

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the February 2, 1989 Meeting
Page 2

technical augmentation would be presented in the future. Supervisor Feticc amended his motion to indicate that the funding would be taken from the Printing Reserve Account within the General Fund. Supervisor Swirczek continued his second. Motion was voted and carried 5-0.

4. FINANCE DIRECTOR (1-1062)

ACTION ON CHECK DISBURSEMENT REGISTER FOR DECEMBER 1988 - Internal Auditor Gary Kulikowski explained an overpayment he had discovered during his audit. A credit has been issued which will apply toward future billings. Both Mr. Kulikowski and Ms. Walker felt that this was simply an oversight and not the norm. Supervisor Feticc moved to approve the Check Disbursement Register for December 1988. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried unanimously.

ACTION ON PROPOSED PLAN OF CORRECTIVE ACTION REGARDING THE FISCAL YEAR 1987-89 AUDIT (1-1216) - Ms. Walker explained the statutory requirements and her report on the corrective actions taken on the audit report. The City is now publishing the bills in the local newspaper. Steps which the Clerk has taken to attempt to bring the Board Minutes current were outlined. Supervisor Swirczek moved that the Board approve the proposed plan of corrective action regarding the audit points as read into the record by Mary Walker, Finance Director of Carson City. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried unanimously.

5. CLERK-RECORDER - ACTION ON CONFIRMATION OF OFFICERS FOR THE CARSON CITY BOARD OF EQUALIZATION (1-1406) - Following Mr. Glover's introduction, Supervisor Bennett moved to confirm the officers of the Carson City Board of Equalization as stated, e.g., Clifford Welch as Chairperson and Jody Liebhard as Vice-Chairperson and Members Dick Williams, James Madsen, and Marvin T. Brown. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

SHERIFF (1-1445)

ACTION ON FUNDING FOR REPLACEMENT OF WASHING MACHINE AND DRYER IN THE JAIL - Sheriff McGrath explained the need to replace the washing machine and dryer. Undersheriff Freeman explained the present equipment, the replacement equipment, the sanitation requirements, the Mission Linen contract for coveralls, and funding. Parts for the dryer must be made by City staff when it breaks down. Its temperature control no longer works. The new washer and dryer would be amortized over three years. Shirt and pants would be used instead of the one piece coveralls. The Mission Linen contract would be eliminated. If new equipment is not procedure, the contract would have to include linen, towels, and more coveralls. Funding concerns were discussed at length. Action was deferred to allow Ms. Walker time to analyze the funding and for Mr. Wilson to return and state whether this is a sole source provider or provide cost comparisons.

ACTION ON EMERGENCY LOCKSMITHING SERVICES (1-1854) - Sheriff McGrath explained the need for locksmithing services and the contract which was being offered at no cost to the City. Deputy District Attorney Bob Auer explained the hold harmless clause. Supervisor Swirczek moved to approve the agreement between Carson City Sheriff's Office and Dennis Reed, doing business as A-1 Lock-Doc, which would permit A-1 Lock Doc to perform emergency locksmithing services on an as-needed basis at no cost to the City. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

7. CARSON CITY SHERIFF'S SUPERVISORY ASSOCIATION - ACTION ON RECOGNITION BY THE CITY AS THE BARGAINING LABOR UNIT FOR SUPERVISORY PERSONNEL OF THE CARSON CITY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT (1-2007) - Mr. Cockerill explained his request to defer action on this item until he had had an opportunity to review the legal aspects of the proposal. The Association's Attorney, Thomas Ray, introduced Association President Rod Cullen and stressed his feeling that it was not necessary to delay the item. Considerable discussion ensued between

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the February 2, 1989 Meeting
Page 3

Messrs. Cullen, Ray, Cockerill, Mike Efford, Rody, and the Board related to the deadlines mandated by the Statutes and whether action should be taken at this time. During this discussion, it was determined that the required documents had been given to Mr. Cockerill on Wednesday, February 1, and, due to Mr. Cockerill's workload, he had not had an opportunity to review them. While Mr. Cockerill acknowledged that the present Sheriff's Association represented two groups - the Supervisors and the other Deputies - with two contracts, he felt it was necessary to analyze the legal ramifications of having two separate associations. Board discussion indicated a willingness to hold a special meeting to act upon the contract. Eventually the Association agreed that if the Board stipulated the Association had begun the process within the required timeframe, a continuation was acceptable. Supervisor Bennett then moved to defer formal action or approval for recognition by the City of Carson City Supervisory Association as the bargaining unit for the Carson City Sheriff's Department until the next scheduled meeting. A second was not made and the motion died. Further discussion ensued on the date of the meeting. Supervisor Bennett then moved to schedule formal action for approval of the Carson City Sheriff's Supervisor Association recognition by the City for a special Board meeting to be held on February 8, 1989, at noon. Mr. Cockerill requested the meeting be held on February 9. Supervisor Bennett amended her motion to have the meeting on February 9. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Mr. Ray requested that the motion include the fact that the presentation commenced on this date and that the decision was being postponed until that meeting. Supervisor Bennett explained her reluctance to add this to the motion. Mr. Cockerill expressed his feeling that the record clearly indicated the Association had begun the process to obtain recognition on this date and would be completed on the 9th. Supervisor Bennett again amended her motion to be to defer action on the recognition by the City as a bargaining labor unit for the supervisory personnel of the Carson City Sheriff's Department from February 2, 1989, until February 9, 1989, at 12 noon. Supervisor Swirczek agreed. Motion was voted and carried unanimously.

