

the river goes on priority, reasons the City had not obtained the higher priority water rights due to a litigation problem and period it would take to resolve this problem, reasons to address the allotment question today, the status of pending applications at the State Water Engineer's office, and the feeling that the City would be able to meet this summer's peaking requirements if Well 41 is on line and that the 209 allotments in the Resolution would not be on line during this allotment period. Supervisor Scrivner noted that the Board had already adopted a Resolution which indicated that the allotments would be released if Well 41 is on line and no action was required by the Board at this time unless the allocations were to be removed. Supervisor Swirczek continued to question the amount of water which could be pumped if the Darling rights are not available. Mr. Nagy explained the peak demand periods, which fluctuates, the average pumping required during the summer, the amount which could legally be pumped, and his feeling that the City would be able to meet the demand with the present wells excluding Well 41, and the storage factor included in the present system. His comments stressed that the regulation of the river had little effect on the City's total water availability and that the area the City should be concerned about was ability to provide water next summer, not this summer. Mr. Scott expressed his feeling that the City had enough water rights at this time to meet both this year's and next year's needs, however, stressed the need to increase the system's reliability and commended the Board's endeavors along this line. Discussion noted Board action last year reducing the number of allotments and the need for Board action to continue to increase the system's reliability next year. Supervisor Swirczek then expounded on his reasons for concern. He then suggested that the Builders Association work with the City to determine the sources of available water rights, a repayment procedure, and a determination of the benefits which the additional water would create. If three committees worked on it, he felt the entire problem could be addressed to everyone's benefit. (1-1746) Builders Association President Ron Hammond expressed a willingness to work with the City wherever possible. He felt that the Board needed to communicate with staff rather than have a meeting which creates the appearance of a building moratorium and worldwide notoriety to the detriment of the entire City. He urged the Board to adopt procedures to plan for the future rather than just the immediate needs. Supervisor Chirila felt that the Board should not compound the problem by irresponsible actions at this time. She acknowledged the need for orderly growth. Mayor Flammer pointed out that if the Board was not going to place a moratorium on building, a meeting was not absolutely required. Supervisor Scrivner expressed his feeling that the City needed to build a tertiary plant, that there was funding available for this purpose, and the advantages of such a system. Supervisor Swirczek then explained his reasons for feeling that the discussion had been necessary. (1-2125) Chamber of Commerce President Mary Knapp expressed its willingness to work on the committee suggested by Supervisor Swirczek. Supervisor Fettic then directed that the City Manager establish the procedure for having the committees. Consensus of the Board indicated that action was not required.

BREAK: At 8 p.m. a recess was taken. When the meeting reconvened at 8:30 p.m. a quorum was present although Supervisor Chirila was absent.

3. **DISCUSSION AND ACTION REGARDING THE LETTER OF SUPPORT ADDRESSING THE CARSON SUBCONSERVANCY DISTRICT'S EFFORTS TOWARD PROTECTING THE INTERESTS OF THE CARSON RIVER USERS AS IT RELATES TO THE BUREAU OF RECLAMATION'S PROPOSED OPERATING CRITERIA AND PROCEDURES (O.C.A.P.) (1-2250)** - Supervisor Fetic explained the letter and reasons for recommending action be taken supporting the Subconservancy's efforts. Ira Rackley explained the O.C.A.P. plan and reasons why the Subconservancy District was concerned about the Carson River. Gary Sheerin also pointed out the need to have a cooperative effort to establish the future river uses. (Supervisor Chirila returned--8:35 p.m. A quorum was present as noted.) Discussion ensued on whether to have a letter or resolution and necessary action. Supervisor Fetic moved that the Board authorize the District Attorney's office to prepare a letter for the Mayor's signature and the Supervisors supporting the Subconservancy District and its efforts to protect the Carson River users and encourage them to act as intervenors in the court case that is going on in Reno starting April 29. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

