

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 1

A regularly scheduled meeting of the Carson City Board of Supervisors was held on Thursday, March 7, 2002, at the Community Center Sierra Room, 851 East William Street, Carson City, Nevada, beginning at 8:30 a.m.

PRESENT: Ray Masayko Mayor
 Jon Plank Supervisor, Ward 2
 Robin Williamson Supervisor, Ward 1
 Pete Livermore Supervisor, Ward 3
 Richard S. Staub Supervisor, Ward 4

STAFF PRESENT: John Berkich City Manager
 Alan Glover Clerk-Recorder
 David Heath Finance Director
 William Naylor Information Services Director
 Mark Forsberg Chief Deputy District Attorney
 Larry Werner City Engineer
 William Windle Project Leader/System Analyst
 Cheryl Adams Deputy Purchasing Director
 Vince Pirozzi EMS Battalion Chief
 Katherine McLaughlin Recording Secretary
 Justine Chambers Contracts Coordinator
 (B. O. S. 3/7/02 Tape 1-0035)

NOTE: Unless otherwise indicated, each item was introduced by staff's reading/outlining/clarifying the Board Action Request and/or supporting documentation. Staff members present for each Department are listed under that Department's heading. Any other individuals who spoke are listed immediately following the item heading. A tape recording of these proceedings is on file in the Clerk-Recorder's office. This tape is available for review and inspection during normal business hours.

CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, INVOCATION, AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - Mayor Masayko convened the meeting at 8:30 a.m. Roll call was taken. The entire Board was present constituting a quorum. Rev. Patrick Propster of the Carson City Christian Fellowship gave the Invocation. Mayor Masayko lead the Pledge of Allegiance.

CITIZEN COMMENTS - (1-0064) Mickey Wells asked that something be done about the junk yard next door to him on Applegate. He had purportedly submitted petitions signed by the neighbors regarding it. Law enforcement has been there numerous times. Nothing is occurring. Eight years is a long time for nothing to happen. Mayor Masayko indicated that the City would renew its efforts. Mr. Wells felt that it is a nuisance that smells of gas and oil. The owner has a wrecker and hauls things around with it. He parks 10 to 15 cars on the street and hassles and threatened everyone. He purportedly has a gun. He had purportedly had him arrested for threatening him with the gun but they changed the charge to harassment. He has been told by the courts not to run a business there. He still has a business there. Nothing has been done to change the problems. Something must be done. Mayor Masayko referred him to the Code Enforcement Officer. Mr. Wells explained his reasons for coming to the Board.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 2

He felt that the Board should investigate the problem. The problems are purportedly impacting his ability to sell his house and his property value.

Mike Hoffman explained his concerns about the former midget racetrack at the Fairgrounds/Fuji Park. He asked that it be removed as it is a hazard and an eye sore. There are a lot of large events coming. It has the potential for serious accidents to occur. The stands are falling apart. The weeds and sage brush are fire hazards. The fence is falling down. A power pole with electrical wiring is on the ground. The announcer's booth has been trashed. It is a public nuisance. He urged the Board to do something about it. They have volunteers who are willing to help remove it. Mayor Masayko explained that there is a request for a Special Use Permit for the racetrack. If the racetrack remains, staff will take appropriate action.

Robert Johns from Pinion Hills explained his desire to discuss the proposal to move the fairgrounds to the Ambrose Nature Area. It will create too much traffic for his area. There is no City property on the east side of the river. He questioned where a well would be placed. The area cannot handle the thousands of cars nor its parking needs safely. Mayor Masayko reminded him that the matter is only an idea and a suggestion. There will be lots of opportunities for discussion of this idea before a decision is made. The proposal will require a Special Use Permit. He asked Mr. Johns to contact the City Manager's office to obtain the agendas on this item. The Board will not make a decision on this item today as it has not been agendaized.

Fred Jones, also of Pinion Hills, felt that zoning is a road map or guideline for development. People buy and put money into their houses based on zoning. It is unfair and arbitrary to change the zoning for an area to meet a special interest. If you change the zoning to have an equestrian center there, it will only create more development and problems. Drilling a well for City use will reduce the water table. The animal center will force them to go on city sewer and water due to the nitrates from the animals. This would increase the costs to the residents. He was also concerned about the increased traffic. We have a good park and rodeo facility that we should not throw away. He also recommended that the hearings be conducted in the evenings so that people can attend. Mayor Masayko explained that the proposal will require a Special Use Permit which could be restrictive and includes numerous conditions. The matter will be heard by the Planning Commission before the Board considers it. It will be held in the evening and asked that he have his name added to the list of individuals who are to be notified if and when the matter is formally considered. No action will be taken on this item today.

Tom Quigley gave the Board a packet of information regarding the zoning request which the Board will consider later in the meeting. (None to the Clerk) He reiterated that they did not want the arena on Deer Run Road. He urged the Board to save themselves time and look elsewhere as they will vigorously fight the effort.

Bill Piccolo supported the comments. He felt that the quality of life and the lighting will impact the residents. The proposal does not match the Carson River Advisory Committee's master plan. The residents do not want it there. Mayor Masayko thanked him for his comments and indicated that the comments would be considered.

Andy Ruddy urged the Board to conduct a safety study on Deer Run Road as the proposal is in the beginning stage. His personal knowledge of the accidents occurring on the corner of Deer Run Road and Laurel was explained to support his request. Mayor Masayko agreed that the study should be part of the considerations. Additional comments were solicited but none given.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 3

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES - JANUARY 17, 2002 (1-0246) - Supervisor Livermore corrected the Ward numbers for himself and Supervisor Staub. Mayor Masayko noted the corrected rata sheets which had been distributed to the Board prior to the meeting. Supervisor Plank moved to approve the Minutes of the January 17, 2002, meeting of the Board of Supervisors with the following corrections: as pointed out Richard Staub is Supervisor of Ward 4 rather than Supervisor Livermore who is from Ward 3; on Page 5 the change from Northern Nevada Railway Association to Foundation; on Page 11 the correction of the word Supervisor before Supervisor Williamson's name, a clarification regarding the recipient of a grant and dropped the \$19,000 that had been there by changing it to be a Redevelopment project that needed funding; on Page 12 the separation of noone to be no one; on Page 15 the word infer rather than infere and a word change from figure to finger pointing; changed golf cart to go cart on Page 15, and on Page 23 a clarification which indicates there is no hidden agenda, corrected the word Clerk and moved a "t" between that and here so that it now reads that there. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

2. AGENDA MODIFICATIONS (1-0308) - Community Development Item 8. A., a request for a Street abandonment, was pulled for reconsideration by the Planning Commission, due to a need to correct the location.

3. SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS - Personnel Manager Judie Fisher - ACTION TO APPROVE A RETIREMENT RESOLUTION COMMENDING WILLIAM MITCHELL, ELECTRICAL-INSTRUMENT TECHNICIAN II (1-0326) - Mayor Masayko read the resolution into the record and thanked Mr. Mitchell for his service and professionalism. Supervisor Livermore moved that the Board of Supervisors adopt Retirement Resolution No. 2002-R-16, **A RETIREMENT RESOLUTION COMMENDING WILLIAM MITCHELL, ELECTRICAL INSTRUMENT TECHNICIAN.** Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0. Mayor Masayko wished him a successful retirement, read the plaque and presented it to him. Mr. Mitchell thanked the Board for the recognition.

4. CONSENT AGENDA (1-0391)

4-1. FIRE - ACTION TO TRANSFER RESCUE 23 FROM WARREN ENGINE COMPANY #1 (W.E.C.O.) BACK TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT

4-2. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - CONTRACTS

A. ACTION TO ACCEPT THE WORK AS COMPLETE AND APPROVE A RELEASE OF FINAL PAYMENT ON THE CLEAR CREEK BOWMAN STORAGE BUILDING PROJECT, CONTRACT NO. 2001-020, AS SUBMITTED BY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES TO STEVE MATHEWS CONSTRUCTION, 916 MONUMENT PEAK, GARDNERVILLE, NV 89410, FOR A FINAL PAYMENT AMOUNT OF \$31,450 AND ACCEPT THE CONTRACT SUMMARY

B. ACTION TO ACCEPT THE WORK AS COMPLETE AND APPROVE THIS RELEASE OF FINAL PAYMENT ON THE 2001 ASPHALT MAINTENANCE CONTRACT, CONTRACT NO. 2000-161, AS SUBMITTED BY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES TO INTERMOUNTAIN SLURRY SEAL, INC., P. O. BOX 50085, WATSONVILLE, CA 95077 FOR A FINAL PAYMENT AMOUNT OF \$34,535.01 AND ACCEPT THE CONTRACT SUMMARY

C. ACTION ON THE AWARD OF THE SENIOR CENTER EXPANSION - ELEVATORS PROJECT, CONTRACT NO. 2001-091 TO (BIDDER NO. 1) OTIS ELEVATOR COMPANY, 725 TRADEMARK DRIVE, SUITE 102, RENO, NV 89511 AS THE LOWEST RESPONSIVE AND

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 4

RESPONSIBLE BIDDER PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF NRS CHAPTER 332, 338, 339, AND 624 FOR A CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$121,000 AND A CONTINGENCY AMOUNT OF \$9,000

4-3. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - ENGINEERING - ACTION TO CANCEL THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND MARGARET A. HELTON (FORMERLY VIVIAN NICKELS), REGARDING PARTICIPATION IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF LAND AT 2101 CALIFORNIA STREET, APN 009-091-05, AND AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE NOTICE OF CANCELLATION OF DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