8. PARKS AND RECREATION DIRECTOR (2-0066)

ACTION ON 1988-89 RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION TAX ALLOCATION - Mr. Kastens explained the Parks and Recreation recommendation to allocate funding for: \$22,000 for basketball goals at Fuji Park Exhibit Hall, \$250,000 to assist the Park Bond Projects at Mills Park, and \$15,000 for erosion control at Sunland Vista Park. Discussion noted that these funds had already been collected and would have no impact on the allocations made in 1988. The 1988 projects have been delayed due to the backlog in Purchasing and should go out to bid soon. They will be constructed before the 1988-89 allocations due to statutory expenditure requirements. Supervisor Swirczek moved to approve the Parks and Recreation Committee recommendation for 1988-89 residential construction tax allocations. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

ACTION ON TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN CARSON CITY AND FUJI PARK HORSEMEN'S ASSOCIATION (2-0162) - Mr. Kastens explained reasons for terminating the agreement and introduced Fuji Park Horsemen's Association President Lynda Navarro. Ms. Navarro explained the Association's support of the recommendation if the policy is approved. Mr. Auer requested the motion include a stipulation that written acknowledgement be given that the Association was relinquishing its contract. Mr. Kulikowski expressed concerns related to being able to audit the Association's books and questioned whether the portion of the policy related to the fee schedule was being approved at this time. Clarification noted that the Agenda was not noticed for approval of the policy. Mr. Auer explained that Mr. Kastens has a similar policy on other parks and his feeling that this policy did not require formal Board action. Ms. Navarro stressed the Association's position that the policy be adopted before the termination is approved. Supervisor Feticc moved to defer action until Thursday, February 9, at noon. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

9. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR (2-0477)

PLANNING COMMISSION REFERRALS - ACTION ON CONSENT MATTERS

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the February 2, 1989 Meeting
Page 4

SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION U-88/89-8 - CARSON CITY - BUILD A MAINTENANCE BUILDING ON PROPERTY ZONED PUBLIC (P) LOCATED AT 5101 HERITAGE WAY (EAGLE VALLEY GOLF COURSE) (APN 8-391-03 - PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED 5-0.

SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION U-88/89-9 - CARSON CITY - APPROVAL OF RELOCATION OF PARKS DEPARTMENT BUILDING ON PROPERTIES ZONED PUBLIC (P) LOCATED AT 3300 EAST FIFTH STREET AND 2350 GRAVES LANE (APN 10-031-02 AND 8-131-02) - PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED 5-0.

SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION U-88/89-10 - STATE OF NEVADA - ALLOW AN EXTRACTION OPERATION AND BATCH PLAN ON PROPERTY ZONED CONSERVATION RESERVE (CR) LOCATED AT ONE MILE SOUTHEAST OF U.S. HIGHWAY 50 AND DUMP ROAD (APN 10-011-02) - PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION PENDING

SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION U-88/89-13 - CARSON CITY - EXPAND WATER DEPARTMENT OFFICE ON PROPERTY ZONED PUBLIC (P) LOCATED AT 3300 EAST FIFTH STREET (CARSON CITY CORPORATE YARD) (APN 10-031-02) - PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION PENDING - Mr. Rody read the items into the record. Special Use Permit Application U-88-89-9 from the State of Nevada had been pulled. Special Use Permit Application U-88/89-13 had been approved by the Planning Commission 5-0. Supervisor Fetic moved to approve Consent Agenda items 1, 2, and 4 as read into the record by Mr. Rody. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried unanimously.

