

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting
Page 1

A regularly scheduled meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was held on Thursday, March 4, 2005, at the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada, beginning at 8:30 a.m.

PRESENT:	Ray Masayko	Mayor
	Pete Livermore	Supervisor, Ward 3
	Robin Williamson	Supervisor, Ward 1
	Shelly Aldean	Supervisor, Ward 2
	Richard Staub	Supervisor, Ward 4
STAFF PRESENT:	Linda Ritter	City Manager
	Alan Glover	Clerk-Recorder
	Ken Furlong	Sheriff
	Al Kramer	Treasurer
	Sheila Banister	Chief Juvenile Probation Officer
	Andy Burnham	Development Services Director
	Louis Buckley	Fire Chief
	Daren Winkelman	Health Director
	Lisa Roth	Human Resources Director
	Steve Kastens	Parks and Recreation Director
	Steve Albertsen	Undersheriff
	Mark Forsberg	Chief Deputy District Attorney
	Cheryl Adams	Purchasing & Contracts Manager
	Merlene Alt	Chief Deputy Treasurer
	Beverly Moltz	Chief Deputy Sheriff
	Tracy Arnold	Animal Control Supervisor
	Ray Saylo	Lieutenant
	Katherine McLaughlin	Recording Secretary
	(B.O.S. 3/4/04 Tape 1-0010)	

NOTE: Unless otherwise indicated, each item was introduced by staff's reading/outlining/clarifying the Board Action Request and/or supporting documentation. Staff members present for each Department are listed under that Department's heading. Any other individuals who spoke are listed immediately following the item heading. A tape recording of these proceedings is on file in the Clerk-Recorder's office. This tape is available for review and inspection during normal business hours.

CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - Mayor Masayko convened the meeting at 8:30 a.m. Roll call was taken. The entire Board was present, constituting a quorum. Rev. Gary Bowers of the First Christian Church gave the Invocation. Mayor Masayko led the Pledge of Allegiance.

CITIZEN COMMENTS(1-0036) - None.

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - 11/6 AND 20/04 (1-0042) - Supervisor Aldean complimented Ms. McLaughlin on her ability to make her comments coherent and moved to approve the two sets of Minutes,

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting
Page 2

one from the meeting of November 6, 2003, and the other from the meeting of November 20, 2003, as presented. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

2. AGENDA MODIFICATIONS (1-0063) (1-1135) (1-1186) - Mayor Masayko explained the reasons for pulling Item 7 from the Convention and Visitors Bureau and that it will be reagenized for the next meeting.

3. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS - PRESENTATION OF LONGEVITY SERVICE AWARDS TO CITY EMPLOYEES (1-0072) - Human Resources Director Lisa - Mayor Masayko commended the recipients on their longevity and presented the Certificate of Commendation and gift certificates to them. The recipients were: 10 years - William Abbott, Richard Chrzanowski, Eva Chwalisz, Timothy Dehaven, Ken Fraser, John Givlin, Alan Glover, Steven Johnson, Gary Mathews, and Fred Wall; 15 years - Rebecca Beisenstein, Kathie Heath, Thomas Janas, Darold King, Daniel Warne, and Kathy Wolfe; 20 years - Laura Cadot, Rick Gutierrez, Richard Mendoza, and Nancy Nelson; and 30 years - Merlene Alt.

LIQUOR AND ENTERTAINMENT BOARD (1-0190) - Mayor Masayko recessed the Board of Supervisors session and immediately convened the Liquor and Entertainment Board. The entire Board was present, including Member Furlong, constituting a quorum.

5. BUSINESS LICENSE - Treasurer Al Kramer

A. ACTION TO APPROVE BEER AND WINE LICENSE FOR GARY HARPER AND MACK FINCHUM, DOING BUSINESS AS GENO'S PIZZA, LOCATED AT 2629 NORTH CARSON STREET (1-0195) - Mr. Harper and Mr. Finchum were present. Chairperson Masayko noted that the applications state neither applicant is familiar with the Liquor Laws. Chairperson Masayko stressed the need for them to be familiar with the laws for both the City and State. He indicated that having a Liquor License in Carson City is considered a privilege. They explained that they are now familiar with the laws prohibiting the sale of liquor to minors and will provide training for their employees. Chairperson Masayko explained the Code requirement that the Sheriff's officers be allowed access to the establishment at all reasonable times when pursuing their duties. They indicated that they will comply. Member Furlong indicated that the Sheriff's Office had completed its investigations and had no objections. Discussion indicated they plan to be open from 11 a.m. to 9 p.m. The hours listed in the report were in error. At this time they do not propose to provide home deliveries. Member Staub moved to approve a beer and wine license for Gary Harper and Mack Finchum, doing business as Geno's Pizza, located at 2629 North Carson Street, fiscal impact is \$500 original new fee, \$575 investigation fee, and \$150 quarterly fee. Member Livermore seconded the motion. Member Williamson thanked them for their investment in the City. Discussion indicated that they plan to open the third week of March and explained the location. The motion was voted and carried 6-0.

B. ACTION TO APPROVE A BEER AND WINE LICENSE FOR KIM MEI WONG, DOING BUSINESS AS GENGHIS KHAN KITCHEN AND KIM MEI SUSHI BAR LOCATED AT 260 EAST WINNIE LANE (1-0274) - Chairperson Masayko explained the requirement that the Applicant appear before the Board and thanked her for coming. Kim Mei Wong indicated that she is familiar with the Nevada Liquor Laws although this is the first time she has held a Nevada Liquor License. She will adhere to the laws and train her employees appropriately. Discussion indicated that she has six years employment experience in a casino and noted that Sheriff Furlong is her neighbor. Chairperson Masayko indicated that

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting
Page 3

she may be subject to one of the Sheriff's sting operations to test her knowledge of the Liquor Laws. He also reminded her of the need to allow the Sheriff's Deputies access to the establishment at all reasonable times when in the pursuit of their duties. Member Furlong noted the favorable Sheriff's Investigative Report. Ms. Wong described the changes she is making to the establishment and the menu. She indicated that it will be a safer, cleaner and better restaurant. She also recommended against purchasing old restaurants. Member Staub indicated that he appreciated her efforts as he had eaten there on occasion. Member Livermore moved to approve a beer and wine license for Kim Mei Wong dba Genghis Khan Kitchen and dba Kim Mei Sushi Bar located at 260 East Winnie Lane; \$500 original new fee, \$500 investigation fee, and \$150 quarterly fee. Member Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0. Chairperson Masayko welcomed her to the City, wished her success, and indicated that the establishment would not be open for business until March 15.