4-4. FINANCE - ACTION ON RATIFICATION OF THE EXPENDITURE APPROVAL LISTINGS FOR THE MONTH OF JANUARY 2002

4-5. PERSONNEL

A. ACTION TO APPOINT TWO APPLICANTS TO THE STORM DRAINAGE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

B. ACTION TO APPOINT ONE APPLICANT TO THE SHADE TREE COUNCIL

4-6. PURCHASING AND CONTRACTS - ACTION ON CONTRACT NO. 0102-108 - A REQUEST FOR THE PURCHASE OF HTE SOFTWARE UPGRADES FOR A NOT TO EXCEED COST OF \$116,888 EXEMPT FROM COMPETITIVE BIDDING AND AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE SUPPLEMENT TO H.T.E., INC., SOFTWARE LICENSE AND SERVICES AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN H.T.E., INC., AND CITY OF CARSON CITY, NV, SCHEDULE A - PRICING AND PAYMENT SCHEDULE CONTRACT NO. CARS-20011268 - Item 4-5A was pulled. Discussion indicated that neither Mr. Hanover nor Mr. Munnings were present. Mayor Masayko thanked Mr. Hanover for applying for the Shade Tree Council and Mr. Munnings for apply for the Storm Drainage Advisory Committee. Supervisor Plank moved to approve the remaining eight items on the Consent Agenda omitting for individual consideration Item 4-5A. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

4-5A. (1-0439) - Mr. DesJardins explained his background and his reasons for applying. Supervisor Plank expressed his feeling that he would be a good addition to the Committee and his reasons for encouraging him to apply. He also explained Mr. DesJardins' involvement with the Economic Development Committee and thanked him for his service. Mayor Masayko also thanked him for his application and noted his professional background. The Committee has an August sunset date. Supervisor Plank suggested that another position should be found for him when this term expires. Supervisor Plank moved to appoint Charles DesJardins and Gene Munnings to the Storm Drain Advisory Committee with terms expiring on August 2002. Supervisor Williamson seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

5. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS - NON-ACTION ITEMS (1-0498)

A. INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS AND ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS - Mayor Masayko explained his need for additional time to report on his and Mr. Berkich's trip to Washington, D.C. Supervisor Plank reported on the TRPA meeting and his attendance at a settlement conference with City Manager Berkich and Chief Deputy District Attorney Forsberg. He announced tomorrow evening's Lions Club crab feed at Fuji Park and Saturday's Cowboy Jubilee. Supervisor Williamson reported on the Annual Nevada Water Resources Association Conference, Carson Valley Conservation District meeting, One Region Steering Committee meeting and its Western Nevada Development District Transportation Committee meeting. She announced that the Carson High School

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 5

Boys and Girls Basketball Teams and the Girls Ski Team were honored as the top academic sports teams in the State and where tickets for the Cowboy Jubilee could be obtained. Supervisor Staub reported on the February 23rd Little League tryouts and announced that opening date is on April 13; Debt Management Committee meeting; an airport tour with Airport General Manager Yvon Weaver; and the Boys and Girls Club Annual Awards Luncheon and displayed the Appreciation and Achievement Award given to the Board for the summer transportation program. He encouraged the Board to remember this need during the budget process. He also remarked on the Cowboy Poetry event and explained his participation in that program. Supervisor Livermore reported on a Health Smart meeting including an explanation of its HIV program; Carson-Tahoe Hospital employee recognition luncheon; the Tri-County Legislative Conference with Mary Walker including the funding concerns created by long-term care and Senator Randolph Townsend's comments concerning the need for rural counties to cooperate and regionalize and look to themselves for funding; the Economic Development meeting; the Boys and Girls Club's Recognition Luncheon; the first meeting of the new Carson-Tahoe Hospital Health System which appointed the 60 member corporate board and established its community board, the seven member health care systems board, and the 11 member Hospital trustees board. The membership corporate board was described. The corporate board and four citizens comprise the trustees. The citizen trustee appointments are to be made at the next meeting. He assured the Board that the transition had occurred. Mayor Masayko noted the new City seal which is hanging behind the dias. He is still trying to get descriptive maps placed in the Sierra Room. He then reported on his activities at the United Blood Services reopening of their expanded facility at the Crossroad Shopping Center and urged the community to donate blood; Commission on Aging meeting including its allocation of independent living grants; the Chamber of Commerce Transportation forum; the Boy Scouts, Cub Scouts, and School District activities; the Northern Nevada Development Authority meeting; the Convention and Visitors Bureau staff briefing on its strategic plan and budget, and the Boys and Girls Club Annual Awards Luncheon. He described the signing process required for the Hospital bonds and transfer. The transfer should have been completed by now and the 501c3 should be operating the facility. The taxpayers are no longer liable for their bonds. He thanked Supervisor Plank for signing the Community Development Block Grants for him. He then reported on his National Association of Counties activities including a description of its theme. Its agenda was to be distributed to the other Board members. The majority of its agenda had been centered around national security issues and costs. Discussions also explained how to lobby Congress without traveling there. It suggested that the U.S. Postal Service not be used and supported the use of either email or faxes. The election reform bill was passed by Congress. It may not have any mandates for election reform at this time. He was certain that it will be reconsidered in the future. The City's agenda items were advanced including the entitlement status and various grants. Douglas County's legislation regarding efforts to work with the Forest Service to obtain additional land acquisition programs was noted. Mr. Berkich and he had also spent time with the Congressional representatives' staffs. Reasons for working through them instead of going direct to the Representatives were described. He had also spent time with Mike Piper, a Nevada lobbyist in Washington, D.C. His visits to Senator Reid's office and with Representative Gibbons' staff and Senator Ensign were described. The entitlement concerns were spelled out. Justification for designation as an MPO was provided. He felt that designation as an entitlement community may not occur until 2004 unless something is done to force it. Discussion ensued on the status of the Highway Transportation funding for T-21/T-30 and stressed the need to keep these funds if at all possible. Senator Ensign had indicated his support for completion of the Carson City freeway. Comments also stressed the importance of the meetings with the Congressional Representatives.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 6

B. STAFF COMMENTS AND STATUS REPORTS (1-1192) - None.

6. FINANCE DEPARTMENT - Director David Heath - REVIEW OF FISCAL YEAR 2001-2002

FINANCIAL SUMMARY (1-1195) -Mr. Heath used computer enhanced slides to highlight his report. (A copy is in the file.) Electrical costs are impacting the water utility budgets. A correction was made to the ambulance operating profit/loss . It is only \$10,000 and not the indicated \$100,000. Building permits are down and so are the operating expenses. A landfill report was distributed to the Board and Clerk. (A copy is in the file.) Operating expenses were down due to the management concerns and cautions. The savings will be used for rock crushing and additional management techniques to increase the life of the facility. Mr. Heath expressed his surprise at staff's ability to operate the facility better than he had envisioned. He complimented the staff on its efforts. Mayor Masayko indicated for the record that the report indicated the landfill had operated at a loss. In actuality, it was operating at its income. Mr. Heath apologized for the error. The transit fund was explained. Grants will be requested and cannot be submitted until six months into year. The fares and donations concerns were noted. The budget will require having an augmentation. Mayor Masayko indicated that if we get the MPO status, it will give us \$300,000 for transit. Without this funding, we will not be able to continue this program. The Federal Office of Manpower and Budget has tied up the population certification figures. Supervisor Williamson also noted that the fares and donations need to be increased as much as possible. The users must help us with this or we will not be able to expand it. The Board had not approved all of the contingency funding allocations. Mr. Heath agreed to separate them in the future so that the Board will know what has been approved and what needs to be approved. Mr. Berkich explained staff's challenge of the Silver Springs Juvenile Facility figures. Insurance costs continue to increase. Biohazard mitigation costs were the best guesstimate possible. Long-term care concerns were noted. Last year funding had been obtained from the State. Mr. Heath was not positive that this source will be available this year. Mayor Masayko felt that the City should go to the State and force them to deny it if the funding is inadequate before an augmentation is made. The ten cents is not adequate to meet the demand for indigent care. Mr. Heath explained that the estimate for the grand jury was \$50,000. It may have cost slightly more than that. He agreed to furnish the actual costs and then explained the graphs. Mayor Masayko asked that the years be added along the bottom of the graphs. Discussion indicated that the population growth has slowed while the cost of doing business has increased. Reasons for the increased costs were described. Mayor Masayko felt that the explanations are critical to the process and suggested that they written and included in the budget packet. He also acknowledged that the population has slowed to less than three percent over the last two years but the budget and lag time must match the funding requests. Some people feel that we are increasing our operational costs above that occurring with the cost-of-living. The quality of life and the City service level is increasing. It may level out in the future. Mr. Heath pointed out the pressure created by the domestic violence issues which has increased the legal costs. Discussion indicated that this is an example of an unfunded mandate and the need for the State legislature to recognize this cost. Supervisor Williamson asked that two charts be included to show the increase in revenue and in property taxes. Mr. Heath indicated that this report will be available early next week and will show each increase. Discussion pointed out the proponents to the property tax rates. Supervisor Staub asked that the contingency fund include information regarding any impact that we could be creating when we approve such items. Mr. Heath explained that the Statutes allows the City to budget between one and three percent for contingency items. Carson City has allocated less than one percent. We try to be frugal but have been coming up short. Douglas County has a \$600,000 contingency budget. The Board may wish to increase the funding level. Mayor Masayko supported having tight budgets. He felt that the workman's compensation should not be using the contingency fund. Individual budgets should be adequately funded to handle

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 7

the workman's compensation. The Board should be able to control the overruns and expenditures. Staff should not spend the funds prior to the Board's consideration of the request. Discussion explained that unanticipated new revenue is used to meet unexpected overages/augmentations. Supervisor Livermore suggested that the contingency fund be augmented. He also suggested that unanticipated revenue be used for some items rather than the contingency account. Mayor Masayko felt that budget process needed to be revised so that savings could be used for over-expenditures. CQI should not allowed if the electrical bill is not allocated correctly. Mr. Heath explained that this is occurring. Mayor Masayko agreed to allow those items that the Board has already approved to be funded. Other items should not be automatically allowed. Supervisor Williamson explained that this was her reason for asking for the report. Mr. Heath thanked the Board for its frugal funding and the conservative budget. These acts will show a good end of the year even if the sales taxes remain flat. We are checking all of the expenditures in an effort to maintain that budget. Additional public comments were solicited but none were given. Mayor Masayko felt that the report was from 1993 and not 1992. Mr. Heath agreed to provide a scale. Mayor Masayko suggested that the report be standardized and continued for historical purposes. Budget recommendation should be ready by Tuesday. No formal action was required or taken.

7. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - City Engineer Larry Werner

A. ACTION TO ACCEPT A COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND THE STATE OF NEVADA, ACTION BY AND THROUGH ITS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, REGARDING THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF SIDEWALKS AND ADA RAMPS AND THE ADJUSTMENT OF MANHOLES AND WATER VALVES ON SR 529 (CARSON STREET BETWEEN CLEAR CREEK ROAD AND STEWART STREET (1-1768)) - Discussion noted that the City had previously been responsible for this work. Supervisor Plank moved to accept and authorize the Mayor to sign a cooperative agreement between Carson City and the State of Nevada, acting by and through its Department of Transportation, regarding the construction and maintenance of sidewalks and Americans with Disabilities Act ramps and the adjustment of manholes and water values on SR 529, Carson Street, between Clear Creek Road and Stewart Street; fiscal impact is approximately \$2,645.00. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

B. ORDINANCE - SECOND READING - ACTION ON BILL NO. 109 - AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND SILVER OAK DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, RELATED TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF SILVER OAK DEVELOPMENT LOCATED IN CARSON CITY, NEVADA, FOR CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN OFF-SITE PUBLIC STORM DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS(1-1824) - Mayor Masayko indicated for the record that he had not received any comments on this item between readings. Supervisor Williamson moved to adopt on second reading Ordinance 2002-8, Bill No. 109, AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND SILVER OAK DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, RELATED TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF SILVER OAK DEVELOPMENT LOCATED IN CARSON CITY, NEVADA, FOR CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN OFF-SITE PUBLIC STORM DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS; fiscal impact is a portion of the Northwest Drainage Project with reimbursement from the Nevada Department of Transportation. Supervisor Staub seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 8

8. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - Senior Planner Skip Canfield

A. ACTION ON AB-01/02-6 - A REQUEST FROM RICHARD WIPFLI (PROPERTY OWNERS: WIPFLIFAMILYTRUST AND G. AND R. KILTY) TO ABANDON A PORTION OF THE RIGHT-OF-WAY OF EAST SPEAR STREET BETWEEN VALLEY STREET AND ROBINSON STREET (APPROXIMATELY SEVEN FEET WIDE BY 198 FEET LONG) ON PROPERTY ZONED GENERAL OFFICE (GO), ADJACENT TO APN 004-231-04 (1-1868) - Continued.

BREAK: A recess was declared at 10:15 a.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Masayko reconvened the meeting at 10:25 a.m., constituting a quorum.

OTHER MATTERS (1-2098) - Mayor Masayko noted that Community Development Director Walter Sullivan had had an accident and wished him a speedy recovery.

B. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING

I. ACTION ON A-00/01-4(A) - AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE (CCMC), TITLE 18, ZONING; SPECIFICALLY PROPOSED CHANGES TO SECTION 18.04.170 (PUBLIC) AND ADDING SECTIONS 18.04.175 (PUBLIC NEIGHBORHOOD), 18.04.180 (PUBLIC COMMUNITY), 18.04.185 (PUBLIC REGIONAL) AND 18.04.186 (PUBLIC); AND PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CITY'S OFFICIAL ZONING MAP TO CHANGE CERTAIN PUBLIC-OWNED AND QUASI-PUBLIC OWNED PROPERTIES FROM PARCELS CURRENTLY ZONED "PUBLIC" (P), "CONSERVATION RESERVE" (CR), "SINGLE FAMILY 6,000" (SF6), "SINGLE FAMILY 12,000" (SF12), "SINGLE FAMILY 21,000" (SF21), "SINGLE FAMILY ONE ACRE" (SF1A), "SINGLE FAMILY TWO ACRE" (SF2A), "SINGLE FAMILY FIVE ACRE" (SF5A), "MOBILE HOME 6,000" (MH6), "MOBILE HOME 12,000" (MH12), "MOBILE HOME ONE ACRE" (MH1A), "MULTI-FAMILY DUPLEX" (MFD), "AGRICULTURE" (A), "LIMITED INDUSTRIAL" (LI), "GENERAL INDUSTRIAL" (GI), "AIRPORT INDUSTRIAL PARK" (AIP), "GENERAL OFFICE" (GO), "RESIDENTIAL OFFICE" (RO), "RETAIL COMMERCIAL" (RC), "DOWNTOWN COMMERCIAL" (DC), "TOURIST COMMERCIAL" (TC), AND "GENERAL COMMERCIAL" (GC), TO "PUBLIC NEIGHBORHOOD" (PN), "PUBLIC COMMUNITY" (PC), AND "PUBLIC REGIONAL" (PR), AND PARCELS CURRENTLY ZONED "SINGLE FAMILY 12,000-PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT" (SF12-PUD), "SINGLE FAMILY 21,000-PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT" (SF21-PUD), "SINGLE FAMILY TWO ACRE-PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT" (SF2A-PUD), AND "GENERAL COMMERCIAL-PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT" (GC-PUD), TO "PUBLIC NEIGHBORHOOD-PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT (PN-PUD) AND "PUBLIC COMMUNITY-PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT" (PC-PUD), EXCLUDING THE STATE OF NEVADA, STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND THE STATE OF NEVADA DIVISION OF STATE LANDS PARCEL LISTED ON THE ATTACHED TABLE; THE SUBJECT STATE PARCELS WILL RETAIN THEIR CURRENT ADOPTED PUBLIC PURPOSE STATEMENT AND USE DESIGNATION SHALL REMAIN ONLY AS IT IS RELEVANT TO THE SUBJECT STATE PARCELS CURRENTLY ZONED PUBLIC (P), PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE (1-2105) - Chief Deputy District Attorney Mark Forsberg, School District Operations

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 9

Director Mike Mitchell, Tom Quigley, Deni French, Bill Piccolo, Charles Kuhn, Parks and Recreation Director Steve Kastens - Mr. Canfield explained the number of workshop meetings that had been conducted, the need to update the master plan map, the noticing which had occurred, examples illustrating the need to make the revisions, the reasons the public zoning were continued, the special workshop on it, and the State's request that it be excluded from the revision. The Planning Commission had approved the State's exclusion. Since that time the School District has asked that it also be removed. Staff supported the School District exclusion as it will provide an opportunity to address their issues. He asked that the motion be amended to include the School District's exclusion. Discussion explained the need for a special use permit before implementing any of the proposed uses and the reasons for the School District's concerns. The publicly zoned property will be owned by governmental entities. Parcels zoned open space may be owned by either governmental entities or private citizens but are dedicated to public uses. Mayor Masayko noted that his packet does not include the Planning Commission's record which he felt should be included in the future. Mr. Canfield explained that there had not been any public comments at the Commission meeting.

Discussion ensued among Mr. Forsberg, Mr. Canfield, and Mayor Masayko regarding the School District's request and whether it could be addressed as agenzized. Mr. Forsberg requested time to consider the issues but felt that as it does not change the current zoning, it could be included in the motion to exclude its parcels from the revision. Both Mr. Canfield and Mayor Masayko indicated that there had been discussion at the Planning Commission meeting regarding the concerns with the proposed change of zoning to the School District property. The Commission had decided to leave them in the revision.

Supervisor Livermore explained his reasons for asking the Department to consider developing a zoning designation which would allow the surrounding neighbors to know what could or may be developed on publically zoned parcels. Examples of areas he had suggested be included in the revision were cited. The proposed list of items is not all inclusive. An example of the turmoil created when uses are proposed and the neighbors are opposed was cited as being the Ori-Dry Litter Mine north of Reno. His discussion with the Deer Run Road residents indicated the fairgrounds may not be put there. Mr. Canfield pointed out that the Prison Hill and Deer Run Road areas also have the BLM and Carson City Joint Interface Agreement which further restricts the use. A special use permit must be obtained before the use will be allowed. This will require consideration by both the Commission and Board. Supervisor Livermore reiterated that it has taken two years to reach this point. An attempt is not being made to force a use into an area where it is not wanted. Mr. Canfield pointed out that the current zoning description would allow any activity. The proposal lists the potential uses and provides a fair playing field.

Mayor Masayko explained that typical zoning for a public neighborhood will restrict the uses so that a fire station would not be allowed in that area. Concerns with the specific listing were noted. A fire station could be put on public regional as a City government building. Efforts had been to be as flexible and all inclusive as possible. Mayor Masayko suggested that the PN uses should automatically carry forward to the PC zone. PC uses should go to the PR zone. Mr. Canfield explained that all of the uses were discretionary. Mayor Masayko agreed that they were all discretionary and not permitted. The neighborhood will set the tone for the use. The listing details create an interpretation concern. He urged the public to respond if they do not like the contemplated uses. He also asked the Deer Run Road residents to understand that these uses are only contemplated.