PLANNING COMMISSION REFERRALS - ACTION ON REVIEW AND APPEAL MATTERS - MISCELLANEOUS M-88/89-8 - REQUEST BY MYRNA NESSER AND GREGORY THROWER TO ABANDON A PORTION OF HICKORY DRIVE BETWEEN CLEARVIEW DRIVE AND APPION WAY - PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED 5-0 (2-0555) - Deputy Community Development Director - Planning Eric Toll explained the request and the only objection. The City would retain a public utility easement. Supervisor Swirczek moved to approve M-88/89-8, a request by Myrna Nesser and Gregory Thrower to abandon a portion of Hickory Drive between Clearview Drive and Appion Way subject to the listed conditions of approval. Due to clarification of the proper motion, Supervisor Swirczek amended his motion to approve the abandonment subject to the listed conditions and direct the District Attorney's Office to prepare the appropriate abandonment order for the Mayor's signature. Supervisor Fetic seconded the motion. Mr. Toll then explained that as the property was obtained through dedication procedures, it was returned to the adjacent property owners. A fee could not be charged. The motion to approve the abandonment subject to the conditions indicated and direct the District Attorney's Office to prepare the appropriate document for the Mayor's signature was voted and carried unanimously.

ACTION ON PROPOSED ORDINANCES - FIRST READING - AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 18.05.029 (PARKING LOT LANDSCAPING STANDARDS) (2-0665) - Mr. Toll explained that the Board had approved this concept in 1987 and staff had been enforcing it since January 1988, however, the Code had never been officially modified. Although the proposal did not address all of the desired landscaping standards, it covered the plan size. Board direction was needed if shade trees are to be required. Design review may cover this concern. The "C" scape concept of low maintenance vegetation and drip irrigation systems is included on pages four and six. The Code currently requires property owners to maintain the landscaping. The design standards may be ready for Board action during the Spring. Supervisor Swirczek moved to introduce on first reading Bill No. 101, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 18.05.029 (PARKING LOT LANDSCAPING STANDARDS) OF THE CARSON CITY MUNICIPAL CODE. Supervisor Chirila seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the February 2, 1989 Meeting
Page 5

10. PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR (2-0881)

ACTION ON REPLACEMENT OF SURPLUS FOUR-WHEEL DRIVE VEHICLE FROM CAPITAL ACQUISITION FUND - Mr. O'Brien explained the request to allocate \$10,900 of the funds from the surplus vehicle sale to procure a four-wheel drive pickup with a camper shell for Communications. He briefly outlined Communications need for a four-wheel drive vehicle. Finance Director Mary Walker had approved the request. Supervisor Swirczek explained his support and moved to authorize the expenditure of \$10,900 from the Capital Acquisition Fund to purchase one replacement vehicle, that being a 4x4 compact pickup with a camper shell to replace the Communications Division's four wheel drive Bronco sold at the last auction. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried unanimously. Discussion noted that the purchase would be handled through State Purchasing Department.

PLANNING COMMISSION REFERRAL - APPEAL AND REVIEW MATTER - ACTION ON DEDICATION OF A PORTION OF LA LOMA, GORDONIA, AND MONTE ROSA DRIVES BY CARSON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT - PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED 5-0 (2-0978) - The streets meet City standards. Supervisor Swirczek moved that the Board of Supervisors accept the dedication of the subject portion of La Loma, Gordonia, and Monte Rosa Drives offered by the Carson City School District. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL FACILITIES - Scheduled for 1:30 p.m.

BREAK: A ten minute recess was called at 11:20 a.m. When the meeting reconvened at 11:30 a.m., the entire Board was present constituting a quorum.

WATER ENGINEER (2-1017)

VERBAL STATUS REPORT AND UPDATE ON PROPOSED CITY-STATE WATER SYSTEM - Water Engineer Dorothy Timian-Palmer explained by use of a map the various pressure zones in the City and the effect tying the State system into the City's system would have. By using the State's water in Lakeview and City water at the prison and Capitol Complex, Lakeview's water pressure problems had been solve. The costs for each were explained in percentages. The State's cooperative attitude was commended throughout the report. Discussion indicated the feeling that the communication problem between the City and State was being resolved.

Mayor Teixeira then stated for the record that Ms. Timian-Palmer had received her registered water engineer license and commended her for passing on her first exam.

Discussion ensued concerning the timetable for the project which would take legislative approval.

ACTION ON STATE WATER TREATMENT PLAN EXPANSION - FIRST ADDENDUM TO CONTRACT BETWEEN THE STATE OF NEVADA AND CARSON CITY TO SUPPLY RAW WATER FROM THE MARLETTE WATER SYSTEM (2-1325) - Mr. O'Brien explained the agreement including the projected increase in production and costs. Mr. Cockerill then went over the agreement. Clarification pointed out that even though the City was making the improvements, the State would own and maintain them. The City was to receive any water above the State needs before any other entity. Virginia City's water does not flow through the treatment plant. The only water which would flow through the treatment plant was that required to meet the City and State needs. The State Water Engineer would not allow this water to be used for growth. The intent was that it be utilized to protect the present users only. Other capital improvements which may be made in the future were noted. Mr. Cockerill explained the purpose of having separate agreements each time improvements are made. Discussion pointed out that as the Capitol Complex grows, there will be an increased demand on the water system. The State did not at this time project a need for more than the 520 gallon capacity which the plant could produce. Therefore, the City