C. ACTION TO APPROVE AN UPGRADE FROM A BEER AND WINE LICENSE TO A FULL BAR LIQUOR LICENSE FOR STEPHEN LEE DOING BUSINESS AS PANDA KITCHEN LOCATED AT 1986 HIGHWAY 50 EAST (1-0362) - Chairperson Masayko indicated the application is for an upgrade from a beer and wine license. Mr. Lee indicated that he is familiar with the Liquor Laws and that his employees are also knowledgeable about them. Chairperson Masayko reminded him that he must allow the Sheriff's Officers access to the establishment at all reasonable times when in the pursuit of their duties. Mr. Lee agreed to do so. Member Furlong noted the favorable Sheriff's Investigative Report. Member Livermore explained Mr. Lee's long time commitment to the community and thanked him for it. The freeway had forced him to relocate his business. Discussion explained that the establishment had received the award for the best Chinese food since 1986. Last year Reno was added to the area and he did not receive it. Next year Reno will be in a separate class. Member Aldean explained that Mr. Lee is on the local cable television and commended him on his products. Member Aldean moved to approve an upgrade from a beer and wine license to a full bar liquor license for Stephen Lee dba Panda Kitchen located at 1986 Highway 50 East, fiscal impact is \$500 original new fee, \$25 change fee, and \$200 per quarter. Member Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0. Mr. Lee thanked the Board and explained the recent addition of low carb foods to his menu.

4. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (1-0439) - There being no other items for consideration as the Liquor and Entertainment Board, Chairperson Masayko recessed the Liquor and Entertainment Board and immediately reconvened the Board of Supervisors. The entire Board was present, constituting a quorum.

A. INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS - NON-ACTION ITEMS (1-0442) - Supervisor Staub reported on a meeting regarding the Sheriff's administrative facility and the jail; the Debt Management Commission meeting; public contacts regarding the auto mall/row; and contacts on Old Clear Creek Road drainage problems including the City Street Department's repair of the roadway and the establishment of a work group to attempt to resolve its issues. He indicated that the Community Council on Youth is accepting applications for grants and that they will be considered on March 24. He also announced that Babe Ruth sign ups will occur at the Round Table Pizza this evening. Mayor Masayko had committed to tossing out the first ball on opening day. Supervisor Livermore reported on his family vacation; the Fairview Drive neighborhood meeting regarding Sierra Pacific Power Company's proposed substation in that area and announced a meeting scheduled for 3 p.m. this afternoon between City staff and the Company; the Public Health Board meetings; Mental Health Coalition meeting; a YSA meeting; a meeting with the master plan consultant; the Chamber of Commerce Economic Development Committee; Hospital meetings; the joint Parks and Recreation Commission and Planning Commission meeting; and the

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting
Page 4

Parks and Recreation Commission meeting. His explanation of the substation issues included an explanation of the franchise agreement requirement that a master plan indicating future locations of substations was to be developed. It had been delayed due to world issues. He hoped that the Company will seriously pursue this plan so that neighborhoods will be aware of the plans. It has been indicated that two more substations are needed in the community. Mayor Masayko reported on the JOIN Board of Directors meeting; Bordewich-Brey Elementary School's recycled telephone book program, announced that Mrs. Steven's first grade class had recycled the most books, and noted the progress being made on the school's expansion project; the City's "High 5 Award" employee recognition ceremony; the Board of Health meeting and described the purpose of the Board of Health; his participation in the Cub Scouts and Boy Scouts' anniversary celebration at St. Teresa's Catholic Church; his participation with Supervisor Williamson in the Seeliger Elementary School inventors' fair; his participation in the School District's science recognition night, congratulated the winners, and indicated that they will be going to the regional science fair; and his participation in a regional discussion regarding government and the need for regional solutions to similar problems. His explanation included the potential consolidation of several governmental branches in Washoe County. He also noted the current involvement of Washoe County's RTC in Carson City's transit programs. He felt that additional regionalization should be undertaken as there are more common issues than differences. The public should participate in the process. Supervisor Aldean reported on a meeting with Supervisor Staub and City Manager Ritter regarding the auto mall/row; a meeting with Chamber of Commerce Chief Executive Officer Larry Osborne; a public health forum; the TRPA Governing Board meeting; a Mental Health Coalition meeting which Supervisor Livermore had also attended; the Western Nevada Home Consortium meeting; and a meeting with Sheriff Furlong regarding the Sheriff's administrative facility. She announced a Lake Tahoe Wildfire Prevention Seminar to be held on March 18 and briefly limned its agenda. She also announced her plans to attend a NTRPA meeting tomorrow. Supervisor Williamson reported on the Redevelopment Authority Citizens Committee meeting; the School's science fair; the Capital Arts Initiative opening and urged the public to visit the second floor of the Public Safety Complex and view the exhibit; meetings with the master plan consultants; a meeting with Anne Macquarie regarding the pedestrian master plan and the request of NDOT Freeway Project Manager Jim Gallegos for an update on the freeway; a meeting on the HealthSmart budget and policies; a meeting with Health Director Winkelman and Deputy District Attorney Madden regarding the public nuisance ordinance; and the eagles and agricultural tour of Carson Valley. She explained her desire to have a pedestrian workshop on the downtown area. She announced the Hospital Auxiliary's March 13 Cowboy Poetry; the College's athletic fundraiser on March 31, and the Comstock Soccer Shootout scheduled for the weekends of March 17 and 24 and solicited volunteers and referees to participate in it.

B. STAFF COMMENTS AND STATUS REPORTS - NON-ACTION ITEMS (1-1132) -

None.