(1-2723) Discussion between Supervisor Williamson and Mr. Canfield explained the Code amendment process

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 10

required to allow an use that had not been contemplated to be considered in the PN zone. The Special Use Permit could then be requested. Time changes the uses and neighborhoods. Modifications can be made to the listing to match those changes. The current process does not indicate any specific uses which would be allowed on a particular site. The listing clarifies for the neighbors what they can expect to happen with the property in the future. The special use permit will still be required. The Special Use Permit process includes consideration of FAA restrictions. The Special Use Permit process requires findings to support the change. If they cannot be made, the application is denied. The applicant must prove his/her case. The government does not have to find a reason to deny.

Supervisor Livermore pointed out that the public lands owned by BLM are included. Mr. Canfield explained that the PC area on the west side of the City is the City's watershed area. The Forest Service did not want to be and is not included. They are now going through their planning process. That area is currently considered as forest and recreational uses. Clarification explained the different governmental agencies who own property in Carson City. The Forest Service is not included at this time but could be in the future. BLM supported the process and is included. A portion of the Pinenut area is included as it is part of the urban interface plan. It is included in the proposed revision. BLM is working on its master plan for the remainder of the Pinenut area. Its process may take five years to complete.

Clarification by Mr. Canfield explained that the Forest Service and Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) properties are currently zoned Conservation Reserve and are not included in the changes. Mayor Masayko expressed his concern about the zoning on the BIA property. Discussion pointed out that this is the first step in clarifying the designations. It can be revised as needed in the future. The need to create an open space zone was limned and used as an example of revisions which may occur in the future. Mayor Masayko indicated that this is one of the expansion/reduction of uses.

Discussion between Supervisor Plank and Mr. Canfield explained that streets and roads will be required as part of the parcel and are a function of a use, They are not included in other zoning districts. Discussion also explained the justification for placing in the PC zone the utility easement, library and hospital. Sierra Pacific had specifically requested this placement as a substation will need to be able to get its utilities to it. Mayor Masayko felt that the same should be true of streets and roads otherwise a fire station could not operate. The Planning Commission had supported Sierra Pacific's request and included the easements. Mayor Masayko felt that this could be a disadvantage for utilities if treated different from development. Reasons for wanting to consider it separately were provided by Mr. Canfield. He also felt that utility easements should be part of the special use process for a development and not considered as separate issues. Carson City utilities will be in the same box as Sierra Pacific if it remains. Mayor Masayko reiterated his feeling that it should be part of the development process. He agreed to reconsider the issue if requested in the future. Mr. Canfield indicated that there is a list of items which need to be reconsidered in the near future and that this could be one of those items.

Mr. Mitchell complimented Mr. Canfield on his efforts to provide information regarding the proposed revisions and Community Development for its willingness to work with the District on the potential impact. Mr. Mitchell explained the attempt to explain their concerns to the Commission regarding the impact it would have on the District's ability to change the schools to meet area changes. Concerns/questions which need to be resolved were listed to support the request for exclusion. Examples describing the need for flexibility which may not be available under the proposal

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 11

were provided. After the Commission meeting, a meeting was held with staff where it was suggested that they be excluded from the process. They will still use the special use permit process to make changes in the future as they have in the past. Mayor Masayko explained the request by the School District is to not force it to fit within the three restrictive categories. Mr. Mitchell agreed that he would not return with a developed list of categories which should be applied to its property. Mayor noted for the record that PN discusses schools – elementary and middle schools. PC includes high schools All of the Public zones allow schools under the Special Use Permit process. A Code change is easier to accomplish than the special use permit. Mr. Mitchell indicated his intent to work with staff to revise the ordinance between first and second readings if the School District's current zoning is not maintained . Mayor Masayko indicated that the Board would make a decision regarding the request to exclude the School District and that the necessary meetings would be conducted to make sure that all of the issues have been addressed.

Discussion between Mr. Mitchell and Supervisor Williamson explained sites which the School District owns that are not used for classrooms. Mr. Mitchell was not certain how a Highway 50 two acre site is delineated on the listing. It should remain Public. Supervisor Williamson asked that all of the school parcels be included on the list. Mr. Mitchell felt that the Gleason school did not fit within the three zones. Mayor Masayko explained the request to exclude all School properties and that the list should not be restricted to those parcels only. School District property is to retain its current zoning. He also pointed out that there will be other properties which will require periodic updating.

Public comments were solicited. (1-3425) Mr. Quigley felt that the proposal would impact his ability to do something with his property. He could use the information to decide whether to purchase property but now that he owns his property, he could not change his mind. He had been advised six to eight months ago when he had received his public notice that the public zones would retain their current status. Therefore, he had not attended any of the meetings. One week ago he discovered the proposal to put the Fairgrounds at the Ambrose Nature Area. The Parks Department provided him with the conceptual plan for that site. He then pointed out the plan to improve the City "gateway" at Deer Run Road. His visit to Community Development indicated that the change would allow the Ambrose Nature Area to be used without any public input. The proposal is a threat to their quality of life and the residents. He agreed that an airport is not going to be in that area, therefore, the list should be revised to remove such items. Other examples were cited which he also felt should be removed. Changes should be made in the initial phase and not required in the future. The Ambrose Nature Area should remain as a habitat area. He asked if they would have to have a Special Use Permit to designate it as a wildlife area. The PR list includes a pavilion, an equestrian center, and a fairgrounds. He questioned whether the proposal was a coincidental occurrence or a deliberate decision which would allow the fairgrounds to be relocated without public input to Ambrose. Mayor Masayko indicated that he had tied the fairgrounds to Ambrose but all PR parcels are eligible for that use as they allow regional facilities. There is no hidden agenda. Currently the zoning districts do not indicate where a fairgrounds or equestrian center can be placed. It could not be placed anywhere that are designated as PC and PN zones. The PR zone requires a Special Use Permit. A Special Use Permit will provide the necessary scrutiny. Mr. Quigley reiterated his feeling that there is a hidden agenda as had been indicated by the Planning Commission's movement of the go-carts and motorcycles to the race track. Such things provide a perception to the people/public. Mayor Masayko indicated for the record that that is your perception.

Mr. Forsberg explained that the proposal does not add uses. It only subtracts uses. Only the items on the lists are

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 12

allowed in the zone with a Special Use Permit. Any unlisted items are not allowed. Mr. Quigley asked how he could restrict the uses even further and urged the Board to restrict the uses to the Ambrose area. He did not want a rodeo, pavilion, or equestrian center at Ambrose. Mayor Masayko suggested that Mr. Quigley have the Ambrose Nature Area designated as PN which is the most restricted uses and not include the other PR areas. This discussion should occur at the Planning Commission level. Mr. Quigley continued to stress his feeling that felt these uses should not be allowed.

Supervisor Livermore explained the proposal to install curb and gutter improvements on Deer Run Road in the Limited Industrial area which had been discussed two weeks ago as part of the Economic Development Block Grant. He felt that the area is not the gateway as Mr. Quigley perceived it to be. He encouraged him to research the Minutes. The intent had not been to create grandiose plans for the residential area. The intent had been to enhance a manufacturing or industrial area. Mr. Quigley continued to iterate his perception of the proposal. Supervisor Livermore encouraged him to contact him before a meeting in the future.

Mr. Canfield explained that all of the green area on the east side of the River, with the exception of one parcel adjacent to the Ambrose Nature Area, is part of the BLM open space area under its Urban Interface Plan. That Plan is part of the criteria required to be considered under the Special Use Permit process. The one exception is the only area that could be considered for recreational and public purposes. Mayor Masayko felt Mr. Quigley should try to change the designation for that parcel to be different from all other PR areas. Mr. Canfield reiterated that the one piece is now CR, is owned by BLM, and is an area for public and recreational uses. The proposal would change it to PR.

Mr. French felt the discussions should not become a debate on perceptions and that it had been one. Mayor Masayko agreed that it should not be one and indicated that he would apologize to Mr. Quigley. Mr. French continued to explain his feelings about how the debate had appeared to have been conducted. Additional testimony was requested.

Mr. Quigley used the zoning map to explain that he understood the area and described a location of a BLM zone change. He also alleged that BLM was not aware of the proposal to place a park and rodeo arena in an area zoned open space. He then asserted that the map had incorrectly included an area owned by the BLM.

(2-0212) Mr. Piccolo indicated that he is a resident of the Pinion Hills and described the area it encompasses. He felt that there had not been a conflict of uses until the Fairgrounds was proposed for the area. He asked that the area be considered as a neighborhood and not as a regional area. They do not want the noise and increased traffic created by a fairgrounds as it will be a negatively impact their quality of life.