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the February 2, 1989 Meeting
Page 6

should benefit from the expansion. Only those communities acknowledged in the agreement could tap the system in the future. Discussion also pointed out that while the Capitol Complex grows, Departments now using private facilities will be relocated to it. This would reduce demand on the City's system for those locations. At present, the Hobart system produces more water than has been utilized. Another treatment plant will be needed to maximize that water. Funding for the expansion is included in this year's water budget. Supervisor Swirczek moved to approve and authorize the Mayor to sign the first addendum to the contract between the State of Nevada and Carson City to supply raw water to the Marlette Water System subject to Mike Meizel and the Marlette Advisory Committee's approval. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

ACTION ON RESTRUCTURE OF THE WATER DIVISION CLASSIFICATIONS WITHIN THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (2-1963) - Mr. O'Brien, Ms. Timian-Palmer, Water Maintenance Superintendent Tom Hoffert explained the reasons for requesting reclassifications within the Division including the benefits of having a meter repairperson. Funding was available in the budget. The effective date was July 1. Similar requests would be made for the Sewer and Street Departments. Supervisor Swirczek moved to approve the position of Senior Water Meter Reader at a Grade 25 as of July 1, 1989, the position of a Water Meter Repairman at a Grade 26 as of July 1, 1989, upgrade the Water Leadman position from a Grade 27 to a Grade 28 as of July 1, 1989, and upgrade the Pump Leadman position from a Grade 27 to a Grade 28 as of July 1, 1989. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

CITIZEN COMMENTS (2-2337) - Dick Waiton took the Board to task for missing his hand when he wished to question several presentations made earlier. He then questioned how it had been determined that the water meter at the Ormsby House had been in error. It was explained that a new meter was installed. He then expounded on his feeling that the public had been taken by the Carson-Tahoe Hospital when the special bond election was held. He felt that it should not be allowed to privatize.

ACTION ON RESTRUCTURE OF THE WATER DIVISION CLASSIFICATIONS WITHIN THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - CONTINUED (2-2466) - Mr. O'Brien expressed his feeling that the employee morale in this Division had changed for the better during the last 18 to 24 months.

Mr. Waiton then questioned the effect the Capitol Complex would have on the City's Wastewater Treatment Plant. Mr. O'Brien explained that the State is on the system and would pay a sewer bill the same as other users.

BREAK: At 12:15 p.m., a lunch recess was called until 1:30 p.m. The entire Board was present constituting a quorum.

6. SHERIFF (2-2522)

ACTION ON 1988-89 RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION TAX ALLOCATION - CONTINUED - Mr. Freeman then explained that the cost to have a reverse cycle on the dryer would be an additional \$586. Annual savings were projected at \$1593. Ms. Walker explained her recommendation that the request be included in the 1989-90 budget unless there are savings within the Sheriff's Department which could be used for this purpose. Capital Acquisition could be used for the procurement and annual reimbursements could be made. Sheriff McGrath felt this would become an accounting problem to track and would establish a new precedence. His budget at this time indicated there may be \$30,000 returned to the General Fund which could be used to reimburse Capital Acquisitions. Mr. Wilson then explained that, although he had not seen the specifications, there were other vendors in the area and his telephone discussion indicated that he could purchase the machines for \$6,131 including the reverse cycle and monthly service contract as well as a 24-hour, seven-day emergency service. Approval of a vendor without soliciting quotes would be in violation of NRS 332. Discussion indicated the Board's desire to authorize Mr. Wilson to proceed to purchase the equipment at a not to exceed price of \$6131. Ms. Walker explained that she could

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the February 2, 1989 Meeting
Page 7

make the necessary budget transfers. Sheriff McGrath felt that the transfer should occur at the end of the year when he knows where his budget is. Supervisor Swirczek explained how this procedure would work and his support of the purchase at this time. Supervisor Swirczek then moved to approve the Sheriff's Department request to replace the washing machine and dryer in the jail in an amount not to exceed \$6,375 with the funding source to be the Capital Acquisition Fund. He then amended the motion to have Board approve authorization of an expenditure in an amount of \$6,375 from the Capital Acquisition Fund to purchase a washer and dryer for the jail, that the Capital Acquisition Fund be reimbursed in a like amount at the end of the year from any savings in the Sheriff's Department in an amount equal to \$6,375 or whatever budget savings occurs within the Sheriff's Department. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 5-0.

10. WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL FACILITIES (3-0046)

ACTION ON CHANGE ORDER 4 TO CONTRACT I-2, PRISON FARM IRRIGATION SYSTEM (A & K EARTHMOVERS) - Mr. O'Brien explained the changes and those items eligible for grant funding. Joint Venture Project Manager Jim Vasey also explained the change order as well as Change Order 5. He then introduced Bowles Resident Engineer Bob Sennett and explained his role. Messrs. O'Brien, Vasey, and Sennett responded to questions from the Board concerning the changes, ownership and maintenance of the irrigation equipment, and the contractor's bond. Mr. Vasey explained his monthly report which included a synopsis of the funding allocations. Mr. O'Brien agreed to provide Mayor Teixeira a copy. Discussion ensued concerning the amount of effluent stored at the reservoir. Mr. Vasey felt that Dr. Harper would be providing a detailed report on this matter during the next month. Messrs. O'Brien and Vasey explained to Mr. Waiton the A & K Earthmovers' role and that the cost breakdown is also included in the monthly breakdown provided by Mr. Vasey. Supervisor Swirczek moved to approve Change Order No. 4 to Contract I-2 with A & K Earthmovers, Inc., increasing the amount of the contract by \$6,845.23, and authorize the Mayor to sign such Change Order, the funding source is Sewer Fund 510, and that portion which is grant eligible will be so submitted. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried unanimously.

Supervisor Chirila commended Mr. Sennett on his role in educating her on the system.

ACTION ON CHANGE ORDER 5 TO CONTRACT I-2, PRISON FARM IRRIGATION SYSTEM (A & K EARTHMOVERS) (3-0498) - Following Mr. O'Brien's introduction, Supervisor Swirczek moved to approve Change Order No. 5 to A & K Earthmovers, Inc., decreasing the amount of the contract by \$67,241.72, and authorize the Mayor to sign such Change Order. Supervisor Feticc seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried 5-0.

Mr. O'Brien then commended Mr. Sennett on his role in the project.

ACTION ON CONSULTANT TASK ORDER TIME CARD CORRECTIONS (4-0536) - Messrs. O'Brien and Cockerill explained the need to correct the 1980 to 1986 time cards to correctly reflect a true track of time allocations. Supervisor Bennett moved to accept the Task Order Time Card Correction Report prepared by Vasey Engineering and submitted under their cover letter of November 16, 1988. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

11. CITY MANAGER (3-0618)

ACTION ON INVOCATION AT REGULAR BOARD MEETINGS - Rev. Bruce Henderson explained the proposal to have the Ministerial Fellowship provide invocations at all Board meetings in order to provide a solemn setting for the meetings. Mr. Cockerill read a letter from the District Attorney's Office outlining the procedures which would be followed for having invocations. Comments stressed that the invocations would be non-demoninational in nature and would not encourage one denomination over another

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the February 2, 1989 Meeting
Page 8

nor be sermons. The Fellowship would be responsible for having the Minister present. Supervisor Bennett moved to approve a new and routine category "Invocation" at regular Board meetings. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. To clarify the intent, Supervisor Bennett amended her motion to include "that the intent was to set a solemn tone to the meeting." Supervisor Swirczek continued his second. Motion was voted and carried unanimously.

ACTION ON RESOLUTION TO SELL 1.5 ACRE PARCEL AT ROOP AND MOODY STREETS - Mr. Rody explained the proposal and the agreement with Broker Tom Johnson. A desire was expressed to reconsider the broker agreement. Supervisor Fetic moved to adopt Resolution No. 1989-R-12, A RESOLUTION PROVIDING NOTICE AND AUTHORIZING THE SALE OF CARSON CITY REAL PROPERTY APN 2-111-07 (ROOP AND MOODY), SAID SALE TO BE CONDUCTED BY A LICENSED REAL ESTATE BROKER. Supervisor Bennett seconded the motion. Boar discussion responded to Mr. Waiton's questions concerning location, potential use, and the appraised value. The motion to adopt Resolution No. 1989-R-12 was voted and carried unanimously.

12. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MATTERS (3-1062)

ACTION ON RESOLUTION REVISIONS COMPOSITION OF THE CARSON CITY GOLF COURSE ADVISORY COMMITTEE - Following Mayor Teixeira's introduction, Supervisor Fetic moved to adopt Resolution No. 1989-R-13, A RESOLUTION AMENDING FORMER RESOLUTION NO. 1987-R-58 CHANGING THE NATURE OF THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE GOLF ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR CARSON CITY. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried unanimously.

MAYOR TEIXEIRA (3-1077) - A further attempt will be made to time and group agenda matters in order to expedite the meetings.

SUPERVISORS SWIRCZEK AND FETTIC - None.

SUPERVISOR CHIRILA - Expounded on her reasons for feeling that the real estate broker agreement should be reconsidered. Supervisor Swirczek also explained his support for a re-evaluation. Staff is to report back.