6. CONSENT AGENDA

6-1. ASSESSOR - ACTION TO APPROVE THE PARTIAL REMOVAL OF TAXES FOR PARCEL NUMBER 010-121-08 FROM THE 2003/04 REAL PROPERTY TAX ROLL PER NRS 361.050

6-2. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - CONTRACTS

A. ACTION TO ACCEPT DEVELOPMENT SERVICES RECOMMENDATION ON THE BRUNSWICK CANYON RESERVOIR - EMERGENCY ACTION PLAN PROJECT, CONTRACT NO. 2003-074 AND AUTHORIZE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES TO ISSUE

PAYMENTS TO MACTEC ENGINEERING AND CONSULTING, INC., 961 MATLEY LANE, SUITE 110, RENO, NV 89502 FOR A CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$40,000 AND AUTHORIZE THE CONTRACTS DIVISION TO ISSUE AMENDMENTS FOR A NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$4,000

B. ACTION TO ACCEPT DEVELOPMENT SERVICES RECOMMENDATION ON THE ROOP STREET WIDENING - ENGINEERING SERVICES PROJECT, CONTRACT NO. 2002-048 AND AUTHORIZE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES TO ISSUE PAYMENTS TO CAPITAL ENGINEERING, INC., P.O. BOX 3750, CARSON CITY, NV 89702 FOR AN AMENDMENT NO. 1 AMOUNT OF \$135,945

6-3. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - ENGINEERING - ACTION TO APPROVE AND AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT PRESENTED TO CARSON CITY BY THE NEVADA DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF ALLOWING THE DEPARTMENT, ITS EMPLOYEES AND CONTRACTORS TO ENTER UPON CITY RIGHTS-OF-WAY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT THE INTERSECTION OF LOMPA LANE AND COLLEGE PARKWAY (1-1145) - Supervisor Aldean disclosed that her husband is employed by MacTec, the contractor for Item 6-2A. Mayor Masayko indicated that her husband is neither a principal of the firm nor the signator to the contract. Supervisor Livermore moved to approve the Consent Agenda which consists of four items, one from the Assessor's Office, two from Development Services - Contracts, and one from Development Services - Engineering. Supervisor Aldean seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

7. CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU - ACTION TO INTRODUCE ON FIRST READING AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 4.08 (ROOM RENTAL TAX), SECTION 4.08.070 (RENTAL BUSINESS AND ROOM DEFINED), BY ADDING "EXTENDED STAY MOTEL", "TIME SHARE", "BED AND BREAKFAST INN", AND "RECREATION VEHICLE PARK" TO THE DEFINITION OF "RENTAL BUSINESS" AND AMENDING SECTION 4.08.100 (EXEMPTIONS) BY ELIMINATING THE TRANSIENT LODGING TAX EXEMPTION FOR THE FIRST 28 DAYS OF AN INDIVIDUAL'S STAY AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO (1-0063) (1-1135) (1-1186) - Pulled due to the need for revisions. Will be agenized for the next meeting.

8. PARKS AND RECREATION - Director Steve Kastens

A. ACTION TO APPROVE THE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION'S RECOMMENDATION TO NOT MAKE ANY CHANGES TO RESOLUTION NO. 1995-R-41, A RESOLUTION CHANGING EXISTING OR ADDING NEW GENERAL FEE POLICIES FOR PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, AND SERVICES PROVIDED (1-1186) - Tom Keeton - Mayor Masayko noted for clarification that Resolution 1995-R-41 is the general policy and Resolution 1995-R-42 establishes the fees and charges. Supervisor Livermore felt that 1995-R-41 had substantial differences from the Board's view regarding operation costs, maintenance costs, percentage of operation for major events, and the general fund's contribution for major events. There were also issues regarding nonprofits and nonresident rates, camping rates and fees, who collects them and how they are used. The Minutes also indicate that there were issues regarding direct and indirect costs and their definitions. Boulder City's consultant had used recovery fees. Information from Internal Finance regarding recreational recovery costs had been provided. In view of these concerns, he requested the item be agenized for a full

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting

Page 6

discussion rather than have it approved as part of the Consent Agenda. The Commission's discussion indicated there is a major difference of opinion over the nonresident fees. The current nonresident user fee is 10 percent above the base fee. Some aquatic facility passes are not sold to nonresidents. The Reno Kennel Club's use of Fuji Park was cited as an example of his concerns. Mayor Masayko agreed that this is the correct place for the policy discussion to occur. He also indicated his trust and respect for the Commissioners, who are volunteers, and City staff. He was satisfied with the recommendations contained in Resolution 1995-R-41. If he had desired a change, he would have made a presentation to the Commission rather than have the policy percolate down to the Commission and then staff. Supervisor Aldean also felt that City staff and the Commission were quite capable of reviewing the fee structures. Discussion between Supervisor Aldean and Mr. Kastens noted Mr. Kastens' commitment to increase the fees if a budget problem is encountered. Mr. Kastens did not at this time anticipate a problem. Mr. Kastens indicated that the next agenda item deals with the recreation fees. These fees generated approximately \$200,000 last year. The park rentals generate between \$25,000 and \$30,000. Mayor Masayko indicated that this is not a significant amount. Even if the chair and table rentals are tripled, it will still not generate a significant amount. The Latch Key and aquatic programs create a significant amount of revenue. Supervisor Williamson voiced her respect for the City staff and the Commissioners. She felt, however, that the nonresident and resident issue needed to be discussed to determine whether the City is losing money or if the desired result is being accomplished. If it is not, the differential should be increased to 25 percent. Supervisor Livermore used the Kit Carson Rendezvous and the Fourth of July events to illustrate his point. These groups repeatedly request fee waivers. They are major events which draw a lot of tourists to the community. Should the General Fund pay its fees? Should the Board eliminate their fees? Last year the Rendezvous paid \$1,000 for its event. He felt that their fee waivers should be handled the same as the Board had handled the Nevada Day Committee's. This would eliminate the need for them to request waivers. Mayor Masayko explained that the Nevada Day Committee's fees had not been waived. The Parks and Recreation Department had not been required to absorb the fees. The Board had used its discretionary funds to pay the fee or found another funding source, such as the Convention and Visitors Bureau, to pay it. Mr. Kastens agreed. Mayor Masayko felt that if the Convention and Visitors Bureau wants to subsidize the park fees, it should have a line item in its budget to do so. He objected to waiving all the fees and having the General Fund support the events. He also questioned where the fee waiving would stop once it is started. The taxpayers are already supporting a substantial amount of the Department's operation. The park fees are not excessive. Therefore, he did not support having them pay the user's fees. This policy does not change the budget. It does send a consistent message. Mr. Kastens supported his position. Mayor Masayko then expressed his belief that the nonprofit organizations should not seek a fee waiver late in the process. They should be part of the community services grants. Supervisor Livermore supported his approach. He felt that it was awkward to have to deal with nonprofit organizations seeking \$200 fee waivers. (1-1545) He referenced Page 4 of the Parks and Recreation Commission Minutes to explain the concern with the Reno Kennel Club. It appears as if they are coming to Carson City due to the lower facility and camping fees. He felt that people should not be allowed to camp at Fuji Park. He also indicated that the following agenda item includes a per day fee for camping. He questioned where these fees are allocated and how they are spent. Mayor Masayko agreed that the City should not create an unfair competition for businesses. This decision should not be made in a vacuum. He wanted to hear from the Convention and Visitors Bureau, the Parks and Recreation Commission, local business people, and the public before a decision is made on it. This discussion should commence at the staff level and go to the Commissions/Committees and come to the Board. Mr. Kastens explained that discussions with the consultant indicated that the master plan update will include consideration of the direct costs, fees, and policies, including the RV situation. Staff will notify the appropriate parties at that time to obtain their