Charles Kuhn congratulated the staff on its efforts, however, this is only the first step toward moving the fairgrounds to the river which had fueled the fire. People are concerned about the program. He urged the staff and Board to consider another public zone which would be a public open space area that would include nature areas such as Mills Park, Ambrose, and Fuji Park. This would set these areas aside and eliminate any potential development. This could be a setback to the Department as staff has indicated that the Ambrose area is the ideal location for a fairgrounds. Additional public testimony was solicited but none was given. Public comments were then closed.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 13

Board discussion ensued concerning the School District's request to be excluded from the zone changes. Supervisor Plank supported the School District's request due to concerns about the impact it could have on the District. Supervisor Livermore requested additional Planning Commission information and testimony regarding the issue. He acknowledged the time, effort and money already spent on the concept but felt that the Parks and Recreation Commission should also consider the concept. He was surprised at the lack of public testimony at the Commission level. Supervisor Staub supported his comments and questioned the reasons for the concern at the Board level. He also felt that adequate discussion needed to occur on the School District issues. Mayor Masayko asked that the record be clear for the Commission regarding the issues which are to be considered. Supervisor Staub suggested that Mr. Quigley's issues may not have been considered. Mr. Kastens explained that his staff had worked with Community Development and had given them a letter of recommendation indicating the zoning his staff felt should be given to each park site. This listing was based on criteria which had been developed many years ago with the Parks and Recreation Commission. The ordinance did not go to the Parks and Recreation Commission. He was willing to take it to the Commission if the Board wished. He pointed out the restrictions which the concept will place on his Department's ability to do things with City property but they understood the need for the restrictions due to public concerns. He agreed that some of the limitations are dictated by the size of the park parcels. It is fairer for the neighbors adjacent to the parks as it tells them what can be there. Mayor Masayko acknowledged the validity of his point and the reason for starting down this road at this time.

Mr. Forsberg explained his feeling that Community Development had fulfilled the required notification and publication requirements for consideration of the ordinance. The Board could eliminate the School District property without violating the Open Meeting Law as the Board would not be changing its current designations. He also explained that the Board could modify the Commission action or decide against taking any action on any issue. Mayor Masayko felt that failure to take action would send it back and that it would be open season on all public parcels with the required noticing and testimony. Action today would not prohibit additional restrictions on the Ambrose site or any other public parcels in the future. Conceptual plans should not force this decision. Supervisor Plank indicated he understood how the restrictions should occur under the special use permit process. Supervisor Williamson complimented staff on its efforts to have public involvement and supported excluding the School District property and taking action today. Supervisor Staub acknowledged the zoning needs and reiterated his reason for returning it to the Commission due to the perceived public belief that members of the Board, the Commissions and/or staff have an underlying motive on how we conduct our business which is not true. Returning it will clear the air. It is a tough issue. The site had been on the top of the list of potential fairgrounds sites and had been eliminated due to the infrastructure and cost concerns. Returning it to the Commission may allow further discussion to occur and may educate the public on the concept. Supervisor Livermore explained his feeling that the City had always operated as an open and fair government. During his term on the Board he had always functioned in this manner. His door had been open to the public. His phone number is listed. He had meet with the public whenever possible. He support Supervisor Staub comments on returning it to address the perceived concern even though it may open issues which have not yet been considered. The debate will be open and fair and should be allowed. He also had concerns about the lack of attendance at the Commission meeting. Supervisor Williamson pointed out that the current zoning would allow a fairgrounds to be located on the parcel today, if the Board decides to do so. This decision will not be made until after the November election. Therefore, the issue is wrong again. It seemed to her as if the media knows things before the Board does. Staff had been directed to continue to look for alternative sites for the fairgrounds. There are a lot of committees and noticing requirements which will occur before the site selection is made including a

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 14

resolution of the election issue. This is an opportunity to tell the community what the public zone means. The current use may not stay as it is now. There have been repeated incidents where people did not know what could be on a public site. The proposal should not be returned to the Commission. The concept may need to be deferred and a meeting scheduled with the neighborhood, the Planning Commission and the Parks and Recreation Commission to try to explain the concept. There is no hidden agenda or conspiracy. The effort is to clarify the public uses which could be allowed on public parcels. Examples were provided to justify the clarification. The discussion has been occurring for over two years. She urged the Board to not send it back to the Planning Commission. She also opposed continuing to defer action on an item until the public interest wanes.

Mr. Forsberg explained the Board's policy which would require the item to be returned to the Board if a change is made in the ordinance based on public comments. Mayor Masayko pointed out that there are two readings before enactment occurs. There is two weeks between the Board meetings. This time could be used as an opportunity to tell the public what the intent is. He urged the public to contact the Board. All of his contacts had been received yesterday. Action today will force the public to come out and consider the issue. Continual deferral to the Commission could create additional concerns which cannot be seen at this time. He also explained his offense at the challenge that was based on a difference of opinion as having been based totally on clandestine issues. He urged the Board to not respond to such comments or go to that type of a personal level. Not everyone wins on every issue. Are we better off with this public policy or without it. The Board could continue the discussion for two weeks or move forward now. He urged the Board to act on it as it is better for the community as a whole.

Discussion explained that the Board was discussing Item 8. B. and that the recommended motion should exclude the School District.

Supervisor Williamson moved approve A-01/02-4a and introduce Bill No. 110 on first reading, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE (CCMC), TITLE 18, ZONING; SPECIFICALLY PROPOSED CHANGES TO SECTION 18.04.170 (PUBLIC) AND ADDING SECTIONS 18.04.175 (PUBLIC NEIGHBORHOOD), 18.04.180 (PUBLIC COMMUNITY), 18.04.185 (PUBLIC REGIONAL) AND 18.04.186 (PUBLIC); AND PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CITY'S OFFICIAL ZONING MAP TO CHANGE CERTAIN PUBLIC-OWNED AND QUASI-PUBLIC OWNED PROPERTIES FROM PARCELS CURRENTLY ZONED "PUBLIC" (P), "CONSERVATION RESERVE" (CR), "SINGLE FAMILY 6,000" (SF6), "SINGLE FAMILY 12,000" (SF12), "SINGLE FAMILY 21,000" (SF21), "SINGLE FAMILY ONE ACRE" (SF1A), "SINGLE FAMILY TWO ACRE" (SF2A), "SINGLE FAMILY FIVE ACRE" (SF5A), "MOBILE HOME 6,000" (MH6), "MOBILE HOME 12,000" (MH12), "MOBILE HOME ONE ACRE" (MH1A), "MULTI-FAMILY DUPLEX" (MFD), "AGRICULTURE" (A), "LIMITED INDUSTRIAL" (LI), "GENERAL INDUSTRIAL" (GI), "AIRPORT INDUSTRIAL PARK" (AIP), "GENERAL OFFICE" (GO), "RESIDENTIAL OFFICE" (RO), "RETAIL COMMERCIAL" (RC), "DOWNTOWN COMMERCIAL" (DC), "TOURIST COMMERCIAL" (TC), AND "GENERAL COMMERCIAL" (GC), TO "PUBLIC NEIGHBORHOOD" (PN), "PUBLIC COMMUNITY" (PC), AND "PUBLIC REGIONAL" (PR), AND PARCELS CURRENTLY ZONED "SINGLE FAMILY 12,000-PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT" (SF12-PUD), "SINGLE FAMILY 21,000-PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT" (SF21-PUD), "SINGLE FAMILY TWO ACRE-PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT" (SF2A-PUD), AND "GENERAL COMMERCIAL-PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT" (GC-PUD), TO "PUBLIC NEIGHBORHOOD-PLANNED UNIT

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 15

DEVELOPMENT (PN-PUD) AND "PUBLIC COMMUNITY-PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT" (PC-PUD), EXCLUDING THE LANDS OWNED BY THE STATE OF NEVADA, STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND THE STATE OF NEVADA DIVISION OF STATE LANDS LISTED ON THE ATTACHED TABLE AND ALL THE LANDS OWNED BY THE CARSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT; THOSE LANDS WILL RETAIN THEIR CURRENT ADOPTED ZONING DEFINITIONS AND THE CURRENTLY ADOPTED P (PUBLIC LAND) PUBLIC (P) PURPOSE STATEMENT AND USE DEFINITIONS WHICH SHALL REMAIN ONLY AS IT IS RELEVANT TO SAID PARCELS ZONED PUBLIC (P) PURSUANT TO THE CCMC. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Following discussion on whether there was a list of State lands, Supervisor Williamson amended her motion to indicate exclusion of all lands owned by the State of Nevada and not reference the table which was to have been attached. Supervisor Plank concurred. Supervisor Williamson asked Community Development and Parks and Recreation staffs to contact the individuals who had spoken and establish meetings to provide an opportunity to answer their questions. Supervisor Livermore indicated he would not support the motion. The Commission followed the Board's direction. He felt that there had been years of confusions regarding public lands and the adjacent lands. An ordinance as had been attempted but it needs some final modifications and messaging as well as additional public involvement. He did support the concept. Supervisor Staub indicated for the record that he supported the ordinance but not the motion as he thought more information is a good thing and clarification can be provided to eliminate the public concerns and objections. Mayor Masayko noted for the record that he expected, as Supervisor Williamson had indicated, that in the next two weeks staff meet with the Deer Run folks and the individuals for saving Fuji Park and air their issues. If the issues and questions are not answered, they can come back at the next meeting. This meeting may at that time be part of his decision. Public comments under the question were solicited but none were given. The motion to introduce the revised Bill 110 was voted and carried 3-2 with Supervisors Livermore and Staub voting Naye.

C. ACTION ON A-00/01-4(B) - AN ORDINANCE EFFECTING A CHANGE OF LAND USE TO THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF CARSON CITY, FROM PUBLIC (P) TO PUBLIC COMMUNITY (PC), PUBLIC NEIGHBORHOOD (PN), PUBLIC REGIONAL (PR) AND PUBLIC (P) ON PROPERTY LOCATED IN CARSON CITY, NEVADA, AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO (2-0930) - Supervisor Williamson moved that the Board of Supervisors approve A-00/01-4b, Bill No. 111, the first reading of AN ORDINANCE EFFECTING A CHANGE OF LAND USE TO THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP OF CARSON CITY, FROM PUBLIC (P) TO PUBLIC COMMUNITY (PC), PUBLIC NEIGHBORHOOD (PN), PUBLIC REGIONAL (PR) AND PUBLIC (P) ON PROPERTY LOCATED IN CARSON CITY, NEVADA, AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO. Supervisor Plank seconded the motion. Discussion explained that the map illustrates the details of the previous ordinance. Mr. Canfield announced his office telephone number and encouraged anyone with questions or comments to contact him. Supervisor Livermore indicated that he would support this ordinance. Public comments were solicited but none were given. The motion was voted and carried 5-0. Mayor Masayko pointed out that the establishment of the zoning districts would not do anything without the supporting ordinance. This ordinance will also be reconsidered in two weeks. Supervisor Plank suggested that the workshop/meeting include an explanation of what the ordinance could do and will prevent.