2. **REVIEW AND ACTION ON CARSON CITY COMPREHENSIVE WATER PROGRAM - WATER CONSORTIUM TASK ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:** Discussion with Mr. O'Brien indicated that funding is not available for all the Task Orders, however, the proposal was for projects for the next three years. Mr. O'Brien felt that motions could establish the priority and be contingent upon funding by the Board during that period. Mr. Hamilton explained the need for the Board to establish its priority. The total of the task orders equalled \$160,000. Ms. Walker then explained the funding alternatives and reasons to establish the priorities at this time. Supervisor Swirczek expressed his feeling that the information which had been provided in the packets was inadequate to make a decision at this time or in two weeks when the complete program would be presented to the Board. Mr. O'Brien explained that this year's budget had been established prior to the Project Management's meeting. The Committee had requested Board consideration of these task orders. With the exception of the Marlette system, none of the task orders had been included in the budget. Considerable discussion ensued concerning whether the Board should act on the requests at this time due to the lack of funds and information. (2-0065) Mr. O'Brien cited the Lakeview tank as a reason for considering the task orders at this time. The tank would be provided by the Nevada Division of Forestry at no cost to the City. Task Order 16 addressed the design for the tank. Mr. O'Brien expressed a desire to have the Board and staff establish a priority after hearing the task orders and reasons for creating a priority before setting the budget. These opposing points of view were discussed at length. The Project Management Committee had specifically requested these task orders be considered by the Board prior to the budget decisions. Mayor Flammer suggested that staff explain each task order now and return with the funding mechanism later. Supervisor Scrivner stressed the need to have the total costs and not just the engineering costs. Supervisor Chirila then explained her support for Task Order 14. (2-0473) Bruce Scott expressed his feeling that the City needed a water plan. The capital improvement program was necessary, however, was not a water plan. He felt a water plan may be the water engineer's top priority. The Task Orders would continue the piecemeal effort of staying on top of the City's needs

until the water plan is created. Supervisor Swirczek then explained his position that to approve the task orders without financing was bad fiscal management and against the law. He suggested that this shortage be addressed and a presentation made again in two weeks. Discussion ensued concerning whether the Board should act on Task Order 16. (2-0731) Paul Lumos explained his feeling that Task Order 12 was needed at this time in order to determine the three to five year needs and prioritize the items. Discussion with the Board continued to stress its feeling that without the necessary financial data these decisions could not be made. Supervisor Swirczek also expressed his feeling that the Board needed to understand what had already been accomplished before establishing the priorities. Mr. Hamilton then suggested that staff be given time to Xerox the budget items and schedule a special meeting in two weeks. Mr. O'Brien explained that the utility was not out of money but the request was a "shopping list" of items for the future. This was the first time the Board was being asked to establish the priorities rather than have an internal finance committee do it. Mayor Flammer urged the Board to approve Task Order 12. Mayor Flammer then directed the City Manager to have the matter rescheduled for a special meeting in two weeks. Supervisor Swirczek requested the budget data include where the funds sources. Supervisor Fettic moved to adjourn. Supervisor Swirczek seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously. Mayor Flammer adjourned the meeting at 9:30 p.m.

The following items are as listed in the agenda. No action was taken on any of these matters:

- A. PROPOSED TASK ORDER NO. 12 - FIVE YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**
- B. PROPOSED TASK ORDER NO. 13 - POTABLE WATER SYSTEM NETWORK ANALYSIS**
- C. PROPOSED TASK ORDER NO. 14 - DEVELOPMENT OF A WATER RIGHTS ADMINISTRATION AND IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM WITHIN THE CARSON CITY PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT**
- D. PROPOSED TASK ORDER NO. 15 - MARLETTE LAKE DEVELOPMENT AND WATER USE, AND STATE OF NEVADA WATER TREATMENT PLANT EXPANSION**
- E. PROPOSED TASK ORDER NO. 16 - ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR PROPOSED ADDITIONAL LAKEVIEW WATER TANK**
- F. PROPOSED TASK ORDER NO. 17 - ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR WATER RIGHT TRANSFER**
- G. PROPOSED AUGMENTATION TO TASK ORDER NO. 10 - TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$15,000 - WORK UNDER THIS TASK ORDER IS SPECIFICALLY APPROVED AS REQUIRED BY THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR**

The Minutes of the Special April 19, 1988, Carson City Board of Supervisors meeting

ARE SO APPROVED ON _____ May 3 _____, 1990.

_____/s/_____
Marv Teixeira, Mayor

ATTEST:

_____/s/_____
Alan Glover, Clerk-Recorder