statement:**  Yes  No

**Recommended Board Action:** I move to enter into insurance agreements with Affiliated FM for property insurance at a total premium of \$267,702, Travelers Insurance Company for auto physical damage and excess liability coverage at a total premium of \$350,397, and with Great American for government crime insurance at a total premium of \$9,745 for a grand total of \$627,844 for FY 10-11.

**Explanation for Recommended Board Action:** A copy of the coverages and the premiums is included comparing the amounts with the NPAIP. Because of the differences in deductible amounts and anticipated better service, we believe the City would be better served leaving the