AGENDA SCHEDULE (2-1012) - Mayor Masayko explained his intent to take a lunch recess and that the Items

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 16

under Heading No. 9 would be heard this afternoon.

D. ORDINANCE - SECOND READING - ACTION ON BILL NO. 108 - AN ORDINANCE EFFECTING A CHANGE OF LAND USE FROM MOBILE HOME 12000 (MH12) TO GENERAL COMMERCIAL (GC) ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT DORI WAY, APN 008-161-55, AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO (2-1017) - Mayor Masayko indicated for the record that he had not received any comments on this ordinance. Public comments were solicited but none were given. Supervisor Plank moved to approve Z-01/02- 3, Bill No. 108, Ordinance No. 2002-9 on second reading of an ORDINANCE EFFECTING A CHANGE OF LAND USE FROM MOBILE HOME 12000 (MH12) TO GENERAL COMMERCIAL (GC) ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT DORI WAY, Assessor's Parcel Number 008-161-55, AND OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY RELATED THERETO and there is no fiscal impact. Supervisor Livermore seconded the motion. Motion carried 5-0.

BREAK: A recess was declared at 12:30 p.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Masayko reconvened the meeting at 1:30 p.m., constituting a quorum

9. CITY MANAGER - John Berkich

A. ACTION TO APPROVE AN ADVISORY QUESTION ON WHETHER THE FAIRGROUNDS SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT FOR THE NOVEMBER GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT AND DIRECT STAFF TO ADVERTISE SEEKING APPLICANTS FOR THE COMMITTEES THAT WILL DRAFT THE ARGUMENTS FOR AND AGAINST (2-1059) - Clerk-Recorder Alan Glover, Chief Deputy District Attorney Mark Forsberg, Mike Hoffman - Discussion explained the July 15 deadline for completion of the arguments, suggested a comma be placed after the word development in the suggested question, and detailed the work required and timeframes for completion of the ballot question. As Mr. Forsberg had originally recommended removal of the comma, consensus removed it from the ballot question. Discussion explained the reasons for limiting the committees to three individuals and the Statutory restrictions on the length of the arguments, why the Assessor's Parcel Number had not been included in the question, the reasons a map had not been included with the question, the availability of maps illustrating the location of the fairgrounds and other materials on the ballot question at all City offices, staff's role in educating the public, and the need for this effort to be succinct and consistent. Supervisor Livermore hoped that the problem indicated earlier today with the Ambrose Nature Park could be avoided. Discussion also suggested that the general boundary of fairgrounds area be marked with utility flags or by a similar means to indicate to individuals walking the area the portion under consideration. Supervisor Livermore suggested that a sign be erected at the fairgrounds containing an agreed upon map in a weather proof jacket illustrating the area involved with the ballot question.

(2-1357) Mr. Hoffman reviewed the Board's January 3rd direction to staff regarding the ballot question and questioned why Fuji Park had been included in the ballot question. Mayor Masayko and Mr. Forsberg felt that the retention of Fuji Park should be included in the ballot question. Mr. Hoffman explained comments he had purportedly received from individuals that the improvements will not be made to Fuji Park if the question fails. Mayor Masayko explained the Board's direction to improve and maintain Fuji Park. He acknowledged the concern about the speed with which the City/staff is moving forward with the improvements to Fuji Park. Fuji Park is a stand alone project

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 17

which should be underway at this time. Supervisor Staub explained his feeling that the ballot question clears up the clouded issue indicated in recent newspaper articles that claim Fuji Park is being sold. The question is well written. Additional public comments were solicited but none were given.

Mayor Masayko disclosed that at the end of the 1/3/02 meeting he had been approached by the Concerned Citizens to Save Fuji Park who wanted to participate in the process. These individuals should come forward and submit their applications. Discussion explained that the Board would conduct an interview and select the individuals to serve on the committees. Anyone failing to appear for the interview will not automatically be disqualified. It was hoped that the selected individuals are able to write well. The applicants should be registered voters although the Statutes do not require them to be registered voters. The committees could bring in experts to assist. The Clerk's office will provide support as requested. The meetings will be subject to the Open Meeting Law. The public can attend and provide comments. The Board's workshop on the vision and mission statements was cited as an example of the concept. If a/the committee(s) fails to develop an argument, a statement indicating this failure will be placed on the ballot. It is the committees' responsibility to draft in good language its statement by the established deadline. Both committees could meet at the same time. Mr. Glover questioned whether the District Attorney's office could/should provide advice to the opposition as he represents the proponents. Comments also indicated that children/students could participate. Public comments were again solicited but none were given.

Supervisor Livermore moved to approve an advisory question on whether the Fairgrounds should be made available for development for the November General Election ballot and direct staff to advertise seeking applicants for the committees that will draft the agreement for and against the ballot question as presented in the packet while retaining and improving the area known as Fuji Park should Carson City make available for commercial development City property known as the Carson City Fairgrounds. Mayor Masayko indicated that this is the ballot question as printed on the staff report. Supervisor Staub seconded the motion. Following a request for an amendment, Supervisor Livermore amended his motion to change agreements to arguments. Supervisor Staub concurred with the amendment. Supervisor Livermore felt that the proposal does not include any wobble words which could create problems in the future and complimented staff on that effort. The motion as amended was voted and carried 5-0.

B. PRESENTATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR PHASE ONE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY MASTER PLAN (2-1640) - Fire Chief Louis Buckley, Sheriff Rod Banister, Information Services Director William Naylor, Lieutenant Ray Saylo, Chief Deputy Scott Burau, Clerk-Recorder Alan Glover - Mr. Berkich's introduction included thanking Janet Busse for her assistance in preparing the document. Comments indicated that the budget will include the plan. No formal action is to be taken on the plan at this meeting. Some of the recommendations are policy issues. Each recommendation needs to be reviewed at some point in the process and a determination made as to whether it should be accepted, modified, deferred for additional information or funding, or rejected. Supervisor Livermore suggested that a workshop be held on the plan, which Supervisor Plank supported. Comments pointed out that Recommendation No. 5, expansion of the northwest fire station, could impact the Regional Transportation budget as it may require some improvements to Ormsby Boulevard. Supervisor Staub explained his concern regarding the City's ability to fund the recommendations based on the financial report received by the Board this morning. He urged the Board to not implement items which may not be fiscally possible within the next two years. Mayor Masayko explained that the budget hearings begin on the 18th. A decision must be made at some point on the recommendations. He suggested that, as the current budget

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 18

hearings are scheduled for only three of the four meeting dates, the fourth date be used for this purpose . He also felt that the discussion should occur before the budget is considered. Discussion indicated that the reason staff had brought the item to the Board at this time was an attempt to discuss the recommendations before the budget hearings begin. Supervisor Williamson acknowledged the financial concerns which had been discussed earlier and noted that there could be additional Federal funding available for homeland security. She did not want to send the message that the goals are impossible. She also agreed that a workshop is needed. The report indicates the number one priority for the next two years is dispatching. Other priorities should be established by staff. Supervisor Staub supported the workshop. The RTC budget is prioritized by Mr. Flansberg over multiple years. He recommended a similar process be used for this plan. This will point out what can be afforded and accomplished. Discussion supported conducting a workshop and establishing reasonable priorities which could be accomplished within two or three years. It also pointed out the need to resolve any issues with a recommendation before including an item in the budget, e.g., the northwest fire station and its traffic, infrastructure, manning, and the Nevada Division of Forestry issues. Each recommendation needs to be analyzed and prioritized which could include deferral for an indefinite period, deferral pending receipt of additional information, denial and implementation. Mayor Masayko suggested that the second budget meeting be used as a workshop to which Mr. Berkich agreed. Supervisor Livermore urged Mr. Berkich to bring forward those items which can be accomplished easily with any other areas that may need additional funding sources, including bonding or taxing measures, to accomplish. The public should be involved in this process due to the impact it could have on it. Supervisor Plank reiterated the RTC priority process which supports only those items that will be accomplished within two years. He suggested that a pay-as-you-go program be shown as well as a bonding program. The priorities need to be considered annually so that the technological items will result in improvements.

Chief Buckley explained the purpose of the study had been to develop a ten year plan. This year's requirement is to develop the implementation plan to accomplish the recommendations which includes bonding as well as other funding recommendations. His proposal and response clearly shows his disagreement with some of the plan's internal items such as the staffing levels as the City could not afford their recommendation(s). Justification for his recommended action plan was provided. He agreed that growth will at some time require a change in the staffing/service level but this is a policy decision which the Board will make when necessary. He urged the Board to understand that the plan is a program for the future, which is ten years. Mayor Masayko pointed out that the community may not be willing to support the plan and that the Board is only the policy makers who work with its direction. Chief Buckley felt that if the Board wants a three year plan, the consultant should be asked to establish one that is feasible rather than the ten year plan. Mayor Masayko indicated that plan is what was requested and that the Board will make the policy decisions on what should be done. Discussion indicated that some of the items should not be considered/implemented. Chief Buckley indicated his support for whatever policy the Board establishes and that he would recommend items that he believes can be accomplished. Mayor Masayko pointed out the elements which the Board will consider. Staff should establish the priorities and not continue to defer items in the hope that something will eventually be done. Chief Buckley agreed to follow the Board's direction. (2-2394) Supervisor Livermore explained that this is the reason he felt that a workshop should be conducted. A starting point must be established and should not be delayed for three years. Staff's assistance with the funding will be needed. Discussion noted Sparks' budget problems which require laying off 12 City employees in order to hire 10 police officers. The plan items, after a two or three year period, are for a fire station and sheriff's office which are to be funded over a two year period. They cost \$2.4 million. The plan had not developed any ideas/items which were not already known

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 19

by the Sheriff and the Fire Chief. Chief Buckley indicated that he had developed other options/alternatives for consideration by the Board.