SUPERVISOR BENNETT - Explained the National Trust for Historic Preservation Council Seminar on the Main Street Program. She felt that the City had already begun work on the Program on its own initiative. This had made the Council's appearance even more timely.

CITIZEN COMMENTS (3-1266) - Mr. Waiton expressed his feeling that the property discussed for sale should be worth more than \$3.50 a square foot.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES - Special Meetings: 5/17/88, 5/26/88, and 1/10/89; Agenda Sessions: 1/30/89 and 1/17/89 (3-1315) - Supervisor Swirczek moved to approve the Minutes as presented. Supervisor Fetic seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

BREAK: At 2:30 p.m., a recess was called until 6:15 p.m.

Mayor Teixeira reconvened the meeting at 6:15 p.m. Supervisors Swirczek, Fetic, Chirila, and Bennett and Mayor Teixeira were present. Staff members present were: Sheriff McGrath, Deputy City Manager Rody, Finance Director Walker, Fire Chief Sease, Undersheriff Freeman, Assistant Sheriff Austin, Deputy District Attorney Auer, Lieutenant Efford, and Recording Secretary McLaughlin. Roll call was taken and a quorum was present.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the February 2, 1989 Meeting
Page 9

13. FINANCE DIRECTOR - FURTHER DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING CARSON CITY'S REQUESTS BEFORE THE NEVADA TAX COMMISSION FOR:

SHORT-TERM FINANCING FOR RETROFIT OF SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT BUILDING TO MINIMUM FIRE AND SAFETY CODE STANDARDS TO BE PAID FROM THE PROCEEDS OF A SPECIAL AD VALOREM TAX

SHORT-TERM FINANCING FOR PUBLIC SAFETY VEHICLES TO BE PAID FROM THE PROCEEDS OF A SPECIAL AD VALOREM TAX

ALLOCATION OF ADDITIONAL REVENUE FROM INCREASE IN CAPITAL SANITATION FRANCHISE FEE (3-1337)

Mayor Teixeira commended the audience for attending and explained the purpose of the hearing. The net effect of the proposals would be a one cent increase in the ad valorem tax for the first three years and no impact after that period. This would affect the average homeowner 21 cents a month. Supervisor Chirila then read into the record a Nevada Appeal editorial dated February 2, 1989. The editorial supported the proposal due to the condition of the emergency fleet and jail. It noted the decreased amount required to support the proposal as compared to the tax over-ride proposals voted upon at the November General Election. It also solicited public support at this meeting. Supervisor Feticc then read into the record Ed Skudlarek's letter of opposition. His opposition was based on his feeling that the Board should reduce the number of building permits issued until the level of social and public services can support additional growth. Future growth should bear all costs for expanding the social and public services. Commercial expansion should be restricted to those facilities vacant in the downtown area and should be of a nature which would not create an impact on the City's traffic. He also suggested that new development be restricted in such a fashion as to eliminate removal of only the absolute essential amount of vegetation required for construction. This policy would reduce erosion and maintain drought tolerant species in landscaping. (During this presentation, Deputy District Attorney Auer arrived.)

(3-1641) Finance Director Walker then explained the City's financial deficit which had occurred 1-1/2 to 2 years ago. This had eliminated the City's ability to replace its aging fleet and grant cost-of-living increases to employees. The number of City employees and building maintenance had been reduced. The City's tax structure, which was explained, currently is based upon area growth. She then explained that the employee associations had taken the City to fact finding and read both Fact Finders' reports into the record. Both individuals had found that the City did not have funds for an increase. She then explained that Questions 1, 11, and 12 would have increased the average homeowner's taxes by \$164. When these proposals were defeated, the need to replace the aging public safety vehicles and retrofit the Sheriff's facility became paramount. Her comments stressed the need to have reliable, safe vehicles when responding to emergency calls and to bring the Sheriff's facility up to minimal safety and fire code requirements. The proposal would provide the Sheriff's Department with 17 marked patrol and seven unmarked vehicles with pertinent equipment and the Fire Department with two four-wheel drive vehicles, two sedans, and two ambulances. The total vehicle request was \$497,066. The proposal as for a five-year financing plan with a net effect on homeowners of \$2.50 per year.