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting
Page 7

comments. He suggested that the discussion be deferred until that time. Mayor Masayko agreed and cautioned against mixing apples and oranges as the master plan includes future visions regarding where new parks should be located and how they should be managed. This is different from the user fees. Mr. Kastens explained that if the RV policy is changed, it will impact future parks and their developments. Supervisor Williamson supported his recommendation and indicated that there will be new Commissioners and a new Parks and Recreation Director shortly. It may be more apropos to take a "hard" look at the resolution next year after the master plan is completed. She objected to fee waivers as it costs money to have the facilities clean and for the power to run the event. An event that has been occurring for 10 to 15 years should be able to afford the facility. If not, it needs to look at its internal structure. Mayor Masayko concurred. Supervisor Staub pointed out that nonprofit organizations do make a profit and have income. The events create income for the organization. The term nonprofit is a definition established and used by the IRS. He asked that Gene Lepire be invited to the meetings. Supervisor Livermore recommended that the proposed Resolution be set aside until after the master plan is reviewed. Its impact is insignificant. The existing resolution is in place and should be followed until the policy is thoroughly reviewed. Mayor Masayko felt that the Board is in a Catch 22 position. There are few changes in the proposed resolution. Failure to act on the new resolution will automatically retain the former resolution. Mayor Masayko then directed that the record indicate that the Parks and Recreation Department had suggested no changes to the policy established in Resolution 1995-R-41. The discussion was good and valid as it questioned whether the Board wished to provide input to the Commission and staff regarding it. He felt that the Board's direction had indicated that as staff is reviewing the master plan, these items should be included on their agenda. Changes may be made in the future. His intent to set the resolution aside without a vote was indicated unless a motion is made. The current resolution will remain in enforce. Public comments were then solicited.

Mr. Keeton urged the Board to adopt the Resolution. The Commission had held a good discussion on it. He had voted against the Resolution at the Commission meeting due to his desire to increase the nonresident fees. The resolution requires an annual review. The annual review has not occurred for some time. He promised to have annual reviews on it. The master plan process is an encompassing umbrella. The fees should not be considered as part of the master plan. The fees are a Commission and Board function. The Commission had also reviewed Resolution 1995-R-42 with the same result. He committed to having annual reviews in the future. Public comments were again solicited. None were given.

Mayor Masayko reiterated his intent to set the matter aside unless a motion is made. Supervisor Staub referenced Paragraph 1 indicating that the Commission will review the fees annually and forward a recommendation to the Board. He questioned whether setting the matter aside complied with the resolution. The Commission's recommendation is that no fee increases are recommended. Mayor Masayko indicated that he did not have a problem with either setting it aside or approving a motion. Supervisor Staub then moved to accept the Parks and Recreation Commission's recommendation to not make any changes to Resolution No. 1995-R-41, a RESOLUTION CHANGING EXISTING OR ADDING NEW GENERAL FEE POLICIES FOR THE PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, AND SERVICES PROVIDED; the fiscal impact is unknown. Supervisor Aldean seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

B. ACTION TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 1995-R-42, A RESOLUTION CHANGING EXISTING OR ADDING NEW SPECIFIC FEE POLICIES FOR PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, AND SERVICES PROVIDED (1-1802) - Deputy District Attorney Mark Forsberg - Discussion pointed out that the Commission recommends the fee