Sheriff Banister expressed his feeling that the personnel numbers were incorrect for the community. His Internal Department Implementation Plan for personnel does not agree with the Phase I Plan. His plan also includes other staffing priorities which the plan did not consider as reflected in his budget recommendations/priorities. Communications is as important as the Lab Tech. He had submitted a memo based on an internal meeting describing the personnel needs. It contained his priorities. His budget process history on the personnel issues was limned. He wanted the Board to know exactly what we have and what we want so there is no mystery about the staffing. His priority list is not the same as the consultant had recommended but the actual number of people is the same. Mayor Masayko indicated that the workshop will address this issue and pointed out that the Board will be restricted on what it does by the availability of funding. Sheriff Banister reiterated his problems with having to go through the Interim Finance Committee with his budget requests and the lack of information which is then given to the Board regarding his needs. Mayor Masayko indicated that the workshop would be his opportunity to address this concern. Sheriff Banister agreed to participate in the workshop for a total understanding of the needs and how the plan had been developed. Mayor Masayko urged the Board to avoid "pie in the sky" decisions. Sheriff Banister felt that the administrative building was not realistic. Mayor Masayko and Supervisor Livermore agreed that it may not be realistic without an ad valorem increase.

Mr. Berkich explained Internal Finance's role had not been an attempt to filter or withhold information from the Board. He felt that Sheriff Banister, as an elected official, could have brought his original requests to the Board. The Committee had always indicated the amount of funding available in an attempt to provide reality to the process. He apologized if there had been a misunderstanding regarding the process. Mayor Masayko stated for the record that the Departments should have known that they could submit a written memo delineating their needs regardless of the direction taken by the Internal Finance Committee. Mr. Berkich felt that the plan was a ten year proposal which should be visited annually.

Mayor Masayko felt that a decision should be made in the beginning so that items are not continually deferred due to the lack of funding ability, e.g., the library request. A more creative funding mechanism or a ballot question must be developed or it should be forgotten. Mr. Berkich pointed out items on which staff needs guidance and establishment of an action plan before they can become realities. Mayor Masayko felt that more definition and work needed to be done to point out the items which could be accomplished within a three year timeframe. We should not design a new fire station for the northwest portion of the community as the need is too far out to justify the expenditure at this time.

Sheriff Banister listed his top three personnel priorities as being three dispatchers, two dispatch supervisors, one patrol deputy and one jail deputy. Chief Buckley's priority was an additional unit which could be used in any fashion. This will require an increase in equipment and manpower. The unit could provide coverage for squad, fire suppression, confined space rescue, etc., as well as a third ambulance. The plan recommends another ambulance as its highest priority as well as another engine and truck company. This totals more than \$2 million. Chief Buckley explained his intent, as an alternative, to try to cover the majority of those needs with \$180,000 a year on an interim basis. In ten years there will be an increased cost for that coverage. Justification for needing another unit was explained. The

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 20

service level is established as a Board policy. He makes recommendations as to the best way to cover it. They juggle to provide that coverage to the best of their ability with the resources provided. Unless additional coverage is provided we will not be able to continue to provide the same level of service. This is a Board policy decision. The tax, income and expenditure issues are also handled by the Board. Sheriff Banister explained that some of the dispatchers are for the Fire Department. Mayor Masayko and Chief Buckley pointed out that this is the decision that must be made daily and we must operate within the budget.

Clarification by Sheriff Banister indicated that the information services personnel is not one of his priorities. Mayor Masayko felt that if the dispatcher requests cannot be filled, the other supplemental requests may not be considered. Sheriff Banister indicated he wanted the deputy and jailer before the dispatchers. Chief Buckley suggested that there are other items in the plan that did not need to be considered, i.e., the urban versus the rural issue. Mayor Masayko suggested that the issues which do not need to be considered be culled before the workshop.

Mr. Berkich explained the concept for a contracted grant writer who would be jointly funded by Lyon and Douglas Counties and Carson City. The City's portion would be approximately \$30,000 a year. The importance of this individual was limited. Regional grant applications are being encouraged by the Feds. Mayor Masayko felt that performance indicators should be required in the job description and duties.

Supervisor Staub questioned whether the City had spent \$65,000 on a plan that two Departments do not support. The need for the workshop is important due to the concern that the study may not fit Carson City needs. Chief Buckley asked that the Board understand that the contractors are from large cities and are national leaders. What they say is relevant and should be listened too but when you have a population base of only 50,000 there is a lot of difference in needs and an understanding of what can be done. We had started a master plan. Our recommendations to you would indicate what you are able to do in Carson City and not what the national standards are.

Supervisor Williamson pointed out that Western Nevada Development District (WNDD) has a grant writer. Carson City is part of WNDD. She suggested that Carson City seek their assistance with this program until our contracted individual is on board.

Mr. Berkich explained the additional information services resource recommendation which would add to the Information Services personnel on a citywide basis with emphasis placed on public safety. Mr. Naylor supported the concept by describing his staffing and the need for another person. As the skill level for the Sheriff and Fire Departments is the same for other Departments, it should be possible to use this individual in other areas. Dispatch is a priority system which they cannot do much with it. The areas needing extra expertise is the communications and server areas. He needed people highly trained in Microsoft, server equipment, and incident reporting software. He needed additional skills as the City expands the services. Staffing, equipment, and software changes during the last ten years were described to illustrate the reasons for his staffing request. Supervisor Livermore displayed a five year labor growth history illustrating that the City's actual expenditures have grown from \$34 million to almost \$50 million. There have been approximately 40 positions added since 1996. Manpower needs have been considered although it may not have been in his Department. Mr. Naylor acknowledged that staffing has its priorities, too. Mayor Masayko pointed out the difference in current equipment and its technological changes which requires data services. Mr. Naylor explained that he was attempting to tell the Board that ten years ago the City was not even

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 21

started but we now need to begin to provide servers to meet the changes. He apologized if his effort had been seen as an attempt to fault the City/Board for failing to meet his Departmental needs. Mayor Masayko agreed that there had been growth and complexity in the data base operation. He also indicated for the record that the Board/City should not have two Information Service Departments. Mr. Naylor supported the recommended program and agreed that two Information Service Departments is not an issue.

Mayor Masayko felt that the other money items had been addressed. Clarification by Sheriff Banister indicated that the Traffic enforcement program is his patrol deputy. Discussion also pointed out that the request is for five dispatch positions this year and for four next year.

Lt. Saylo explained the committee's review of the problems and workings of the center. They need a full-time radio technician and increased staffing. This information will be available at the workshop. They also need nine dispatchers. Mayor Masayko stressed the importance of having that information and the restricted amount of funds available for any supplementals. Discussion explained the current dispatch staffing when at full staff.

Chief Buckley clarified his request to indicate that he was not asking for \$180,000 plus \$360,000. Mayor Masayko stressed the need to understand it completely in the workshop. Chief Buckley asked that the Board consider all the options including the options he had originally given the Board several months ago for the ambulance service. Supervisor Livermore pointed out that a third ambulance should increase the revenue. Chief Buckley explained that they are currently operating a third ambulance by taking a fire engine out of service or by using the cooperative agreements with surrounding agencies or by staffing it with Fire Chiefs and Assistant Chiefs.

Clarification pointed out that the jailer is not on the master plan list. Chief Banister explained that the consultant had not consider the jail in his master plan based on the fact that the City had a consultant develop the jail plan. The jail consultant had included a work release program in his plan and had calculated the jail population and personnel needs on its implementation. As the work release program had not been staffed or developed the jail population was higher and required more personnel than the best guesstimate had provided. A workshop is needed to address this concern. Mayor Masayko felt that the consultants should have addressed this program. Future contract models for consultants should be provided with direction that would prohibit this from reoccurring.

Public comments were solicited. Mr. Glover requested the record indicate his support for having the workshop. The Courthouse was not considered in the discussion or the report. We have no information on it. He requested an opportunity to participate in meetings/hearings on the administrative center. Mayor Masayko felt that the workshop will consider the Sheriff's administrative center and establish some parameters including the reconsideration periods. Mr. Glover pointed out the impact patrol deputies have on the courts which may not have been in the study's scope. The Courts can have an impact on the Sheriff's workload and the jail. Justice Court should comment on these issues. Mayor Masayko agreed that he should participate in the workshop discussions. It may be a lengthy discussion with a good exchange of ideas and all of the players should attend.

