City of Carson City Agenda Report

Date Submitted: May 10, 2011	Agenda Date Requested: Time Requested:		
To: Mayor and Supervisors			
From: Parks and Recreation Department			
Subject Title: Action to correct the minutes, records, and actions taken by the Board of Supervisors regarding APN 2-374-01 located at Karin Drive. (Juan F. Guzman / Lee Plemel)			
Staff Summary : The staff reports erroneously ide correct number is 2-374-01. This action will correct the actions of the Board through our minutes and re-	et the record and that in turn will		
Type of Action Requested: (check one) () Resolution () Ordinance (X) Formal Action/Motion () Other (Special Content of the Conte	ify)		
Does This Action Require A Business Impact Sta	atement : () Yes (<u>X</u>) No		
Recommended Board Action : I move to correct a Board of Supervisors regarding APN 2-374-01 local		10.77	
Explanation for Recommended Board Action: Were maps and other documents presented that correctioneous assessor parcel number. This action is in actions that necessitate this correction. The first on a Resolution No. 2010-R-63 adopted on December action was to permit the sale of APN 2-374-01 (comproperty owner, Mr. Robert Morris.	ectly identified the property excitended to correct the record. The was on December 2, 2010, and 16, 2010. The subject matter of	ept for the here were two If the second was the Board's	
Applicable Statue, Code, Policy, Rule or Regulat	tion: N/A		
Fiscal Impact: N/A			
Explanation of Impact: N/A			
Funding Source: N/A			
Alternatives: N/A			
 Supporting Material: Minutes of December 2, 2010 Minutes of December 16, 2010 regarding additional and the support of the support	-	R-63	
Prepared By: Juan F. Guzman, Open Space Manag	Date: 5 / 10 1 1		

Reviewed By: Roger Mo	Mi-lle pellendorf, Parks & R	ecreation Direc	Date: 5/19/1
Andrew E	Burnham, Acting City	Manager	Date: 5/19/1
	ver, Assessor Clerk		Date: 5/10/11
Ru	udy flucationey's Office	1 1	Date: 51/0///
Un	Department	uliti	Date: 5/0///
Board Action Taken:			
Motion:		1:	Aye/Nay
		2:	
(Vote Recorded By	7)		

. .

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Minutes of the December 2, 2010 Meeting Page 8

mortgage on the balance of the property and they participate in the equity at the time they decide to sell with our land trust. That keeps our property permanently affordable to the next buyer." Mayor Crowell reviewed the pending motion, and Mr. Hamer acknowledged the importance of notifying the adjacent neighbors. He emphasized the importance of not stigmatizing a buyer "because they're moving into 'an affordable house."

(9:42:42) Morris White expressed the opinion that encumbering the property as low income "will depress the value of that property and the surrounding properties and will cost the City property tax monies. That's not acceptable." He expressed the further opinion that disposal of City property "should be done on an open and competitive bid." In response to a question, he expressed the opinion that designating the property for affordable housing "is just another way of saying low income. They go hand in hand, the two terms ..."

Mayor Crowell entertained further comments or questions and, when none were forthcoming, called for a vote on the pending motion. **Motion carried 5-0.**

Mr. Plemel acknowledged that staff would develop a public outreach program prior to reagendizing the item for Board action. Supervisor Aldean noted the number of rental properties in the neighborhood and requested Mr. Plemel to ensure that property owners as well as occupants are contacted. Discussion took place regarding the method by which to address similar requests. In response to a question, Supervisor Williamson provided background information on the Brown Street property. Ms. Dayton provided a status report on the Brown Street property "in that we recently initiated a follow-up phase 1 environmental because there has been some dumping on the property."

13(C) ACTION TO DETERMINE THAT CITY PROPERTY, LOCATED ON KARIN DRIVE, APN 004-374-01, APPROXIMATELY 3,900 SQUARE FEET IN SIZE, IS NOT DESIRED FOR USE BY THE CITY AND, AS A RESULT OF ITS SIZE, IS TOO SMALL TO ESTABLISH AN ECONOMICALLY VIABLE USE BY ANYONE OTHER THAN A PERSON WHO OWNS REAL PROPERTY ADJACENT TO IT PURSUANT TO NRS 268.061(1)(e), AND DIRECT STAFF TO INITIATE A SALE OF THE PROPERTY TO THE ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNER (9:48:43) - Mayor Crowell introduced this item, and Mr. Plemel reviewed the agenda materials in conjunction with displayed slides. He responded to questions of clarification regarding the adjacent property boundaries, and discussed restrictions relative to the possibility of future park or residential development. He clarified that "the City owns the whole thing, the right-of-way and ... this park parcel. If you just get rid of the park parcel, it's not a developable lot. It's substandard. ... And, with the right-ofway, you would have to dedicate it back to the adjacent property owners for no compensation because it was dedicated with the map." Mr. Plemel further clarified staff's recommended action to determine that the parcel is only valuable to the adjacent property owner. He acknowledged that the subsequent abandonment process would be coordinated in such a way as to provide Mr. Morris and his neighbors with half the right-of-way and the neighbors to the west with half the right-of-way.

Mr. Plemel acknowledged that the subject right-of-way was dedicated with the subdivision map. He advised of having been informed by the District Attorney that the Board's action must be by resolution, which would be agendized for a future meeting. In response to a question, Mr. Werner explained that the open space requirement was introduced with planned unit development law in the late 1970s. He was unaware of any legal encumbrance which would prohibit deeding the park and right-of-way property to the adjacent property owners. "Knowing that area and knowing the age," Mr. Werner expressed the belief that "Lee's description is absolutely correct. You've got a piece of surplus property and you've got a dedicated