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting
Page 8

structures to the Board. It cannot automatically increase the fees. Supervisor Staub suggested that language spelling out this process be included in the proposed resolution as contained in Resolution 1995-R-41, Page 1, Paragraph 1. Clarification indicated that Paragraph 10 of the proposed resolution should be removed. Discussion ensued regarding the codification marks in the resolution. Clarification explained the equipment replacement policy which had been eliminated when funding was found within the City budget for this purpose. Mr. Forsberg had not researched the legality of having the equipment rental fees allocated to a special equipment replacement account. Mayor Masayko felt that if the fund is setup, the Board should see it and should not use General Fund monies for this purpose. Justification for not establishing this procedure due to the precedence it will create was discussed. Mr. Kastens indicated that the equipment rental is for the tables and chairs at the Community Center and Fuji Park. Supervisor Aldean corrected a typographical error on Page 5, Paragraph 1, second line changing "as" to "at" and on Page 6 between lines 5 and 6 changing "and" to "are". Supervisor Livermore explained the purpose of having the rental fees allocated to replacement of the equipment. It had not been established as a slush fund. He also indicated that the purpose of the 15 percent fee increase was a direct result of increasing the camping fees 200 percent. Discussion ensued on whether to leave this clause in. Discussion also indicated that the camping fee should be allowed to continue until after the master plan is reviewed. The Board can then make a determination as to whether camping will be allowed in City parks. Mr. Kastens indicated that at this time the City collects the camping fee from very few groups as nonprofit organizations are not required to pay the fee. Supervisor Livermore felt that the Reno Kennel Club had charged \$18 to camp and had received the fees. The City had not received anything for camping. Mr. Kastens explained that the Reno Kennel Club is not the only group who camps at Fuji Park. The Silver Dollar Car Classic and the Rendezvous also allow camping. He urged the Board to allow staff to develop a different policy. Mayor Masayko reiterated the intent to have the master plan review the camping program. Supervisor Staub suggested elimination of "The Parks and Recreation Commission can raise fees in any amount over a two-year period." in Paragraph 10 and reiterated his request that Paragraphs 1 and 2 from Resolution 1995-R-41 be added. Mr. Kastens concurred. Discussion indicated that "beginning in 1996" did not need to be included. Public comments were solicited but none were given. **Supervisor Staub moved to adopt Resolution No. 2004-R-4, A RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 1995-R-42, A RESOLUTION CHANGING EXISTING OR ADDING NEW SPECIFIC FEE POLICIES FOR PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, AND SERVICES PROVIDED, fiscal impact is unknown, with the following amendments to the new resolution: addressing Page 5 of the new resolution adding the language contained in 1995-R-41, Paragraphs 1 and 2 to the beginning of the resolution just after the title "NEW OR CHANGED SPECIFIC FEE POLICIES FOR CITY PARKS AND RECREATION FACILITIES" and changing the numbering of the current language accordingly after adding the two new paragraphs, with the one change to Paragraph 2 of 1995-R-41 to state "effective date of any changes should be January 1 of each year.", further amending the previous Paragraph 1 on Page 1, Line 24-1/2 deleting "as" and substituting "at" so that it should read after the paren "should be set to cover at least twenty-five percent", further on Page 2 of the new resolution in previously existing Paragraph 3, between Line 5 and 6, within the parens "youth sports programs are" and not and, "are not meeting direct costs", and further on the last page of the resolution in old Paragraph 10 deleting the recommended new language "The Parks and Recreation Commission can raise fees in any amount over a two-year period.".** Discussion ensued regarding Paragraph 10 and its intent. The revision as indicated was not changed. **Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion.** Discussion ensued concerning the effective date of the resolution. The resolution indicates the fees will be effective on January 1. Mr. Forsberg suggested that the effective date be made discretionary. The Commission had adopted the resolution in December and believed that the Board would approve the resolution in January.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting

Page 9

Supervisor Williamson pointed out the need for a date to be included in Paragraph 5 on Page 1. Mr. Forsberg questioned the need to have the section regarding January 1 elsewhere in the resolution. The resolution is to be reviewed annually. Mayor Masayko voiced concerns about mandating annual approval of the resolution. If the clause is removed, it would not be necessary to have an implementation date. Mr. Kastens suggested that the Board put today's date in Paragraph 5 on Page 1 and that the January 1 date be effective for future increases. **Supervisor Staub amended his motion to address in the new resolution in the Whereas Paragraph regarding when the increases are to take effect to be upon passing and approval by the Board of Supervisors and make the same change to Paragraph 2 that is to be added "that the effective date of any change in fees shall be upon passage and approval by the Board of Supervisors"**. Making this change to both of the paragraphs makes it clear that the resolution will be effective when adopted by the Board. The revision also recognizes that the Commission may not act in a timely fashion to allow implementation on January 1. It allows flexibility based upon when the Parks and Recreation Director presents the fee increases to the Commission and the Commission makes its recommendation to the Board. **Supervisor Livermore continued his second.** Mayor Masayko stressed the need for the resolution to be flexible although he felt that there could be problems when setting a calendar as it may be difficult to quote fees to potential users. **The motion as amended was voted and carried 5-0.**

RECESS: A recess was declared at 10:45 a.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Masayko convened the meeting at 10:57 a.m., constituting a quorum.

9. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - Director Walter Sullivan - **ACTION TO INTRODUCE ON FIRST READING, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE TITLE 18, ZONING, SECTION 18.04.125, DOWNTOWN COMMERCIAL, TO ALLOW RESIDENTIAL USES AS A PRIMARY PERMITTED USE AND TO DELETE SINGLE FAMILY, TWO FAMILY AND MULTI-FAMILY DWELLINGS FROM THE CONDITIONAL USES LISTED IN CCMC 18.04.125(2), AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO (1-2705)** - Mayor Masayko indicated that the amendments requested by the Board had been made. Public comments were solicited but none were given. Supervisor Williamson moved to introduce on first reading Bill No. 103, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE TITLE 18, ZONING, SECTION 18.04.125, DOWNTOWN COMMERCIAL, TO ALLOW RESIDENTIAL USES AS A PRIMARY PERMITTED USE AND TO DELETE SINGLE FAMILY, TWO FAMILY AND MULTI-FAMILY DWELLINGS FROM THE CONDITIONAL USES LISTED IN CCMC 18.04.125(2), AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO. Supervisor Aldean seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (1-2802) - Mayor Masayko then recessed the Board of Supervisors session and passed the gavel to Chairperson Williamson who convened the Redevelopment Authority. For Minutes of the Redevelopment Authority, see its folder.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS (1-3009) - Following adjournment of the Redevelopment Authority, Chairperson Williamson returned the gavel to Mayor Masayko who reconvened the Board of Supervisors session. The entire Board was present, constituting a quorum.

10. REDEVELOPMENT/ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT - Director Joe McCarthy - **ACTION TO ACCEPT THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY CITIZEN COMMITTEE'S RECOMMEND-**

ATION TO AWARD INCENTIVE FUNDING TO ANDI WILSON AND BRAD BONKOWSKI IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$5,512.60 OR NOT TO EXCEED 20 PERCENT OF THE PROJECT COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH AN IMPROVEMENT PROJECT FOR THE STEWART AND 50 PLAZA REFURBISHING AT 306 AND 310 EAST WILLIAMS STREET, APN 002-156-04 (1-3012) - Supervisor Williamson moved to accept Redevelopment Authority's recommendation to award incentive funding to Andi Wilson and Brad Bonkowski in an amount not to exceed \$5,512.60 or not to exceed 20 percent of the project costs associated with an improvement project for the Stewart and 50 Plaza refurbishing at 306 and 310 East Williams Street, APN 002-156-04. Supervisors Staub and Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