BREAK: A recess was declared at 3:30 p.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Masayko reconvened the meeting at 3:35 p.m., constituting a quorum.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 22

10 FIRE - CHIEF LOUIS BUCKLEY - ACTION TO DEPLOY FIRE DEPARTMENT STAFFING TO ELIMINATE THE FOUR PERSON ENGINE COMPANY AT STATION THREE AND ESTABLISH A TWO PERSON MULTI-PURPOSE RESPONSE UNIT AT STATION ONE (3-0198) - City Manager John Berkich

Discussion explained the ballot question requesting a five cent tax to support the Fire Department and meet the two in, two out requirement mandated by OSHA. This question had failed. Chief Buckley explained his statistics which indicate that there is an almost daily need for another ambulance unit. The proposal will reduce the four engine staff to three individuals and remove one individual from the squad unit. These individuals will be used to create a multi-purpose company. The services they will provide were defined. The concept will eliminate the need to close Fire Station 3. The concept could reduce the staffing ability in one area but provides more flexibility and more funding options. The \$8,000 in additional equipment could be addressed by transferring funding from another account within his budget. This is a one time expense with minimal impact. Although the four person engine company is still needed, the demand is higher for a multi-purpose unit. Discussion explained the reason the City responds to all ambulance calls at an ALS level is due to Medicare reimbursement policies. This policy may change on April 1. Justification for the current staffing at fires and on ambulance calls, the use of mutual aid agreements to staff additional calls, procedures used to meet the two in, two out OSHA requirement, and the procedures used to enter a building when there are not four firefighters at a fire were limned. The collective bargaining agreement mandates that three individuals be on a fire truck. The multi-purpose unit will not be able to staff that vehicle for that reason. Every month there are periods when Chief Buckley could use five and six ambulances in the field. The option will provide an additional unit without any additional personnel. Mayor Masayko pointed out that the comments and request indicate that more ambulance service is needed and not additional fire service. The collective bargaining units had agreed to support the request. Mayor Masayko explained that the ambulance enterprise fund may be eliminated which eliminates the clearly defined subsidy. Chief Buckley indicated that the ambulance is not subsidized. The ambulance calls are dominating the resources. The City had looked at other ambulance options including privatization. Mayor Masayko pointed out that until the ambulance service can meter its calls, ALS service will always be provided. This is a decision and priority issue for the community. Mayor Masayko thanked him for the recommendation and the staff for its support. This is temporary solution that may last two years, if not longer. It does not address the staffing or overtime issues. Chief Buckley indicated that this is a policy decision which the Board should make. He intends to continue asking for additional support/resources in the future. Supervisor Plank moved to redeploy Fire Department staffing to eliminate the four person engine company at Station Three and establish a two person multi-purpose response unit at Station One. Supervisors Livermore and Staub seconded the motion. Discussion indicated that a reserve ambulance will be used for this unit and that additional equipment would not be required. The motion was voted and carried 5-0.

11. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS - CONTINUATION OF NON-ACTION ITEMS - None.

BREAK: There being no other matters agenzized until 6 p.m., a recess was declared at 4 p.m. The entire Board was present when Mayor Masayko reconvened the meeting at 6 p.m., constituting a quorum. Staff members present included: City Manager John Berkich, Administrative Assistant Liz Teixeira, and Recording Secretary Katherine McLaughlin.

12. COMMUNITY SUPPORT SERVICES - Administrative Assistant Liz Teixeira - PRESENTATION OF APPLICATIONS FOR ANNUAL FUNDING OF CARSON CITY COMMUNITY SUPPORT

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 23

SERVICES GRANT ALLOCATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2002-2003 (3-0595) - THE MEETING PLACE - Administrator/Executive Director Ramona Hayes; **RURAL CENTER FOR INDEPENDENT LIVING** - Director Sandy Coyle; **CASA (3-0792)** - President Ann McCarthy, Vice President Barry Smith, Executive Director Chris Bayer; **OARC (3-0945)** - Executive Director Mary Winkler; **NEVADA DAY COMMITTEE (3-0995)** - Program Director Virginia Nuzum; **HOME HEALTH SERVICES OF NEVADA (3-1035); COMMUNITY COUNSELING CENTER (3-1030)** - Executive Director Mary Bryan; **COMMUNITY COUNCIL ON YOUTH (3-1180)** - Chairperson Jerry Mather; **RSVP (3-1338)** - Executive Director Janice Ayres; **ADVOCATES TO END DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (3-1526)** - Executive Director Lisa Lee; **NEVADA HISPANIC SERVICES (3-1706)** - Program Coordinator Tricia Johnson and Volunteer Rich Bellinger; **BREWERY ARTS CENTER (3-1857)** - Executive Director Joe McCarthy; **NEVADA-TAHOE CONSERVATION DISTRICT (3-2158)** - District Manager Jason Drew and Backyard Conservation Coordinator Darrell Whitmore; **CARSON VALLEY CONSERVATION DISTRICT (3-2200) (3-3136)** - Chairman James Settelmeyer, Administrative Assistant and Resource Coordinator Kim Hansen; **HEALTH SMART (3-2290)** - Executive Director Kathy Loomis; **CAPITAL CITY HUMANE SOCIETY** - President Isabel Young and Betty (last name unknown); **CAPITAL CITY ALANO CLUB** - Chuck Frost; **SOROPTIMIST INTERNATIONAL (3-3525)** - Program Coordinator Dixie Bush; **SALVATION ARMY (4-0104)** - Office Administrative Assistant Lynn Johnson; **SENIOR SERVICE NETWORK (4-0195)** - President Ramona Hayes and Treasurer Lorie Olson - Mayor Masayko convened the session by explained its purpose. Ms. Teixeira explained that the only action to be taken this evening would place items on the first cut list. The applicants had been asked to keep their presentations between five and seven minutes. Mayor Masayko thanked them for attending and for their services. The Board's desire to provide funding and the need to understand the request were explained. The budget had included \$196,000 for community services. Agencies who have received ongoing funding are already on this list. Any requests for additional funding needs to be placed on the one shot list. He also asked that the applicants limit their presentations to between five and seven minutes.

The Representatives briefly described/discussed their purposes, services, budgets, and/or funding request. Supporters/members who were present were often introduced. Mayor Masayko suggested that CASA explore the potential of permanent funding from the recently increased Court administrative fees. Ms. Coyle indicated the intent to seek legislation to provide an ongoing funding source during the next session. She distributed a memo explaining the funding provided by Washoe and Douglas Counties through their court budgets. (A copy is in the file.) Mayor Masayko expressed a willingness to consider this if the Judges wish to make a presentation requesting the funding. The main concern at this time, however, is the restricted amount of available funding. Mayor Masayko disclosed his involvement with the Home Health Service and its purpose. As its funding is among the ongoing funded items, it was not placed on a wish list. CCOY Chairperson Jerry Mather distributed a list of last year's activities. (A copy is in the file.) Supervisor Staub disclosed his membership on the CCOY Board. Supervisor Plank disclosed his involvement with the Ron Wood Resource Center which obtains funding through the CCOY program. Mayor Masayko suggested that the MPO funding be used to provide busing for the CCOY program as a portion of the transit program. Mayor Masayko disclosed his involvement with the RSVP Advisory Committee. Discussion noted the Advocates' Taste of Downtown fundraiser and explained its status. The Nevada Hispanic Services, the Brewery Arts Center, Health Smart, and the Alano Club were asked to seek funding from the surrounding Counties for those Counties' residents even though their service are provided in Carson City. Supervisor Williamson disclosed her involvement with the Brewery Arts Centers' Advisory Committee. Mayor Masayko and Supervisor Livermore

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Meeting

Page 24

suggested that Health Smart and the Soroptimists work together on the Soroptimist's cancer screening program. Supervisors Livermore and Williamson disclosed their involvement with the Health Smart Board of Directors. Supervisor Williamson disclosed her membership in the Carson Valley Conservation District. The Carson Valley Conservation District displayed and explained pollution and its impact on the environment. Mayor Masayko also encouraged the Soroptimists to discuss their program with Carson-Tahoe Radiology/Dr. Nims. A math error in the Salvation Army's report was corrected. Senior Service Network's request for \$1500 was increased to be at whatever level the Board could support due to the dire senior need for prescription assistance. Supervisor Staub suggested that the Senior Services Network contact the Hospital for assistance. Supervisor Plank acknowledged and commended Gardner's Reclaiming Our Waysides for their accounting of the funds that they had received last year and on their successful program.

Supervisor Plank placed the following items on the first cut one shot funding list: The Meeting Place for \$7,500; the Center for Independent Living for \$5,551; Community Counseling Center for \$20,000; and the Nevada-Tahoe Conservation District for \$9,500. He also placed the Advocates on the ongoing funding first cut list for \$10,000. Supervisor Williamson placed CASA on the first cut one shot funding list for \$24,000 and on the ongoing funding list for \$6,000; the Brewery Arts Center on the one shot list for \$15,000; and the Carson Valley Conservation District for \$2,000 on ongoing funding list and \$8,000 in one shot funding list. Supervisor Livermore placed the following items on the first cut ongoing funding list: OARC for \$14,000; RSVP for \$16,500; Nevada Hispanic Services for \$14,000; and HealthSmart for \$5,000. Mayor Masayko placed the following items on the first cut one shot funding list: Nevada Day for \$3,000; CCOY busing program for \$15,000; CCOY's unfunded programs for \$20,000; Capital City Humane Society for \$10,000; the Alano Club for \$5,000; Soroptimists for \$3,000; the Salvation Army for \$500; and the Senior Service Network for \$1,500. He also placed the Nevada Day Committee on the ongoing list for \$2,000.

There being no other matters for consideration, Supervisor Williamson moved to adjourn. Supervisors Livermore and Staub seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously. Mayor Masayko adjourned the meeting at 8:42 p.m.

The Minutes of the March 7, 2002, Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting

ARE SO APPROVED ON May 2, 2002.

/s/
Ray Masayko, Mayor

ATTEST:

/s/
Alan Glover, Clerk-Recorder