

**City of Carson City
Agenda Report**

Date Submitted: March 8, 2011

Agenda Date Requested: March 17, 2011
Time Requested: 15 Minutes

To: Carson City Board of Health
From: Health & Human Services (Marena Works)

Subject Title: Review of the results from the local public health system performance assessment conducted in September and December of 2010.

Staff Summary: Two community meetings were held in September and December of 2010 to conduct a local public health performance assessment. This priority list is being provided to the board as information only.

Type of Action Requested: (check one)
 Resolution Ordinance
 Formal Action/Motion Other (Specify) Information Only

Does This Action Require A Business Impact Statement: Yes No

Recommended Board Action: Information Only.

Explanation for Recommended Board Action: No Action Required

Applicable Statue, Code, Policy, Rule or Regulation: N/A

Fiscal Impact: N/A

Explanation of Impact: N/A

Funding Source: N/A

Alternatives:

Supporting Material:

Prepared By: Marena Works, MSN, MPH, RN

Reviewed By: Marena Works **Date:** 3-9-11

(Department Head)

(City Manager)

(District Attorney)

(Finance Director)



Date:

3/9/11



Date:

3/9/11



Date:

3/9/11

Board Action Taken:

Motion: _____

1) _____

2) _____

Aye/Nay

(Vote Recorded By)

**Carson City Health and Human Services
Local Public Health System (LPHS) Performance Assessment Summary**

Essential Service 1: Monitor Health Status to Identify Community Health Problems.

Standard	Rating	Activities
Population-Based Community Health Profile (CHP)	Optimal/Significant	Participants felt there was OPTIMAL LPHS activity regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conducting a Community Health Assessment • Community Health Assessment being updated at least every 3 years • Using Community Health Assessment data to monitor progress toward health-related objectives
	Significant	Participants felt there was SIGNIFICANT LPHS activity regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comparing Community Health Assessment to other representative areas • Using Community Health Assessment data to track trends over time
	Moderate	None
	Minimal	Participants felt there was MINIMAL LPHS activity regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compiling data from the Community Health Assessment(s) into a Community Health Profile (CHP) • Identifying the organizations/individuals responsible for contributing data to produce the CHP • Each contributor having access to the completed CHP • Promoting community-wide use of data • Placing a media strategy to promote community-wide use of CHP • Providing easy access of the information to the general public • Using the CHP to inform health policy/planning decisions to organizations in the LPHS
Current Technology to Manage and Communicate Population Health Data	Optimal/Significant	None
	Moderate	None
	Minimal	Participants felt there was MINIMAL LPHS activity regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 'State-of-the-art' available to support a health profile database • Utilizing technology to make community health data available electronically • Using computer-generated graphics to identify trends and/or compare data
	No Activity	Participants felt there was NO LPHS activity regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPHS having access to geocoded health data • Using geographic information systems (GIS)
Maintenance of Population Health Registries	Optimal	None
	Significant/Moderate	Participants felt there was SIGNIFICANT LPHS regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contributing to one or more population health registries • Maintaining standards for data collection
	Moderate	Participants felt there was MODERATE LPHS regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishing processes for reporting health events to the registries • Using information from one or more population health registries in the past year
	Minimal	None

**Carson City Health and Human Services
Local Public Health System (LPHS) Performance Assessment Summary**

Essential Service 2: Diagnose and Investigate Health Problems and Health Hazards in the Community

Standard	Rating	Activities
Identification and Surveillance of Health Threats	Optimal	Participants felt there was OPTIMAL LPHS activity regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Integrating system with national/state systems • Using surveillance systems to monitor changes in the occurrence of health problems
	Significant	None
	Moderate	Participants felt there was MODERATE LPHS activity regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participating in surveillance system designed to monitor health problems and identify health threats • Having system compliant with national/state health information exchange guidelines • Health professionals report disease information in a timely manner to public/state health
	Minimal	Participants felt there was MINIMAL LPHS activity regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have necessary resources to support health problem and health hazard surveillance and investigation activities • Using information technology for surveillance activities • Having Masters/Doctorate level epidemiologists and/or statisticians to asses/analyze public health hazards
Investigation and Response to Public Health Threats and Emergencies	Optimal	Participants felt that there was OPTIMAL LPHS activity regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have current epidemiological case investigation protocols to guide immediate investigations of public health emergencies • Designating an individual to serve as an Emergency Response Coordinator within the jurisdiction • Coordinating with the local health department's emergency response personnel and local community leaders • Evaluating public health emergency response incidents for effectiveness and opportunities for improvement
	Significant	Participants felt there was SIGNIFICANT LPHS activity regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintaining written protocols for implementing a program of case finding, contact tracing, source identification, and containment for communicable diseases and toxic exposures • Ability for personnel to rapidly respond to national and international disasters
	Moderate	Participants felt there was MODERATE LPHS activity regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Having the capacity to mobilize volunteers during a disaster
	Minimal	None
Laboratory Support for Investigation of Health Threats	Optimal	Participants felt that there was OPTIMAL LPHS activity regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintaining ready access to laboratories capable of meeting routine diagnostic and surveillance needs • Have ready access to laboratory services to support investigations of public health threats, hazards, and emergencies • Only utilizing laboratories that are licensed and/or credentialed • Maintain current protocols and guidelines for handling laboratory supplies