11. CITY MANAGER - Linda Ritter

A. ACTION TO APPROVE A RESPONSE TO CONGRESSMAN JIM GIBBONS' REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK REGARDING HIS PROPOSAL TO REDUCE THE MONIES THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT RECEIVES UNDER THE SOUTHERN NEVADA PUBLIC LANDS MANAGEMENT ACT (SNPLA) FOR LAND ACQUISITIONS, WHILE INCREASING THE PERCENTAGE OF FUNDING THAT IS USED FOR EDUCATION (1-3045) - Congressman Gibbons' Regional Aide Vinson Guthreau and District Director Betty Jo Vanderheide - Mayor Masayko disclosed for the record that he had two discussions with Mr. Guthreau and his request that Mr. Guthreau provide a status report indicating what the other Counties have done. Mr. Guthreau read Congressman Gibbon's prepared statement into the record explaining the proposed changes to the SNPLA. Mayor Masayko indicated that the Board options were to adopt the resolution or to provide verbal direction/consensus. He commended Congressman Gibbons on his vision and leadership. They had discussed the concept 60 days ago when they had discussion several potential land acquisitions in Carson City. He felt that the proposal to use \$575 million to acquire land for open spaces eliminates the possible use of other tools for the same purpose. These tools include conservation easements, transfer of development rights, etc. The parcels the Board had discussed for potential acquisition may or may not have been environmentally sensitive or threatened by development. The majority of the parcels were rather remotely located. There are no funds for Federal management of lands outside Clark County. More than 100,000 acres have been acquired in other Counties. There is no agreement on the management of these lands outside BLM's normal management practices. Mr. Guthreau indicated that the Lake Tahoe funding will not be impacted by the proposal. Mayor Masayko pointed out that TRPA has capital funds available for EIS studies but none for operational costs or maintenance of the projects. He felt that the concept is important for Nevada to consider. Some individuals may believe that the removal of the lands from the private sector and turning them into Federal holdings may be a good policy. He agreed with the concept. He supported the management of environmentally sensitive lands by the Open Space Advisory Committee and, specifically, those parcels that had used Carson City funds for acquisition. This provides local management rather than management from Washington, D.C. He then explained Washoe County's proposal that the Feds release the same amount of land as they acquire. This would keep the Federal controlled acreage at the same level. He also pointed out the BLM decision to dispose of urban interface land which had required the City to front more than \$100,000 for a land use study. He felt that the disposal process was very lengthy. The alternative is to have the Counties submit public land bills which will instantly cut through the red tape. White Pine and Lincoln Counties may be the exception to this program. He felt that money tends to shift the focus and compromises the intent and approach for acquiring open space and environmentally sensitive lands. Although he understood the concept, he was unsure whether \$380 million should be used for acquisitions in just Clark County or, under extremely tight rules, elsewhere. He agreed

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting
Page 11

that the residents of Nevada should benefit from the funds generated by the sale of the land. If a \$241 million education trust fund at four percent interest generates \$10 million annually, Nevada schools, students, and residents could use these funds. He did not have any control over the State Department of Education and other controlling factors regarding how these funds are used. He agreed that \$8.5 million is a formidable amount.

(2-0034) Supervisor Aldean expressed her appreciation for the statement that the \$300 million for TRPA EIP projects will not be impacted. She did not have a philosophical problem with the concept. She suggested that the SNPLA process provide a guideline/definition that will spell out the environmentally sensitive issues the acquisitions will be addressing. The current process allows consideration for acquisition of some parcels which others do not consider environmentally sensitive sites. She understood the reasons for wanting to keep the funds generated by the sale of Clark County lands for Clark County acquisitions. She did not wish to remove all of the environmentally sensitive lands in Carson City but preferred to supplement City Open Space funds with the Clark County funds when needed. She also supported considering the use of conservation easements. Consideration of the management, maintenance and upkeep costs also needs to be provided in areas beyond Clark County.

(2-0077) Mr. Guthreau indicated that Congressman Gibbons believes that land is being acquired due to the funding availability. This is not a good policy. This is the reason for the suggestion that the criteria and uses be revised. Environmentally sensitive land needs to be defined. Supervisor Aldean expressed concern that the intent will be to keep all of the funds for Clark County. She supported using the funds outside Clark County for property that is truly environmentally sensitive. Mr. Guthreau reiterated that the Tahoe funding will not be touched. Land acquisitions have occurred in the past and will continue to occur in the future. He also indicated that there are Counties which do not have any land for acquisitions. Supervisor Aldean reiterated her support for the use of alternatives including conservation easements. She also indicated her desire to have funding available as an alternative when City funding falls short. The \$300 million for Tahoe is not for land acquisitions but rather for environmental improvement projects. TRPA had at one time suggested implementing a fuel tax for its EIP projects. She pointed out the need to provide funding for maintenance of EIP projects. She was also concerned about utilizing the funds for other things and/or the lack of sufficient funding for maintenance which will force local governments to maintain the lands. Mr. Guthreau reiterated the need to define environmentally sensitive lands and the intent to include funding for some land acquisitions as well as maintenance for the projects. Supervisor Aldean then suggested that a memorandum of understanding be developed when properties are acquired describing how the properties will be maintained. It should address both the Federal and local desires. The suggestion was based on the current Forest Service policy of closing roads in the Tahoe Basin. The memorandum of understanding will provide a consistent and long-term policy regarding the land and the maintenance of the roads for recreational purposes. Mr. Guthreau indicated that Congressman Gibbons believes that the Feds are not the best land managers and the roads initiative was cited as an example of the use concerns. Locals do not always support the Federal program. The memorandum of understanding was felt to be a good idea. He volunteered to work with her on this program which he hoped could be done voluntarily and without legislation. This would provide for more local input. Supervisor Aldean indicated that without legislation it would require voluntary compliance which may not be very successful.