(3-1885) Jim Oddie, while not opposed, questioned how the City had arrived at the statement that the City's fleet of vehicles had an average age of 14 years. He felt that all of the Sheriff's vehicles had been purchased during the last ten years. He then expressed his feeling that legislation should be introduced to obtain some of the \$150 million in tax revenue which the State had taken from Carson City. He felt that since the budget had grown from \$6-7 million in 1981, funds should be available for the proposed purposes. The City's growth rate had been approximately 2 percent a year over the last seven years. His property taxes had returned to the 1981 rate of \$600 and his sales tax had gone from 3-1/2 percent to 6 percent. He questioned where the 1/4 cent road tax funds are being used as there appears to be adequate funds for a new road

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the February 2, 1989 Meeting
Page 10

construction but not snow removal. He questioned the Sheriff's Department growth and increase in the number of vehicles for the Sheriff's Department. He felt that a lot of vehicles were being parking in front of Deputies' homes which should be shared by other on-duty officers. The larger the fleet, the more insurance costs. Twenty-four hour a day use would increase maintenance costs. He questioned why the older vehicles scheduled for replacement had been repainted at a cost of \$500 each. While acknowledging the impact on ad valorem taxes created by the 1981 tax legislation, he felt that with the doubling of the City's budget since that time, adequate funds should be available for employee raises. He questioned the number of new City managers and new highly paid individuals. He questioned reasons for having to constantly "roto-rooter" the sewer lines. Purportedly the lines were being cleaned monthly. The cost to maintain four employees and two \$100,000 pieces of equipment were also questioned. He then questioned whether the Board had approved the funding allocations and purchases which are being made.

Mayor Teixeira requested Mr. Oddie address the agenda topics as the Board and staff were not prepared to respond to other topics.

Mr. Oddie then questioned why the City was in such difficult financial straights. Mayor Teixeira explained that the statutes on municipal funds prohibit spending more funds than revenue generates. Mr. Oddie then expounded on his feeling that the City was spending more than it earned. He also felt that the Board came to meetings with decisions having already been made. Mayor Teixeira explained that the Supervisors take all input and then reach a decision based on that information.

(3-2565) Fran McClain expressed her feeling that, although she was not opposed to the increase, further consideration should be required. She could support a modest increase, however, the electorate had defeated the issues in November. Therefore, she suggested that legislative support be sought to change the tax distribution system. She then stressed her feeling that the minuscular amount provided by staff for a \$70,000 home was unrealistic as there are no new homes in that range. Ms. Walker explained that the \$70,000 value was the assessed value and not the market value. A computer program had indicated the average taxable value for a home in Carson City was \$70,000. Ms. McClain then explained that the rates indicated were less than her calculations. Mayor Teixeira then explained that the actual total increase was 3-1/2 cents, however, an interim financing five-year program had just ended which would have reduced the tax bill by 2.3 cents. The proposal was to reinstitute that 2.3 cent tax for five years followed by a 1 cent tax for three years. If the proposal is approved by the Tax Commission, there may be a \$5 difference between the 1987 and 1988 tax bills.

Ms. McClain stressed that a reduction had not yet appeared on her tax bills. She urged that the developers who are building new homes and creating an increased demand for services be required to pay for those increases. She then expressed her feeling that the Sheriff's vehicles were not as old as purported. Ms. Walker explained that the average age for the City's vehicles is 14 years which includes all the police, parks, etc., vehicles. Mayor Teixeira stressed that the tax increase could not be imposed without the Tax Commission's approval and even then only in emergency public safety areas. This area covers only sheriff, ambulance and fire.

Ms. McClain explained her opposition to replacing glass doors at the Sheriff's office when there was a definite need for additional staff to help the public. She felt that the personnel she had had to deal with were rude although the volunteers staffing the front counter most helpful as far as they could assist. Mayor Teixeira thanked her for her input.

(3-2922) Larry Shoufler commended the Board for changing the original proposal to something which could be supported and for publishing the agendas. He then explained his efforts to lobby the legislators to obtain the return of the sales tax to our area. He then questioned the results of the Mayor's efforts on this endeavor. Mayor Teixeira responded by stating that he was doing all he could to lobby in this endeavor. Mr. Shoufler expressed his intent to continue to lobby for this change.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the February 2, 1989 Meeting
Page 11

(4-0016) Daniel Connelly expressed his feeling that he was not opposed to the proposal. He questioned the life of the improvements. He felt that there were other alternatives than the original \$16 million complex. Mayor Teixeira stressed that this is not a \$16 million tax proposal. Mr. Connelly reiterated his position that there should be alternatives.

Mayor Teixeira and Supervisor Swirczek explained the sales tax distribution formula, the SCRRT intent and formula, and that attempts were being made to regain a portion if not all of the sales tax. Supervisor Swirczek and Ms. Walker explained that there had not been any new management positions created. Only replacements had occurred. Glen Finnell's original position had been General Services Director. His title was changed to Deputy City Manager. Mr. Golden had been the Controller. Any new staff positions had been for enterprise funds. The ballot questions had been to address past, present, and future needs. The present proposal was to address past deficiencies only. Supervisor Swirczek then explained that the ad valorem tax rate is based upon replacement value and not market value. This causes new homes to pay more of the tax burden. Mayor Teixeira stressed that the Board would make every effort to keep the public informed on all issues. As 1981 had been used as the base year and that administration had been in a deficit position, the present financial problem was created. He also noted that the City had the second lowest ad valorem rate in the State. All possible attempts would be made to keep the taxes as low as possible and still provide all the services possible.

Sheriff McGrath then explained that the Sheriff has 56 vehicles with 105 employees. There are 34 patrol vehicles assigned to individuals subject to call backs and can be seen parked in front of residences. Members who perform staff functions do not have vehicles, including himself, the Undersheriff, and one of the Assistant Sheriffs. Only 13 vehicles have been painted black and white at a cost of \$199 each. They were painted to create uniformity in the vehicles. Five were painted at City expense. The remainder were painted as part of insurance repair after an accident at not cost to the City. Further explanation stressed that the vehicles found parked in front of residences were assigned to individuals who are subject to call back. At present, the average age of the Sheriff's fleet was eight or nine years old. The maintenance cost per mile decreases when only one officer is assigned to a vehicle, however, increases at a certain age and mileage. Should emergencies arise, the black and white vehicles parked at residences are returned to the station and used by other officers. A patrol car used 24 hours a day has its life reduced by at least 40 percent. Under this concept 50 percent of the fleet would have to be replaced every 18 months. Sheriff McGrath then requested anyone experiencing the problem noted by Ms. McClain contact him immediately. The Fire Code requires replacement of the glass doors. Fire calls at the jail within the last week were also noted. Sheriff McGrath and Supervisor Feticc stressed that the retrofit proposed would merely bring the building up to minimal Fire Code. It should last as long as the building is utilized.

(4-0479) Richard Waiton expressed his feeling that more public participation should occur at the meetings. He urged approval of the retrofit and vehicles. He stressed that hiring for enterprise programs could be done with the enterprise funds.

(4-0608) Marvin T. Brown explained that he had visited the jail and that a construction firm had voluntarily added to the facility at no cost to the City. Sheriff McGrath explained that Claude T. Howard had performed this service which would have cost the City at least \$250,000.

(4-0651) Mr. Oddie explained his feeling that the 1981 tax change was to have been the final answer. He felt it was unfair to repeatedly seek an override of the property tax every two years. Discussion between Mayor Teixeira and Mr. Oddie pointed out that today his property tax was the same as it had been in 1981. Mayor Teixeira stressed that he would attempt to return some of the sales tax to the City. He urged Mr. Oddie to contact his legislators concerning his frustration with the 1981 tax program. The only tax overrides approved by the electorate were for the Senior Citizen Center and the Parks. There had also been General Obligation Bonds approved for the hospital and golf course.

CARSON CITY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Minutes of the February 2, 1989 Meeting
Page 12

Supervisor Chirila then stressed her feeling that the Board was attempting to resolve problems which create liability concerns within specific perimeters. Failure to take action would be negligence. Her support of the proposals was also explained.

Mr. Oddie then acknowledged that while he was not paying more in property taxes, his sales tax had increased. Therefore, he did not feel that his tax burden had decreased. He then expressed his feeling that the School District had wasteful policies. Mayor Teixeira reminded him that this was not the matter at hand. Mr. Oddie then stressed his feeling that the economic burden should not be placed on the senior citizens. Mayor Teixeira responded by reminding him that the California community Mr. Oddie had left had similar demographics as Carson City and that its senior citizens and young couples with families supported all of the services and schools. He expressed the hope that Mr. Oddie's statement was what now that he is a senior citizen he did not want further growth or to support young couples with families. Mr. Oddie then returned to his earlier contention that the 1981 tax proposal was to have been the answer.

Supervisor Swirczek then explained the 1981 tax program, its limitations, and the loop hole which would allow capital expenditures for public safety items.

Ms. McClain questioned whether the Board would again seek another tax override within the next two years for street maintenance vehicles, etc. Mayor Teixeira explained the feeling that unless a definitive plan is presented to the Tax Commission which would address this situation in the future the Tax Commission would deny the request. He stressed the need to address the jail situation as this approach was only a Band-Aid.

Mayor Teixeira then noted the original 5-0 vote to support the proposal to go to the Tax Commission on these public safety measures and questioned whether the Board wished to change its position based upon the presentation made this evening. No response was given. Mayor Teixeira again commended the audience for attending and stated that the proposal would be submitted to the Tax Commission.

Supervisor Feticc then moved to adjourn. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion was voted and carried unanimously. Mayor Teixeira adjourned the meeting at 7:40 p.m.

A tape recording of the proceedings is on file in the Clerk-Recorder's Office. This tape is available for review and inspection during the normal business hours.

The Minutes of the February 2, 1989 Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting

ARE SO APPROVED ON March 2, 1989.

_____/s/_____
MARV TEIXEIRA, Mayor

ATTEST:

_____/s/_____
ALAN GLOVER, Recorder