Discussion explained Mr. Guthreau's belief that there were at least two more land sales pending in Clark County. One sale may be completed this year. The second has been delayed due to the boundary expansion

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting
Page 12

and contract problems. The actual land total has yet to be developed as they have not established the boundary. Military problems with Nellis Air Force Base have also been encountered. He was unsure when the program will be closed. It is dependent upon the land sales. He hoped to be able to complete his fact-finding mission. He will then develop language addressing the concerns that does not conflict with other acts. Congress must approve the revisions. This is the normal process. It is a very complex issue. He hoped to have the bill introduced this year. Supervisor Williamson suggested that the Board agendaize the issue for action at another meeting. Discussion indicated that the local governments have been nominating property for the priority acquisition list. The Feds have not been telling the local governments what will be purchased. Mr. Guthreau felt that the Secretary of Interior had never refused to approve a nomination that has been approved by the State BLM Office. The State agency considers the budget when finalizing the priorities. The State Office does not discount a County supported nomination. Several eligible local groups, who could submit nominations to the State Office, were identified. Mayor Masayko explained that the American Land Conservancy had nominated priorities as an illustration of the group's abilities to provide nominations. The Board had not considered these sites. Mr. Guthreau explained that Mr. Abbey had advised Congressman Gibbons that he does not include priorities which are not approved by the Counties. Comments indicated that this policy is not mandated. Lincoln and White Pine Counties have had priorities submitted. Mr. Guthreau was unsure whether the Counties had supported these nominations. He expressed a willingness to check into and report back to the Board on this matter. He was certain that more land has been acquired than has been sold. Mayor Masayko felt that acquisitions had been purchased in all 17 Counties. Mr. Guthreau agreed to confirm this statement.

Supervisor Livermore explained the lengthy process required to obtain the BLM site for the Senior Center and the time already committed to the acquisition of the three-acre Forest Service parcel adjacent to Costco. He felt that more input should be allowed from local governments regarding the disposal process. He questioned the reasons the City must pay \$250,000 for the BLM interface program application for the land adjacent to Lyon County. He felt that sales for economic development created by growth within the community should be a consideration. Water use and disposal of Federal land in Clark County also needs to consider the impact and limitations they are placing on rural counties. He believed that it is not a good idea to sell land in Clark County at the other Counties expense. He urged Mr. Guthreau to consider Lyon and Churchill Counties concerns about the purchase of lands and the sale of their water rights for other purposes. Mr. Guthreau indicated that Congressman Gibbons adamantly opposes Federal ownership of water rights. Supervisor Livermore explained the impact Federal striping of water rights is having on Churchill County as an example of their concerns. Counties want to the right to create their own destinies. Comments pointed out that the Bureau of Indian Affairs is a perpetual owner and was a recipient of some of the water rights.

Mr. Guthreau indicated that a position paper has not yet been drafted. The fact-finding process is just beginning. He was unsure of Senator Ensign and Reid's positions. Senator Ensign was concerned about the loss of funding for Tahoe. This is not now a consideration due to the commitment to continue its funding. Supervisor Staub felt that the Board objects to limiting Federal land acquisition in Carson City. He, personally, did not believe that Federal ownership of land in Nevada, which is currently in excess of 85 percent of the total land mass, is in Nevada's best interest. Federal control over land in Carson City is not in Carson City's best interest as indicated by the BLM track record. Open Space's acquisition of the Bernhard property and the sale of 140 acres in Douglas County were cited as examples of the poor track record. The Board had questioned the nomination process it had just completed. It was felt that the Board must participate or it will lose the funding even though some of the nominations did not appear to meet the criteria. He

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting
Page 13

supported use of some of the funds for education particularly in view of its apparent financial situation the education system finds itself in at this time. The suggested \$200 to \$240 million funding base is to be spread over four years. It will be a huge plus for the education system. Federal management, specifically by BLM, means closure. Several examples of closures were cited to illustrate this belief. He felt that the land was closed as they are unable to maintain it. Federal areas left open are locations which gold mining companies want which devastates the wilderness. When the gold runs out, they abandon the site unreclaimed. The Federal containment policy is to fence such sites. Federal acquisition of property causes the local governments to lose taxes on the land. Keeping all of the funds for Clark County is an extreme measure. Other Counties should get some of the funds under a reallocation process.

Mayor Masayko questioned the enforcement ability of a memorandum of understanding particularly if Congress enacts legislation countering the memorandum or BLM's policies change. Overhead and maintenance will not be perpetually funded without the establishment of a trust fund. Other programs will spend the capital. The community will then be forced to fund the maintenance from its resources. He did not believe that long term capital funds should be used for this purpose. He would not object to a large trust fund which uses its interest for perpetual operation and maintenance. He was not interested in having more federally controlled land in the area if there is another alternative that can be used to protect the environmentally sensitive and threatened sites. Private citizens wish to maintain and keep the land. Open Space wants the parcels to remain open that are in the sensitive viewshed areas. They do not want the property on Spooner that is not visible. It was felt that this property was not threatened. It had a very low priority. Concerns regarding the Forest Service's access program were cited including what had occurred in the Jawbridge Wilderness Area. The Board's decisions will impact the future. His experience indicates that selling to the Feds causes the locals to lose control over the property. Congressional policies do not always address local issues and requires lengthy periods to accomplish. He then explained that the recommended list for nomination for acquisition had nine separate owners for 780 acres at a total cost of \$2.6 million. The Board nominated only 368.5 acres. The majority of it was surrounded by Federal land or along the River. It totaled \$1.76 million. The Board used tight criteria in its determination of the priorities.

Supervisor Aldean indicated that she had voiced concerns about the property in Kings Canyon during the nomination process. She supported establishing a trust fund for the operation and maintenance. She felt that the funding for education will be used for buildings without consideration of the maintenance and operational costs. Discussion indicated that the funds must be put in a trust fund and that only the interest will be used. The interest could be used for capital projects as well as maintenance. The recipient will determine how the funds are used. The allocation of funding is not always done with "insight". Supervisor Aldean expressed her belief that the Board may wish to limit the federal acquisitions in Carson City, however, the Board does not wish to prohibit federal acquisitions. Mayor Masayko felt that the discussions indicate the Board supports limiting the acquisitions and that a policy should be drafted and, if approved, it will be submitted to Congressman Gibbons. Supervisor Aldean felt that Mr. Guthreau understood the Board's concerns. She explained that the environmental groups look at their specific agendas when making recommendations. The Board must consider the economic impacts. The environmental groups should also consider the economic impacts and tighten the eligibility for consideration criteria. Mr. Guthreau indicated that Washoe County had expressed similar concerns. Congressman Gibbons understands and supports the city/county open space plans. The question remains as to whether the land being sold so that growth will occur or vice versa. Open space is considered a valuable commodity to the communities. Question 1 funding is for the State to use on environmentally sensitive projects that have been identified for open space. Open space is a value that should

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting
Page 14

be done without pitting environmental issues against educational needs at every Legislative session. Mayor Masayko felt that a large trust fund for operational and maintenance of the environmentally sensitive land is a better method of meeting the needs than spending the capital or acquiring additional property without consideration of the operational and maintenance costs. Money should not drive the projects. Mr. Guthreau felt that Mr. Abbey repeatedly indicates that additional funds are needed to manage the land they control. Mayor Masayko pointed out that at this time the BLM does not have a memorandum of understanding program on the management of their land. The policy is dictated by Washington, D.C. Public comments were solicited. None were given. Mayor Masayko felt that the comments clearly indicate that the Board has concerns about federal acquisitions in Carson City. He reiterated his intent to agenize a written policy for consideration by the Board and suggested that other Board members help draft it. He questioned whether a statement could be successfully approved based on the comments.

Mayor Masayko passed the gavel to Mayor Pro-Tem Livermore and **moved to ask the City Manager to respond to Congressman Gibbons as a result of his letter of January 15, 2004, and the question he posed, that the Board respond with yes, the Carson City Board of Supervisors does support the efforts to limit the Federal land acquisition in our “consolidated municipality” or County, and that we had an open meeting discussion, a fairly lively discussion on the matter, and if we decide to draft further policy, it will be forthcoming. Supervisor Staub seconded the motion.** Supervisor Aldean suggested that the motion be qualified to limit Federal land acquisitions to indicate that the Board supports the use of funds generated by the sale of land in Clark County to acquire truly environmental sensitive properties within the Board’s jurisdiction if warranted. Mayor Masayko felt that this term was inherent to the motion as it does not say stop. It says limit. Supervisor Aldean indicated that her concern is to Congressman Gibbons’ intent to limit the funding acquisitions to Clark County. Mr. Guthreau was unsure whether the Board’s intent was different from that expressed by Congressman Gibbons. They are in the process of reconsidering the language at a result of the County meetings. The actual language of the proposed bill draft had not yet been developed. Supervisor Aldean suggested an amendment that would limit but not eliminate the acquisitions. Mayor Masayko indicated that the motion should stand as verbalized. **The motion was voted and carried 4-1 with Supervisor Williamson voting Naye.**

Mayor Pro-Tem Livermore returned the gavel to Mayor Masayko. (1-0762) Supervisor Williamson explained her need to leave the meeting for an appointment. (She left the meeting at 12:08 p.m. A quorum of the Board was still present.)

B. ACTION TO APPROVE A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING THAT ALL ELECTED OFFICIALS AND INDIVIDUALS SERVING ON ADVISORY BOARDS TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PARTICIPATE IN AN ORIENTATION REGARDING THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEVADA OPEN MEETING LAW AND THE NEVADA ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT LAW (2-0775) -. Supervisor Livermore felt that there were two other Resolutions that had been considered along with the proposed resolution. They had not been submitted for review. Ms. Ritter indicated that she will check the Minutes. Discussion questioned whether \$500 will be adequate for the training. Ms. Ritter explained that the training will be conducted annually and that the Cooperative Extension Service is conducting the training. Assistance/training from the Attorney General’s Office, the Ethics Commission and the Nevada Association of Counties will also be provided. Mayor Masayko supported recommending the attendance rather than requiring the attendance. Supervisor Aldean explained that Bill 113 had gone to the Legislature’s Governmental Affairs Committee. The Board had authorized the appointment of a Vice

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting
Page 15

Chairperson who is to serve a two-year term. The other resolution dealt with conducting a joint meeting with the Charter Review Committee. Discussion indicated that a one year time limit for the individuals/officials to participate in the training should be included in the resolution. It was also pointed out that the Board cannot force the elected officials to attend the training. Public comments were solicited but none were given. Supervisor Staub moved to adopt Resolution 2004-R-5, A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING THAT ALL ELECTED OFFICIALS AND INDIVIDUALS SERVING ON ADVISORY BOARDS TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS PARTICIPATE IN AN ORIENTATION REGARDING THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEVADA OPEN MEETING LAW AND THE NEVADA ETHICS IN GOVERNMENT LAW in accordance with the resolution attached with the following amendment at the end of the "Now, therefore," paragraph to be within 12 months of their election and/or appointments. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Supervisor Staub continued his motion to include a fiscal impact of \$5000. Supervisor Livermore concurred. Mayor Masayko felt that a report should be submitted when the funding is depleted. The motion was voted and carried 4-0.

RECESS: A recess was declared at 12:15 p.m. Mayor Masayko reconvened the meeting at 1:30 p.m. The entire Board was present, constituting a quorum.

C. ACTION TO APPOINT ONE MEMBER TO THE CARSON RIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR A THREE YEAR TERM ENDING JANUARY 1, 2007 (2-0897) - Mayor Masayko questioned the reasons some of the appointments expire in July and others expire in January. Tom Young was not available for an interview due to a prior commitment. It was felt that his inability to attend the meeting did not automatically disqualify him but would place him at a disadvantage. The Board interviewed (2-0937) Keith Wills and (2-1261) Christy Chamberlain. They were each thanked for volunteering their services. The Board was polled and selected Mr. Wills. Ms. Chamberlain was encouraged to resubmit her application in July when another position will be open. Supervisor Aldean moved to appoint Keith Wills to the Carson River Advisory Committee for a three-year term ending January 1, 2007. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Supervisor Livermore encouraged Ms. Chamberlain to apply for a position on the Parks and Recreation Commission. Motion carried 5-0. Mayor Masayko congratulated Mr. Wills on his appointment.

There being no other matters for consideration, Supervisor Livermore moved to adjourn. Supervisor Aldean seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. Mayor Masayko adjourned the meeting at 2:11 p.m.

The Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting

ARE SO APPROVED ON May 6, 2004.

/s/
Ray Masayko, Mayor

ATTEST:

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the March 4, 2004, Meeting
Page 16

/s/
Alan Glover, Clerk-Recorder